## Lecture Note 14

## **1** Convergence of $TD(\lambda)$

In this lecture, we will continue to analyze the behavior of  $TD(\lambda)$  for autonomous systems. We assume that the system has stage costs g(x) and transition matrix P.

Recall that we want to approximate  $J^*$  by  $J^* \approx \Phi \tilde{r}$ . We find successive approximations  $\Phi r_0, \Phi r_1, \ldots$  by applying  $TD(\lambda)$ :

$$r_{k+1} = r_k + \gamma_k d_k z_k \tag{1}$$

$$d_k = g(x_k) + \alpha(\Phi r_k)(x_{k+1}) - (\Phi r_k)(x_k)$$
(2)

$$z_k = \alpha \lambda z_{k-1} + \phi(x_k) = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\kappa} (\alpha \lambda)^{\tau} \phi(x_{\tau})$$
(3)

We make the following assumptions:

**Assumption 1** The Markov chain characterized by P is irreducible and aperiodic with stationary distribution  $\pi$ .

Assumption 2 The basis functions are orthonormal with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{2,D}$ , where  $D = \text{diag}(\pi)$ , i.e.,  $\Phi^T D \Phi = I$ .

In the previous lecture, we introduced and analyzed approximate value iteration (AVI). The main idea is that  $TD(\lambda)$  may be interpreted as a stochastic approximations version of AVI. Before finishing the analysis of  $TD(\lambda)$ , we review the main points related to AVI.

Recall the operators  $T_{\lambda}$  and  $\Pi$ :

$$T_{\lambda}J = (1-\lambda)\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\lambda^{m}T^{m+1}J$$
$$\Pi J = \Phi < \Phi, J >_{D}.$$

Then AVI is given by

$$\Phi r_{k+1} = \Pi T \Phi r_k,\tag{4}$$

and we have the following theorem characterizing its limiting behavior:

## Theorem 1 If

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \pi_{|\mathcal{S}|} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\pi^T P = \pi^T$ , then  $r_k \to r^*$ , and

$$||J^* - \Phi r^*||_{2,D} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2}} ||J^* - \Pi J^*||_{2,D}$$

where  $k = \frac{\alpha(1-\lambda)}{1-\alpha\lambda} \leq \alpha$ .

We can think  $TD(\lambda)$  as a stochastic approximations version of AVI. Recall that the main idea in stochastic approximation algorithms is as follows. We would like to solve a system of equations r = Hr, but only have access to noisy observations Hr = w for any given r. Then we attempt to solve r = Hr iteratively by considering

$$r_{k+1} = r_k + \gamma_k (Hr_k - r_k + w_k).$$

Hence in order to show that  $TD(\lambda)$  is a stochastic approximations version of AVI, we would like to show that

$$\Phi r_{k+1} = \Pi T_{\lambda} \Phi r_k - \Phi r_k + w_k,$$

for some noise  $w_k$ .

The following lemma expresses (4) in a format that is more amenable to our analysis.

Lemma 1 The AVI equations (4) can be rewritten as

$$\Phi r_{k+1} = \Phi < \Phi, T_{\lambda} \Phi r_k >_D, \tag{5}$$

or, equivalently,

$$r_{k+1} = Ar_k + b, (6)$$

where

$$A = (1 - \lambda)\Phi^T D\left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda^t (\alpha P)^{t+1}\right)\Phi$$
(7)

and

$$b = \Phi^T D \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha \lambda)^t P^t g.$$
(8)

**Proof:** (5) follows immediately from the definition of  $\Pi$ . Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k+1} &= \langle \Phi, T_{\lambda} \Phi r_k \rangle_D \\ &= \Phi^T D T_{\lambda} \Phi r_k \\ &= (1-\lambda) \Phi^T D \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m \left[ \sum_{t=0}^m (\alpha P)^t g + \alpha^{m+1} P^{m+1} \Phi r_k \right] \\ &= (1-\lambda) \Phi^T D \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m (\alpha P)^{m+1} \Phi r_k + (1-\lambda) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha P)^t g \sum_{m=t}^{\infty} \lambda^m (\alpha P)^{m+1} \Phi r_k + (1-\lambda) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha P)^t g \sum_{m=t}^{\infty} \lambda^m (\alpha P)^{m+1} \Phi r_k + (1-\lambda) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha P)^t g \sum_{m=t}^{\infty} \lambda^m (\alpha P)^{m+1} \Phi r_k + (1-\lambda) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha P)^t g \sum_{m=t}^{\infty} \lambda^m (\alpha P)^{m+1} \Phi r_k + (1-\lambda) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha P)^t g \sum_{m=t}^{\infty} \lambda^m (\alpha P)^{m+1} \Phi r_k + (1-\lambda) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha P)^t g \sum_{m=t}^{\infty} \lambda^m (\alpha P)^{m+1} \Phi r_k + (1-\lambda) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha P)^t g \sum_{m=t}^{\infty} \lambda^m (\alpha P)^{m+1} \Phi r_k + (1-\lambda) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha P)^{m+1} \Phi r_k$$

At the same time, we have the following

**Lemma 2**  $TD(\lambda)$ 's equations (1) can be rewritten as

$$r_{k+1} = r_k + \gamma_k (A_k r_k - r_k + b_k), \tag{9}$$

where

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{E} A_k = A,$$
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{E} b_k = b,$$

where A and b are given by (7) and (8), respectively.

