18.969 Topics in Geometry: Mirror Symmetry Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

## MIRROR SYMMETRY: LECTURE 16

## DENIS AUROUX

0.1. Coherent Sheaves on a Complex Manifold (contd.) Let X be a complex manifold,  $\mathcal{O}_X$  the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X. Recall that the category of sheaves has both an internal  $\mathscr{H}om$  (which is a sheaf) and an external Hom (the group of global sections for the former). A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$  is left exact if  $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0 \implies 0 \to F(A) \to F(B) \to F(C)$ . If the category  $\mathcal{C}$  has enough injectives (objects such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_C(-, I)$  is exact), there are right-derived functors  $R^i F$  s.t.

(1) 
$$0 \to F(A) \to F(B) \to F(C) \to R^1 F(A) \to R^1 F(B) \to R^1 F(C) \to \cdots$$

To compute  $R^i F(A)$ , resolve A by injective objects as  $0 \to A \to I^0 \to I^1 \to I^2 \to \cdots$ , we get a complex  $0 \to F(I^0) \to F(I^1) \to F(I^2) \to \cdots$ . Taking cohomology gives  $R^i F(A) = \text{Ker} (F(I^i) \to F(I^{i+1}))/\text{im} (F(I^{i-1}) \to F(I^i))$ . Note that  $R^0 F(A) = F(A)$ .

We stated last time that sheaf cohomology arises as the right-derived functor of the global sections functor. Moreover, since  $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, -)$  and  $\operatorname{Hom}(-, \mathcal{E})$  are both left-exact (the first covariant, the second contravariant), we can define  $\operatorname{Ext}^{i} = R^{i}\operatorname{Hom}$ , and short exact sequences  $0 \to \mathcal{F}_{1} \to \mathcal{F}_{2} \to \mathcal{F}_{3} \to 0$  give

(2) 
$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}_1) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}_3) \\ \to \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}_1) \to \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}_2) \to \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}_3) \to \cdots$$

while sequences  $0 \to \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_3 \to 0$  give

(3) 
$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_3, \mathcal{F}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{F}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{F}) \\ \to \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_3, \mathcal{F}) \to \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{F}) \to \operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{F}) \to \cdots$$

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a locally free sheaf,  $\mathscr{H}om(\mathcal{E}, -)$  is exact, and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = H^{i}(\mathscr{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}))$ . Otherwise, we can resolve  $\mathcal{E}$  by locally free sheaves

(4) 
$$0 \to E_n \to \cdots \to E_0 \to \mathcal{E} \to 0$$

and, for all practical purposes, replace  $\mathcal{E}$  by the complex  $E_n \to \cdots \to E_0$ . In our case, we obtain a sequence  $\mathscr{H}om(E_0, \mathcal{F}) \to \cdots \to \mathscr{H}om(E_n, \mathcal{F})$  whose hypercohomology gives  $\operatorname{Ext}^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ .

## DENIS AUROUX

*Example.* Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a locally free sheaf,  $\mathcal{O}_p$  the skyscraper sheaf at a point p. Then  $\mathscr{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_p) \cong \mathcal{E}^*|_p$  is the skyscraper sheaf with stalk  $\mathcal{E}_p^*$  at p. Taking sheaf cohomology gives  $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_p) \cong \mathcal{E}_p^*$ ,  $\operatorname{Ext}^i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_p) = 0 \forall i \geq 1$ . Furthermore,  $\mathscr{H}om(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p) \cong \mathcal{O}_p$ : to obtain the higher Ext groups, we resolve  $\mathcal{O}_p$  by locally free sheaves. (WLOG) Assuming X is affine, local coordinates near p define a section s of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n} \cong V$   $(n = \dim X)$  vanishing transversely at p. We then have a long exact sequence

(5) 
$$0 \to \left( \bigwedge^{n} V^* \xrightarrow{s} \bigwedge^{n-1} V^* \xrightarrow{s} \cdots \xrightarrow{s} V^* \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}_X \right) \to \mathcal{O}_p \to 0$$

Applying  $\mathscr{H}om(-, \mathcal{O}_p)$ , we get

(6) 
$$\mathcal{O}_p \xrightarrow{0} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_p \xrightarrow{0} \cdots \xrightarrow{0} \bigwedge^{n-1} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_p \xrightarrow{0} \bigwedge^n V \otimes \mathcal{O}_p$$

(the maps are all zero, since all the sheaves are all skyscraper sheaves at p). Ext<sup>\*</sup>( $\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p$ ) is the hypercohomology of this complex, i.e.

