## 2.626 / 2.627: Fundamentals of Photovoltaics Problem Set #1, Fall 2013 Prof. Tonio Buonassisi

In this assignment, you will familiarize yourself with the solar resource and its spectral characteristics, as well as the optical losses in a PV device.

**Please note**: Excel spreadsheets, MATLAB, Mathematica, or plotting programs such as OriginLab (or anything similar) may be used to calculate the answers to many of the problems below, but **any submitted code or spreadsheets will not be reviewed by the grader**. If you require Excel or Matlab, please write-out the formulas or methodology used to calculate your answer in a clear and concise manner. **If methodology is not presented, then answers will receive no credit**. Additionally, clearly circle all final answers.

## Question #1

(Integrated Spectral Irradiance):

- Download the AM0 and AM1.5 spectral irradiances from the link http://pveducation.org/pvcdrom/appendices/standard-solar-spectra. Note: these spectral irradiances comprise the first three columns (the first column is the photon wavelength; *i.e.* independent variable).
- a) Using Excel (if possible), numerically integrate each spectral irradiance and determine the irradiance of each.

Note: Plotting each spectral irradiance may be a helpful visual aid before integrating.

b) Convert each spectral irradiance to units of kW m<sup>-2</sup> eV<sup>-1</sup>. Using unit analysis may help you figure out the conversion factor for this.

Note: In the Excel file containing the raw AM0 and AM1.5 spectral irradiances, the units are given in kW  $m^{-2}$  nm<sup>-1</sup>.

- Re-plot each spectral irradiance, now over the independent variable "photon energy (eV)".
- Numerically integrate each spectral irradiance again, this time over "photon energy (eV)" and show that the irradiance of each is the same as when integrating over "photon wavelength (nm)" respectively.
- c) **GRAD STUDENTS ONLY** (2.626): Fit the function

$$A\frac{\varepsilon^3}{\exp(\beta\varepsilon)-1}$$

to the AMO spectral irradiance over photon energy. Note: A and  $\beta$  are constant fitting parameters. To get you started,  $\beta = (k_B T_S)^{-1}$ , with  $k_B = 8.617 \times 10^{-5}$  eV K<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>S</sub> = 5800 K.

- What is the numerical value of A in units of W m<sup>-2</sup> eV<sup>-4</sup>?
- Analytically integrate the function above and show that the answer closely matches the numerical integration for AM0 performed earlier in this problem.

Note: This integral solution may be helpful...

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{[x+c_{1}]^{n}}{exp(x)-1} dx = [-1] \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{[n-p]!} [x+c_{1}]^{n-p} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ \frac{1}{j^{p+1}} \right] exp(-jx) \right\} \bigg|_{a}^{b}$$

## **Question #2**

(Peak Power vs. Energy): Consider a flat PV panel and a tracking solar concentrator in Phoenix, AZ and in Boston, MA. Please use NREL solar maps, located at www.nrel.gov/gis/solar.html and cite which map was used.

- a) Notice the integrated spectral irradiance for AM1.5D (appropriate for solar concentrators) is *lower* than AM1.5G (appropriate for flat panels). Describe under what conditions a tracking solar concentrator device could produce more energy than a flat panel (of identical peak power rating) over the course of a typical day.
- b) For a typical September day, provide an estimate for the daily energy output (in units of kWh/day) for a 100 MW<sub>peak</sub> system in Phoenix, AZ and Boston, MA.
- c) Which system (tracking vs. fixed) produces more energy per day in Phoenix? In Boston? What accounts for the difference? Use yearly average data.

**Question #3** (Estimating Land Requirements): Obtain estimates for (a) timeaveraged and (b) peak power consumption for a typical home.

- a) Calculate the space required in Boston, MA to meet the time-averaged power requirements for a home. Assume a 15% efficient photovoltaic system, and that the average home uses around 2kW of electricity on average.
- b) How do these values change, if peak demand must be met (i.e., no energy storage device is available)? Often peak energy is estimated as double the average load.

- c) Repeat a) and b) for an electric car. To estimate the electricity consumption for a car, assume the fuel efficiency and peak power of a Tesla roadster (vroom!)
- d) Given your answers in a), b) and c), where do you think more PV will be deployed in the long run: on cars or on homes?

**Question #4** (Reflection and Absorption losses) For many of these problems, you may need to look up the index of refraction or absorption coefficient for silicon and  $SiN_x$  (hint: PVCDROM, Appendices). You may assume that the absorption for a thin  $SiN_x$  layer is negligible. Please cite all sources.

- a) For 550nm light (near the peak of the solar spectrum), what percentage of light is reflected off the front surface of a polished silicon wafer?
- b) If  $SiN_x$  is used as anti-reflection coating (ARC,) what thickness should be used if we optimize for 550nm light?
- c) If we assume only one pass of light through the silicon, estimate the thickness required to absorb 90% of incident, non-reflected photons at 1070nm? How would thickness change if we texture the surface? You may assume the upper theoretical limit for light-trapping.

2.627 / 2.626 Fundamentals of Photovoltaics Fall 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.