**Proof:** It is easy to verify that (1) is equivalent to (9), where

$$A_k = z_k(\alpha\phi(x_{k+1}) - \phi(x_k)) + I,$$
  

$$b_k = z_k g(x_k).$$

We will study the limit of  $\mathbf{E}A_k$  and  $\mathbf{E}b_k$ . For all J, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ z_k J_k \right] = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{\tau=0}^k (\alpha \lambda)^{k-\tau} \phi(x_\tau) J(x_k) \right]$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{\tau=0}^k (\alpha \lambda)^\tau \phi(x_{k-\tau}) J(x_k) \right] \quad (\because P^k(x, y) \to \pi(y))$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{\tau=0}^\infty (\alpha \lambda)^\tau \phi(x_0) J(x_\tau) | x_0 \sim \pi \right] \quad (P(x_\tau = x | x_0) = P^\tau(x_0, x))$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{\tau=0}^\infty (\alpha \lambda)^\tau \phi(x_0) (P^\tau J)(x_0) | x_0 \sim \pi \right]$$
$$= \sum_{\tau=0}^\infty (\alpha \lambda)^\tau < \Phi, P^\tau J >_D$$

Letting

$$J(x_k, x_{k+1}) = \alpha \phi(x_{k+1}) - \phi(x_k),$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{E} A_k &= \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} (\alpha \lambda)^{\tau} < \Phi, \alpha P^{\tau+1} \Phi - P^{\tau} \Phi >_D + I \\ &= \Phi^T D \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau+1} P^{\tau+1} \Phi - \Phi^T D \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau} P^{\tau} \Phi + I \\ &= \Phi^T D \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau+1} P^{\tau+1} \Phi - \Phi^T D \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau} P^{\tau} \Phi - \Phi^T D \Phi + I \\ &= \Phi^T D \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau+1} P^{\tau+1} \Phi - \lambda \Phi^T D \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau+1} P^{\tau+1} \Phi \\ &= (1-\lambda) \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau+1} P^{\tau+1} \Phi \\ &= A. \end{split}$$

In the fourth equality we have used the assumption that  $\Phi^T D \Phi = I$ .

Similarly, letting

$$J(x_k) = g(x_k)$$

yields

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{E} b_k = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} (\alpha \lambda)^{\tau} < \Phi, P^{\tau} g >_D$$
$$= b.$$

If  $\lambda = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} (\alpha \lambda)^{\tau} (g + \alpha P^{\tau} \Phi r_k - \Phi r_k) = J^* + (I - \alpha P)^{-1} (\alpha P - I \Phi r) = J^* - \Phi r.$$

If  $\lambda < 1$ , then

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} (\lambda \alpha)^{\tau} P^{\tau} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{\tau} P^{\tau} (1-\lambda) \sum_{t=\tau}^{\infty} \lambda^{t}$$
$$= (1-\lambda) \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} \lambda^{\tau} \sum_{t=0}^{\tau} \alpha^{t} P^{t}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} (\lambda \alpha)^{\tau} P^{\tau} (g + \alpha P \Phi r_k - \Phi r_k) = (1 - \lambda) \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \sum_{t=0}^{\tau} \alpha^t P^t (g + \alpha P \Phi r - \Phi r)$$
$$= (1 - \lambda) \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \sum_{t=0}^{\tau} \left( \underbrace{\alpha^t P^t g + \alpha^{t+1} P^{t+1} \Phi r}_{T^t \Phi r_k} - \Phi r \right)$$
$$= T_{\lambda} \Phi r_k - \Phi r_k$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[z_k d_k\right] = <\Phi, T_\lambda \Phi r_k - \Phi r_k >_D$$

Comparing Lemmas 1 and 2, it is clear that  $TD(\lambda)$  can be seen as a stochastic approximations version of AVI; in particular, TD's equations can be written as

$$r_{k+1} = r_k + \gamma_k (Ar_k + b - r_k + w_k),$$

where  $w_k = (A_k - A)r_k + (b_k - b)$ . If  $r_k$  remains bounded, we should have  $\lim_{k\to\infty} Ew_k = 0$ , so that the noise is zero-mean asymptotically. Note however that the noise is not independent of the past history, and in fact follows a Markov chain, since matrices  $A_k$  and  $b_k$  are functions of  $x_k$  and  $x_{k+1}$ . This makes application of the Lyapunov analysis for convergence of  $TD(\lambda)$  difficult, and we must resort to the ODE analysis instead. The next theorem provides the convergence result.

**Theorem 2** Suppose that P is irreducible and aperiodic and that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k = \infty$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k^2 < \infty$ . Then  $r_k \to r^* \text{ w.p.1}$ , where  $\Phi r^* = \Pi T_\lambda \Phi r^*$ .

