Goals for today

- Feedback topology
 - Negative vs positive feedback
- Example of a system with feedback
 - Derivation of the closed-loop transfer function
 - Specification of the transient response by selecting the feedback gain
- Steady-state error
 - General steady error determination
 - Steady-state error with external disturbance input
- MATLAB¹ LTI system analysis

Why feedback?

- Two reasons:
 - to make the system output resemble as much as possible a given input ("tracking")
 - example: target-tracking missiles
 - to reduce the effect of disturbances in the system output
 - example: minute adjustments in steering wheel while we drive
- Today: we examine the first case only (tracking)
- We will discuss disturbances in a future lecture

The problem with system dynamics



Why?

Because system response is determined by system transfer function G(s)

$$\xrightarrow{R(s)} G(s) \xrightarrow{C(s)} C(s) = G(s)R(s) \neq R(s)$$

Suggested solution invert the Transfer Function



Problems

 What if we don't know the transfer function exactly? (or if there is some variation among systems manufactured in a factory)



 Systems with more zeros than poles are not physically realizable (but they are realizable if we use digital implementation)

E.g.
$$G(s) = \frac{s}{(s+p_1)(s+p_2)}$$
 $G^{-1}(s) = \frac{(s+p_1)(s+p_2)}{s}$
more poles more zeros

➡ Disturbances are amplified (we will talk about these later)

Instead: feedback (sort-of) "inverts" the TF



K: Feedback "gain"

G(s): "Open Loop (OL)" transfer function

"Closed Loop" transfer function $\frac{C(s)}{R(s)} = ?$

$$C(s) = KG(s) \left(R(s) - C(s) \right) \Rightarrow \frac{C(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{KG(s)}{1 + KG(s)}$$

Note: if $K \to \infty \Rightarrow C(s) \approx R(s) \Rightarrow$ Tracking!!

Negative feedback loop with feedback controller



Equivalent system:



Figures © John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Nise Figure 5.6

Positive feedback



Equivalent system:



(c)

Nise Figure 5.6

Figures © John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Generally, positive feedback is dangerous: it may lead to unstable response (i.e. exponentially increasing) if not used with care



2.004 Spring '13

A more general feedback system



Plant: the system we want to control (e.g., elevator plant: input=voltage, output=elevator position)

Controller: apparatus that produces input to plant (i.e. voltage to elevator's motor)

Transducers: converting physical quantities so the system can use them

(e.g., input transducer: floor button pushed \rightarrow voltage;

output transducer: current elevator position \rightarrow voltage)

Feedback: apparatus that contributes current system state to error signal (e.g.,

in elevator system, error=voltage representing desired position – voltage representing current position

© John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Transient response of a feedback system



© John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

2.004 Spring '13

Transient response of a feedback system



2.004 Spring '13

Transient response of a feedback system



© John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Adjusting the transient by feedback

2.004 Spring '13



For 10% overshoot or less, we need $\zeta = 0.591$ or more. Therefore,

$$K = 17.9$$
 or less.

© John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Generalizing: steady-state error for arbitrary input



 Unit step input: Steady-state error = unit step – output as t→∞ Ramp input: Steady-state error = ramp – output as t→∞

Generally, the steady-state error is defined as

$$e(\infty) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[r(t) - c(t) \right] = \lim_{s \to 0} s \left[R(s) - C(s) \right],$$

where the last equality follows from the final value theorem.

Generalizing: steady-state error for arbitrary system, unity feedback



From the definition of the steady-state error,

$$e(\infty) = \lim_{s \to 0} s\left[R(s) - C(s)\right] = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s).$$

From the block diagram we can also see that

$$rac{C(s)}{E(s)} = G(s) \Rightarrow E(s) = rac{C(s)}{G(s)}.$$

© John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Generalizing: steady-state error for arbitrary system, unity feedback



Recall the closed-loop TF of the unity feedback system

$$\frac{C(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{G(s)}{1+G(s)} \Rightarrow C(s) = \frac{R(s)G(s)}{1+G(s)}.$$

Substituting into the two formulae from the previous page,

$$E(s) = rac{R(s)}{1+G(s)} \Rightarrow e(\infty) = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} rac{sR(s)}{1+G(s)}$$

© John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Steady-state error and static error constants

Waveform	Name	Physical interpretation	Time function	Laplace transform	$e(\infty) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{sR(s)}{1 + C(s)}$
r(t)	Step Ramp	Constant position Constant velocity	1 t	$\frac{1}{s}$ $\frac{1}{s^2}$	$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I} + G(s) \\ e(\infty) &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s} \times \frac{s}{1+G(s)} \\ &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{1+G(s)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\lim_{s \to 0} G(s)} \equiv \frac{1}{1+K_p} \\ \text{where} & K_p = \lim_{s \to 0} G(s). \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} e(\infty) &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s^2} \times \frac{s}{1+G(s)} \\ &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s+sG(s)} \\ &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s+sG(s)} \end{aligned}$
	Parabola	Constant acceleration	$\frac{1}{2}t^2$	$\frac{1}{s^3}$	$= \frac{1}{\lim_{s \to 0} sG(s)} \equiv \frac{1}{1+K_v}$ where $K_v = \lim_{s \to 0} sG(s).$ $e(\infty) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s^3} \times \frac{s}{1+G(s)}$ $= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s^{2}+s^{2}G(s)}$ $= \frac{1}{\lim_{s \to 0} s^{2}G(s)} \equiv \frac{1}{1+K_a}$ where $K_a = \lim_{s \to 0} s^{2}G(s).$

Note: the system must be **stable** (*i.e.*, all poles on left-hand side or at the origin) for these calculations to apply

© John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

2.004 Spring '13

Disturbances



From the I–O relationship of the plant,

$$C(s) = E(s)G_1(s)G_2(s) + D(s)G_2(s).$$

From the summation element,

$$E(s) = R(s) - C(s).$$

Substituting C(s) and solving for E(s),

$$E(s)=R(s)rac{1}{1+G_1(s)G_2(s)}-D(s)rac{G_2(s)}{1+G_1(s)G_2(s)}$$

Equivalent block diagram with D(s) as input and -E(s) as output.



© John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Disturbances



$$e(\infty) = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} s\left[R(s) rac{1}{1 + G_1(s)G_2(s)} - D(s) rac{G_2(s)}{1 + G_1(s)G_2(s)}
ight] \equiv e_R(\infty) + e_D(\infty),$$

 $egin{aligned} ext{where} \ e_R(\infty) &= \lim_{s o 0} rac{sR(s)}{1+G_1(s)G_2(s)} \ e_D(\infty) &= -\lim_{s o 0} rac{sG_2(s)D(s)}{1+G_1(s)G_2(s)}. \end{aligned}$



© John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

2.04A Systems and Controls Spring 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.