#### Autonomous Navigation of a Quadrotor Helicopter Using GPS and Vision Control

Group 1

December 10, 2009

#### **Project Tasks**

- Fly helicopter to a predetermined location using GPS feedback
- Take pictures at this location
- Fly a planned path along GPS coordinates
- Take pictures along the reference path
- Use GPS and camera feedback to visually servo to and land on a marked target

#### **Practical Applications**

- Any process involved with the discovery and inspection of small objects
- UAV refueling midflight
- Land mine detection by autonomous ground robots
- Landing of an AUV or parking an autonomous ground vehicle at a certain location based on object recognition

### **Quadrotor Specifications**

- Weighs 1.25 kg
- 200 g maximum payload
- 23 minute battery life (hovering)
- 12 minute battery life (with max load)

Photo of the Ascending Technologies Hummingbird Autopilot Quadrocopter removed due to copyright restrictions.

## **Quadrotor Dynamics**

- Independent thrust, pitch, roll and yaw.
- Quadrotor able to make precise maneuvers.
- Can move one of two ways



#### **Current Hardware Layout**



#### **Electrical and Signal Flow Schematic**





#### Controller:



- Point and go control strategy
- Needs to be robust against sensor noise and wind gusts
- Written in MATLAB

#### Quadrotor:



- Controllable pitch, roll, thrust, and yaw-rate.
- Low drag
- Internal stabilizing controls

#### Sensors:



- GPS
- Compass
- Camera
- Quadrotor's internal sensors
- Communicate wirelessly

#### Compass, Power Circuit, and Anemometer

Student A

## Compass



www.ocean-server.com

Images from the OpenClipArt Library and mangonha on Flickr.

### Compass

The heading is saved directly to a variable in MATLAB. (Using a C++ mex function called "compmat").





#### Big, but more reliable

#### Anemometer

A small AC generator. The output voltage increases linearly to the wind speed.



www.nrgsystems.com

www.arduino.cc





### **Rough Simulation**



## GPS Hardware and Integration

Students C and E

#### **GPS** Hardware

- XBee Communication
- Back-up Battery
  - Retains configuration settings
- Quadrotor Integration
  - □ 5V from power circuit

#### Current GPS Set-up



Images from the OpenClipArt Library and mangonha on Flickr.

#### GPS Accuracy Tests (Stationary)



#### Walk with GPS and Mock Controller

Roll

300

300

Timesteps

Timesteps Pitch 400

400

500

500

600

600



#### Proposed Region for Switch to Vision Control



### Waypoint Testing

- Combines compass and GPS
  Adds heading
- Use GPS to pick waypoints
- Walk quadrotor by following commands from controller
- Check for arrival at destinations

## Waypoint Testing



#### GPS on Flying Quadrotor



#### Accomplished:

Read GPS signal through XBee communication
 Maintain GPS settings using a watch battery
 Integrate GPS hardware with Quadrotor
 Transmit GPS signal from Quadrotor
 Send GPS data to flight controller

#### The Next Step:

Control quadrotor with GPS feedback

# **Control System**

Student B

#### Deliverables

Demonstrate closed loop control on a LTI model of the quadrotor

Demonstrate closed loop control of the quadrotor

# Control System Design

Strategy: Point and go

- Heading is set initially and is static
- Controlled variables
  - □ Yaw rate: points at the target
  - □ Roll: keeps on line to target
  - □ Pitch: determines speed forward or backwards
  - Thrust: offsets gravity and brings rotor to correct height
- Measured variables
  - □ Heading: compass
  - □ Latitude, Longitude positions: GPS
  - Height: internal pressure sensor
- PD control

#### **Model Assumptions**

- Linear Time Invariant
- Small angle pitch and roll (less than 5 deg)
- Max, Min thrust = 1.25mg and 0.75mg
- Rate of system: 4 Hz
- Added random noise to position data:
  - +/- 5m Gaussian error in X,Y
  - +/- 1m Gaussian error in height
  - +/- 10 Gaussian error degree for heading

#### Features

- Simulation mode and communication mode
- Waypoints enabled
- Mid-run user-activated terminate
- Mid-run user-activated hover toggle
- Flight data written to a file
- Recalculates route when overshoots

#### Future Work

Design against bad data-packetsTune gains for the real quadrotor

#### **Simulator Performance**



#### **Simulator Performance**



## To Do Differently

- Use a Cartesian coordinate control system instead of radial
- Start with a control system that only uses GPS

### Yaw Test

Set-up:

- Disturbed Quadrotor manually
- String contributed a restoring force
- Internal controls prevented fast



#### Data



#### Results

Steady state error
 Gains too low
 Steady disturbance from the string
 30 second settling time

### Arduino Microcontroller and Communication

Student D

### Mega Arduino



- On board control
- No need for XBees
- Successful compass communication
- Successful camera communication

Courtesy of Arduino.cc. Used with permission.

#### Other Work

- Helped with CMUCam communication
- Failed to successfully communicate with quadrotor
- Helped others with programming

#### Next Steps

- Continue work with Mega Arduino
- Read more about serial communication

#### What Could've Been Done Differently

- Research more early on
- Better use of available resources (mentors)

### CMUCam and Image Processing

Student F

### Image Processing Goals

- Take pictures of a predetermined location and also along a reference flight path
- Track a landing target at a known location
  Visually servo to the target using feedback from the image



### Finding Distances in X, Y, and Z

- Find target size as fraction of pixels in the image at known ranges
- With a target of known size, we can find a parameter that converts pixels to distance at a known range

Target Fraction of Image as a Function of Range





#### **T** Packets Centroid of tracked data Bounding box coordinates T 84 132 4 1 172 250 255 12 Indicates a color tracking data packet Number of pixels that match

the tracked color

Confidence

### What Went Wrong

- Neither CMUCam is ideal for our mission
- Wireless communication never really worked
  - XBee drops too many packets
- GPS waypoint tracking did not work
- Ran out of time

#### What We Could Have Done Differently

#### Problem

Worked independently-not the most effective

Solution

Weekly group meetings

Problem

Strategy depended on all hardware components working

Solution

Design a simpler, more independent system

Problem

Inexperience

Solution

Take more advantage of our resources

## Questions?

2.017J Design of Electromechanical Robotic Systems Fall 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.