(7) 
$$\operatorname{Ext}^{k}(\mathcal{O}_{p},\mathcal{O}_{p}) \cong H^{0}(\bigwedge^{k} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{p}) \cong \bigwedge^{k} V_{p}$$

Similarly,  $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{p}, \mathcal{E})$  can be computed by hypercohomology of

(8) 
$$\mathcal{E} \to \stackrel{s}{\to} V \otimes \mathcal{E} \stackrel{s}{\to} \bigwedge^2 V \otimes \mathcal{E} \stackrel{s}{\to} \cdots \stackrel{s}{\to} \bigwedge^n V \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

which is the Koszul resolution of the skyscraper sheaf with stalk  $\bigwedge^n V \otimes \mathcal{E}$ at p. This sequence is exact except in the last place, and the cokernel is a skyscraper sheaf with stalk  $\bigwedge^n \otimes \mathcal{E}$  at p. Thus,  $\operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\bigwedge^n V \otimes \mathcal{E})_p$  with all other groups zero. This is consistent with the Serre duality  $\operatorname{Ext}^i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \cong$  $\operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}(\mathcal{F}, K_X \otimes \mathcal{E})^{\vee}$ .

0.2. **Derived Categories.** The general idea is to work with complexes up to homotopy.

- Enlarging a category to include complexes makes it algebraically nicer (e.g. the derived category is *triangulated*) and less sensitive to the initial set of objects (we can restrict to a nice subcategory). For instance, for Fukaya categories, one can hope to allow objects like immersed Lagrangians implicitly.
- Even if we know how to define general objects, it is usually easier to replace them with complexes of nice objects. For instance, for  $s \in H^0(\mathcal{L}), D = s^{-1}(0)$ , we can exchange  $\mathcal{O}_D$  with the complex  $\{\mathcal{L}^{-1} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}_X\}$ .

*Example.* This makes it easier to perform intersection theory: for  $D_1, D_2$  defined by sections  $s_1, s_2$  of  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ , their homological intersection is

(9) 
$$[D_1] \cdot [D_2] = c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) \cup c_1(\mathcal{L}_2) \cap [X] = c_1(\mathcal{L}_1|_{D_2}) \cdot [D_2]$$

If  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  intersect transversely,  $\mathcal{O}_{D_1 \cap D_2} = \mathcal{O}_{D_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_2}$ . We can also resolve this using the associated complex, i.e. apply  $- \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_2}$  to  $\{\mathcal{L}_1^{-1} \xrightarrow{s_1} \mathcal{O}_X\}$ , obtaining  $\{\mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{D_2} \xrightarrow{s_1|_{D_2}} \mathcal{O}_{D_2}\}$ . If  $D_1 = D_2 = D$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_D = \mathcal{O}_D$  is "too big" (because  $\otimes$  is right exact but not exact). Using the associated complex still works, however, as we obtain  $\{\mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_D \xrightarrow{s|_D=0} \mathcal{O}_D\}$  with kernel  $\mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_D$  and cokernel  $\mathcal{O}_D$ .

• When do we consider two complexes to be isomorphic? Having isomorphic cohomology is not enough. For instance, in algebraic topology, a theorem of Whitehead states that, for X, Y simply connected simplicial complexes, X and Y are homotopy equivalent  $\Leftrightarrow \exists Z$  and simplical maps  $X \to Z, Y \to Z$  s.t. the chain maps  $C^*(Z) \to C^*(X), C^*(Z) \to C^*(Y)$  are isomorphisms in cohomology.

**Definition 1.** A chain map  $f : C_* \to D_*$  (i.e. a collection of maps  $f_iC_i \to D_i$  commuting with  $\partial$ ) is a quasi-isomorphism if the induced maps on cohomology are isomorphisms.

This is stronger than  $H^*(C_*) \cong H^*(D_*)$ .

*Example.* The complexes of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ -modules  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\oplus 2} \to_{(x,y)} \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[x, y] \to_0 \mathbb{C}$  have the same cohomology but are not quasi-isomorphic.