

15.093 Optimization Methods

Lecture 24: Semidefinite Optimization

1 Outline

SLIDE 1

1. Minimizing Polynomials as an SDP
2. Linear Difference Equations and Stabilization
3. Barrier Algorithm for SDO

2 SDO formulation

2.1 Primal and dual

SLIDE 2

- $$(P) : \begin{aligned} \min \quad & \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}_i \bullet \mathbf{X} = b_i \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

- $$(D) : \begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m y_i b_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{C} - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \mathbf{A}_i \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

3 Minimizing Polynomials

3.1 Sum of squares

SLIDE 3

- A polynomial $f(x)$ is a **sum of squares** (SOS) if

$$f(x) = \sum_j g_j^2(x)$$

for some polynomials $g_j(x)$.

- A polynomial satisfies $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if it is a sum of squares.
- **Not** true in more than one variable!

3.2 Proof

SLIDE 4

- (\Leftarrow) Obvious. If $f(x) = \sum_j g_j^2(x)$ then $f(x) \geq 0$.

- (\Rightarrow) Factorize $f(x) = C \prod_j (x - r_j)^{n_j} \prod_k (x - a_k + ib_k)^{m_k} (x - a_k - ib_k)^{m_k}$. Since $f(x)$ is nonnegative, then $C \geq 0$ and all the n_j are even. Then, $f(x) = f_1(x)^2 + f_2(x)^2$, where

$$f_1(x) = C^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_j (x - r_j)^{\frac{n_j}{2}} \prod_k (x - a_k)^{m_k}$$

$$f_2(x) = C^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_j (x - r_j)^{\frac{n_j}{2}} \prod_k b_k^{m_k}$$

3.3 SOS and SDO

SLIDE 5

- Let $\mathbf{z}(x) = (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^k)'$.
- $f(x) = \mathbf{z}(x)' \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{z}(x)$ is a sum of squares if and only if

$$f(x) = \mathbf{z}(x)' \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{z}(x),$$

where $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$, i.e., $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{L}' \mathbf{L}$.

- Then, $f(x) = \mathbf{z}(x)' \mathbf{L}' \mathbf{L} \mathbf{z}(x) = \|\mathbf{L} \mathbf{z}(x)\|^2$.

3.4 Formulation

SLIDE 6

- Consider $\min f(x)$.
- Then, $f(x) \geq \gamma$ if and only if $f(x) - \gamma = \mathbf{z}(x)' \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{z}(x)$ with $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$. This implies linear constraints on γ and \mathbf{Q} .
- Reformulation

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \gamma \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \begin{cases} f(x) - \gamma = \mathbf{z}(x)' \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{z}(x) \\ \mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

3.5 Example

3.5.1 Reformulation

SLIDE 7

$$\begin{aligned} \min f(x) &= 3 + 4x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + x^4. \\ f(x) - \gamma &= 3 - \gamma + 4x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + x^4 = (1, x, x^2)' \mathbf{Q} (1, x, x^2). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \gamma \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 3 - \gamma = q_{11} \\ & 4 = 2q_{12}, \quad 2 = 2q_{13} + q_{22} \\ & 2 = 2q_{23}, \quad 1 = q_{33} \\ & \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & q_{13} \\ q_{12} & q_{22} & q_{23} \\ q_{13} & q_{23} & q_{33} \end{bmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Extensions to multiple dimensions.

4 Stability

SLIDE 8

- A linear difference equation

$$x(k+1) = \mathbf{A}x(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

- $x(k)$ converges to zero iff $|\lambda_i(\mathbf{A})| < 1, i = 1, \dots, n$
- Characterization:

$$|\lambda_i(\mathbf{A})| < 1 \quad \forall i \iff \exists \mathbf{P} \succ 0 \quad \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{P} \prec 0$$

