## SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY, LECTURE 13

## Prof. Denis Auroux

## 1. INTEGRABILITY OF ALMOST-COMPLEX STRUCTURES

Recall the following:

Definition 1. The Nijenhuis tensor is the form

 $N_J(u, v) = [Ju, Jv] - J[u, Jv] - J[Ju, v] - [u, v]$ 

**Proposition 1.**  $N(u, v) = -8 \operatorname{Re}([u^{1,0}, v^{1,0}]^{0,1}).$ 

*Proof.*  $[u^{1,0}, v^{1,0}] = \frac{1}{4}[u - iJu, v - iJv] = \frac{1}{4}([u, v] - i[Ju, v] - i[u, Jv] - [Ju, Jv])$ . Taking the real part of the (0, 1) component gives the desired expression.

**Corollary 1.** N = 0 globally  $\Leftrightarrow [T^{1,0}, T^{1,0}] \subset T^{1,0}$ , *i.e.* the Lie bracket preserves the splitting  $T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}$ .

**Proposition 2.** N is a tensor, i.e. in depends only on the values of u, v.

Note also that N is by definition skew-symmetric an J-antilinear. In fact, N can be taken as a complex map  $\bigwedge^2(TM, J) \to (TM, -J)$ . Thus, if dim  $_{\mathbb{R}}M = 2, N = 0$ , since N(u, Ju) = -JN(u, u) = 0.

**Definition 2.** An almost-complex structure J is a complex structure if it is integrable, i.e. if  $\exists$  local holomorphic coordinates s.t.  $(M, J) \cong (\mathbb{C}^n, i)$  locally.

**Proposition 3.** If J is a complex structure, N = 0.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that, on  $T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n, [\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}] = 0.$ 

**Theorem 1** (Newlander-Nirenberg).  $N \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow J$  is integrable.

*Proof.* Sketch: producing holomorphic coordinates is equivalent to giving a frame on the tangent bundle of the form  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\}$ , which is the same as finding a basis  $\{e_i\}$  of  $T^{1,0}$  s.t.  $[e_i, e_j] = 0$ .

This does not make the problem of determining whether a manifold has some complex structure trivial: for instance, it is currently unknown whether  $S^6$  has an integrable complex structure.

We can extend our tensor to differential forms to obtain alternate ways to determine integrability.

**Proposition 4.** The dual map  $N^* : \bigwedge^{0,1} T^*M \to \bigwedge^{2,0} T^*M$  is precisely the map  $N^*\alpha = (d\alpha)^{(2,0)}$ .

*Proof.* For  $\alpha \in \Omega^{0,1}$ , we have a decomposition  $d\alpha = \partial \alpha + \overline{\partial} \alpha + (d\alpha)^{(2,0)} \in \Omega^{1,1} \oplus \Omega^{0,2} \oplus \Omega^{2,0}$ . Moreover,

(2) 
$$d\alpha^{(2,0)}(u,v) = d\alpha^{(2,0)}(u^{1,0},v^{1,0}) = d\alpha(u^{1,0},v^{1,0}) = u^{1,0} \cdot \alpha(v^{1,0}) - v^{1,0} \cdot \alpha(u^{1,0}) - \alpha([u^{1,0},v^{1,0}])$$

The first two terms of the latter expression vanish, implying that  $d\alpha(u^{1,0}, v^{1,0}) = 8\alpha(N(u, v))$ .

Similarly, for 
$$\beta \in \Omega^{1,0}$$
, we have  $\overline{N}^* \beta = (d\beta)^{(0,2)}$ . Note that, for f a function,  $df = \partial f + \overline{\partial} f$ , so

(3) 
$$ddf = d(\partial f) + d(\overline{\partial} f) = (\partial \partial f + \overline{\partial} \partial f + \overline{N}^* \partial f) + (N^* \overline{\partial} f + \partial \overline{\partial} f + \overline{\partial} \overline{\partial} f)$$

so  $\overline{\partial}^2 f = -\overline{N}^* \partial f$ . If f is holomorphic,  $\overline{\partial} f = 0 \implies \overline{\partial} \overline{\partial} f = 0 \implies \overline{N}^* \partial f = 0$ . Therefore, if there exist  $z_i : M \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic functions s.t.  $\partial z_i$  generate  $T^* M^{1,0}$ , then N = 0 and  $\overline{\partial}^2 = 0$ .

**Theorem 2** (Newlander-Nirenberg). *J* is integrable  $\Leftrightarrow N \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow [T^{1,0}, T^{1,0}] \subset T^{1,0} \Leftrightarrow d = \partial + \overline{\partial} \Leftrightarrow \overline{\partial}^2 = 0$  on forms.

## Prof. Denis Auroux

Finally, we return to the case of M a symplectic manifold with compatible a.c.s. J and induced metric g. Denote by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection given by g. In this case, J is integrable  $\Leftrightarrow \nabla(Jv) = J\nabla(v) \Leftrightarrow \nabla J = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla(T^{1,0}) \subset T^{1,0}$ .

**Definition 3.** A symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega, J)$  is Kähler if J is integrable and compatible with  $\omega$ . That is, (M, J) is a complex manifold,  $\omega$  is a closed, positive, real, nondegenerate (1, 1)-form (i.e.  $\omega(Ju, Ju) = \omega(u, v)$ ).

*Example.*  $(\mathbb{C}^n, \omega_0, i)$  is Kähler.

Example. Any Riemann surface (oriented with area form) is Kähler.

*Example.* The complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}/(z_0, \ldots, z_n) \sim (\lambda z_0, \ldots, \lambda z_n)$  is Kähler. The points are given as homogeneous coordinates  $[z_0 : \cdots : z_n]$ , with coordinate charts

(4) 
$$\mathbb{C}^{n} \cong U_{i} = \{z_{i} \neq 0\} = \{[\frac{z_{0}}{z_{i}} : \dots : 1 : \dots : \frac{z_{n}}{z_{i}}]\}$$

and coordinate changes (WLOG on  $U_0 \cap U_1$ ) given by  $[1 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n] \mapsto [\frac{1}{z_1} : 1 : \frac{z_2}{z_1} : \cdots : \frac{z_n}{z_1}]$ . Note that  $\mathbb{C}P^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \cong S^2$ : more generally,

(5) 
$$\mathbb{C}P^n = \{ [1:z_1:\cdots z_n] \} \sqcup \{ [0:z_1:\cdots z_n] | z_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \} = \mathbb{C}^n \cup \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \}$$

so we can construct the spaces inductively from cells in dimension  $2i, i \in \{0, ..., n\}$ .

We claim that  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  has a symplectic structure compatible with the complex structure given above.