To prove Theorem 2, we first state without proof the following theorem.

**Theorem 3** Let  $r_{k+1} = r_k + \gamma_k(A(x_k)r_k + b(x_k))$ . Suppose that

(a)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k = \infty, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k^2 < \infty$ 

- (b)  $x_k$  follows a Markov chain and has stationary distribution  $\pi$
- (c)  $A = E[A(x)|x \sim \pi]$  is negative definite, and  $b = E[b(x)|x \sim \pi]$
- (d)  $\|\mathbb{E}[A(x_k)|x_0] A\| \leq C\rho^k, \forall x_0, \forall k, and \\ \|\mathbb{E}[b(x_k)|x_0] b\| \leq C\rho^k, \forall x_0, \forall k$
- Then  $r_k \to r^*$  w.p.1, i.e.,  $Ar^* + b = 0$ .
- Sketch of Proof of Theorem 2 We verify that conditions (a)-(d) of Theorem 3 are satisfied. Conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied by assumption.

(c) For all r, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^{T}Ar &= r^{T} < \Phi, (1-\lambda) \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau+1} P^{\tau+1} \Phi r - \Phi r >_{D} \\ &= < \Phi r, (1-\lambda) \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau+1} P^{\tau+1} \Phi r >_{D} - \|\Phi r\|_{2,D}^{2} \\ \underbrace{\frac{1}{\bar{T}_{\lambda} \phi r, \text{ a contraction w.r.t. } \|\cdot\|_{2,D}}_{\bar{T}_{\lambda} \phi r, \|2, D} - \|\Phi r\|_{2,D}^{2} \\ &\leq \|\Phi r\|_{2,D} \|\bar{T}_{\lambda} \Phi r\|_{2,D} - \|\Phi r\|_{2,D}^{2} \\ &\leq \beta \|\Phi r\|_{2,D}^{2} - \|\Phi r\|_{2,D}^{2} \quad (\beta \leq \alpha) \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, A is negative definite.

(d) We must consider the quantities

$$E[A_k - A] = E[z_k(\alpha\phi(x_{k+1}) - \phi(x_k) - A]],$$
  

$$E[b_k - b] = E[z_kg(x_k) - b].$$

This involves a comparison of  $E[\alpha z_k \phi(x_{k+1}], E[z_k \phi(x_k)]]$  and  $E[z_k g(x_k)]$  with their limiting values as k goes

to infinity. Let us focus on term  $z_k \phi(x_k)$ ; the other terms involve similar analysis. We have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[z_k\phi(x_k)|x_0] &= \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{\substack{t=0\\z_k}}^k (\alpha\lambda)^{k-t}\phi(x_t)\phi(x_k)|x_0 = x\right] \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{\substack{t=-\infty\\z_k}}^k \phi(x_t)(\alpha\lambda)^{k-t}\phi(x_k)|x_t \sim \pi, t \leq 0\right] \\ &+ \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^k \phi(x_t)(\alpha\lambda)^{k-t}\phi(x_k)|x_0 = x\right] \\ &- \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^k \phi(x_t)(\alpha\lambda)^{k-t}\phi(x_k)|x_0 \sim \pi\right] \\ &- \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{\substack{t=-\infty\\z_k = -\infty}}^{-1} \phi(x_t)(\alpha\lambda)^{k-t}\phi(x_k)|x_t \sim \pi\right] \end{split}$$

It follows from basic matrix theory that  $|P(x_t = x|x_0) - \pi(x_t)| \leq C\rho^t$ , where  $\rho$  corresponds to the second highest eigenvalue of P, which is strictly less than one since P is irreducible and aperiodic. Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} & \left| \mathbf{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{k} \phi(x_t)(\alpha \lambda)^{k-t} \phi(x_k) | x_0 = x \right] - \mathbf{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{k} \phi(x_t)(\alpha \lambda)^{k-t} \phi(x_k) | x_0 \sim \pi \right] \right| \\ & \leq \left| \mathbf{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \phi(x_t)(\alpha \lambda)^{k-t} \phi(x_k) | x_0 = x \right] - \mathbf{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \phi(x_t)(\alpha \lambda)^{k-t} \phi(x_k) | x_0 \sim \pi \right] \right| + \\ & + \left| \mathbf{E} \left[ \sum_{t=\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{k} \phi(x_t)(\alpha \lambda)^{k-t} \phi(x_k) | x_0 = x \right] - \mathbf{E} \left[ \sum_{t=\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{k} \phi(x_t)(\alpha \lambda)^{k-t} \phi(x_k) | x_0 \sim \pi \right] \right| \\ & \leq M \left( (\alpha \lambda)^{k/2} + \rho^{k/2} \right), \end{split}$$

for some  $M < \infty$ . Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=-\infty}^{-1}\phi(x_t)(\alpha\lambda)^{k-t}\phi(x_k)|x_0 \sim \pi\right] \le M(\alpha\lambda)^k$$