4.1 Proof

SLIDE 9

- (\Leftarrow) Let $\mathbf{A}v = \lambda v$. Then,

$$0 > v'(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{P})v = (|\lambda|^2 - 1) \underbrace{v'\mathbf{P}v}_{>0},$$

and therefore $|\lambda| < 1$

- (\Rightarrow) Let $\mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^{i'}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{A}^i$, where $\mathbf{Q} \succ 0$. The sum converges by the eigenvalue assumption. Then,

$$\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^{i'}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{A}^i - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^{i'}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{A}^i = -\mathbf{Q} \prec 0$$

4.2 Stabilization

SLIDE 10

- Consider now the case where \mathbf{A} is not stable, but we can change some elements, e.g., $\mathbf{A}(L) = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}$, where \mathbf{C} is a fixed matrix.
- Want to find an \mathbf{L} such that $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}$ is stable.
- Use Schur complements to rewrite the condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C})'\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}) - \mathbf{P} \prec 0, \quad \mathbf{P} \succ 0 \\ \Downarrow \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} & (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C})'\mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}) & \mathbf{P} \end{bmatrix} \succ 0 \end{aligned}$$

Condition is nonlinear in (\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L})

4.3 Changing variables

SLIDE 11

- Define a new variable $\mathbf{Y} := \mathbf{P}\mathbf{L}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{Y}' \\ \mathbf{P}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{P} \end{bmatrix} \succ 0$$

- This is linear in (\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}) .
- Solve using SDO, recover \mathbf{L} via $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$

5 Primal Barrier Algorithm for SDO

SLIDE 12

- $\mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \lambda_1(\mathbf{X}) \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_n(\mathbf{X}) \geq 0$
- Natural barrier to repel \mathbf{X} from the boundary $\lambda_1(\mathbf{X}) > 0, \dots, \lambda_n(\mathbf{X}) > 0$:

$$-\sum_{j=1}^n \log(\lambda_j(\mathbf{X})) =$$

$$-\log\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(\mathbf{X})\right) = -\log(\det(\mathbf{X}))$$

SLIDE 13

- Logarithmic barrier problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & B_\mu(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} - \mu \log(\det(\mathbf{X})) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}_i \bullet \mathbf{X} = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & \mathbf{X} \succ \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

- Derivative: $\nabla B_\mu(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{C} - \mu \mathbf{X}^{-1}$
Follows from

$$\log \det(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{H}) \approx \log \det(\mathbf{X}) + \text{trace}(\mathbf{X}^{-1} \mathbf{H}) + \dots$$

- KKT conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_i \bullet \mathbf{X} &= b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ \mathbf{C} - \mu \mathbf{X}^{-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \mathbf{A}_i, \\ \mathbf{X} &\succ \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

- Given μ , need to solve these nonlinear equations for $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{C}, y_i$
- Apply Newton's method until we are "close" to the optimal
- Reduce value of μ , and iterate until the duality gap is small

5.1 Another interpretation

SLIDE 14

- Recall the optimality conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_i \bullet \mathbf{X} &= b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{S} &= \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S} &\succeq \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S} &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

- Cannot solve directly. Rather, perturb the complementarity condition to $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S} = \mu \mathbf{I}$.
- Now, unique solution for every $\mu > 0$ (the "central path")
- Solve using Newton, for decreasing values of μ .

6 Differences with LO

SLIDE 15

- Many different ways to linearize the nonlinear complementarity condition

$$X S = \mu I$$

- Want to preserve symmetry of the iterates
- Several search directions.

7 Convergence

7.1 Stopping criterion

SLIDE 16

- The point (X, y_i) is feasible, and has duality gap:

$$C \bullet X - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i b_i = \mu X^{-1} \bullet X = n\mu$$

- Therefore, reducing μ always decreases the duality gap
- Barrier algorithm needs $O\left(\sqrt{n} \log \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon}\right)$ iterations to reduce duality gap from ϵ_0 to ϵ

8 Conclusions

SLIDE 17

- SDO is a powerful modeling tool
- Barrier and primal-dual algorithms are very powerful
- Many good solvers available: SeDuMi, SDPT3, SDPA, etc.
- Pointers to literature and solvers:
www-user.tu-chemnitz.de/~helmberg/semidef.html

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

15.093J / 6.255J Optimization Methods
Fall 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.