## Lecture 6 <br> Primality, Factoring, RSA, Hensel's Lemma

CRT and the number of solutions - we have a congruence

$$
a_{k} x^{k}+a_{k-1} x^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{0} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod n), \quad a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

We want to know all solutions $\bmod n$, and in particular the number of solutions. Write $n=p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \ldots p_{r}^{e_{r}}$. Then solving the congruence $\bmod m$ reduces to solving it $\bmod p_{i}^{e_{i}}$ for all $i$. If $x$ satisfies the congruence $\bmod n$ then it is a solution of the congruence $\bmod p_{i}^{e_{i}}$ for all $i$. Conversely if $x_{1}$ is a solution $\bmod p_{1}^{e_{1}}$ and $x_{2}$ is a solution $\bmod p_{2}^{e_{2}}$, etc., then the CRT says that there exists a unique $x \bmod n$ such that $x \equiv x_{i} \bmod p_{i}^{e_{i}}$ for all $i$.

Now for this $x, x \equiv x_{i} \bmod p_{i}^{e_{i}}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{k} x^{k}+a_{k-1} x^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{0} & \equiv a_{k} x_{i}^{k}+a_{k-1} x_{i}^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{0} \\
& \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p_{i}^{e_{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $x$ is a solution $\bmod n$, so this process gives a bijection.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { solutions } \\
\bmod p_{1}^{e_{1}}
\end{array}\right\} \times\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { solutions } \\
\bmod p_{2}^{e_{2}}
\end{array}\right\} \ldots\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { solutions } \\
\bmod p_{r}^{e_{r}}
\end{array}\right\} \leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { solutions } \\
\bmod n
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In particular, the total number of solutions $\bmod n$ is

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{r} \# \text { of solutions } \bmod p_{i}^{e_{i}}
$$

Primality Testing: Given $n$, we want to determine if $n$ is prime or composite. Input $n$ has $\log n$ digits. We call an algorithm efficient if it's polynomial in input - in this case, poly $(\log n)$ steps. Obvious algorithm is to divide by every prime starting from 2 to $\lfloor\sqrt{n}\rfloor$, which is $O(\sqrt{n})$ steps, or $\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \log n\right)$.

Test using Fermat's Little Theorem - if $n$ is prime and $n \nmid a$, then $a^{n-1} \equiv 1$ $\bmod n$.

1. Pick an integer $a \in\{2 \ldots n-1\}$.
2. Compute $(a, n)$ : if it is $>1$, then $n$ is composite.
3. Otherwise compute $a^{n-1}$ : if $\not \equiv 1 \bmod a$ then done, $n$ is composite. If $\equiv 1 \bmod n$, then is inconclusive. Could try another random $a$. There are composite numbers that satisfy $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ for all $(a, n)=1$ (eg., 561) called Carmichael numbers.

Refinement: if $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \bmod n$, compute $a^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ (since $n-1$ is even). If $n$ is prime, must equal $\pm 1 \bmod n$.

$$
\text { If } \begin{aligned}
a^{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \not \equiv \pm 1 \quad \bmod n, \text { then } n \text { is composite } \\
& \equiv-1 \quad \bmod n, \text { then inconclusive, go to another } a \\
& \equiv 1 \quad \bmod n \text { and } 4 \mid n-1, \text { then repeat with } a^{\frac{n-1}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n$ passes all these tests for a given $a, n$ is a strong pseudoprime to base $a$. If $n$ is prime, it's going to pass all these tests. If $n$ is composite, it's pseudoprime to base $a$ for at most $\frac{3}{4}$ of all possible $a$ (usually much smaller).

So if we pick a random $a \bmod n$ then $n$ will pass the test with probability at most $\frac{3}{4}$. If it passes, then pick another random $a$. The probability of $n$ passing $k$ tests will be at most $\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{k}$, which decreases exponentially with $k$. And so if you do $c \log n$ trials, the at most probability goes like $\frac{1}{n^{*}}$. So if $n$ passes $c \log n$ trials (for some large enough $c \approx 100$ ), then probability that $n$ is prime is very close to 1.

This is poly $(\log )$ steps, but we want a deterministic algorithm. Solved in 2002 by AKS (Agrawal, Kayal, Saxena). The main idea is that $n>2$ is prime if and only if

$$
(x-a)^{n} \equiv x^{n}-a \quad(\bmod n) \quad \text { as polynomials }
$$

Check different values of a, but there are $n$ possible choices of $a$ and expansion is slow. Way to avoid is with CRT by looking at both sides $\bmod n$ and modulo a small degree polynomial.

Factorization If $n$ is composite, how do we factor in poly $(\log n)$ time. The obvious way is to divide by all, which is $O(\sqrt{n})$.

Pollard Rho Let $f(x)=x^{2}+1 . x_{0}=1, x_{1}=f\left(x_{0}\right) \bmod n, x_{n}=f\left(x_{n-1}\right)$ $\bmod n$ gives the sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots x_{i}$. Heuristic: if $n=p m$ with small $p$ then this sequence will start repeating $\bmod p$ earlier than $\bmod n$. So if $x_{j} \equiv x_{i} \bmod p$ but not $\bmod n$, then $\left(x_{i}-x_{j}, n\right)$ will give a factor of $n$.

In practice only need to compute $x_{k}$ and $x_{2 k}, x_{2 k}$ and $x_{4 k}$, etc., and check gcd. Heuristic: if $p$ is smallest prime factor of $n$, we expect to get a factor of $n$ using this algorithm in about $\sqrt{p}$ steps.

Elliptic curve based factoring gives $\exp (c \sqrt{\log n \log \log n})$. Number field sieve gives $\exp \left(c\left(\log n(\log \log n)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)$. We still don't have an efficient algorithm for factoring, a fact that much of modern cryptography is based on.

Cryptography - RSA Alice and Bob (A and B) want to pass messages, and Carol is eavesdropper. A can send a message to $B$, converted into bits - equivalent to sending an integer $m$. Wants to encrypt the number so $C$ can't understand it.

Obvious method is to use a shared key model, where A and B have some shared key. With a message $m$, A can send $m+k$, or $m \oplus k$ (where $\oplus$ is the bitwise exclusive OR). B can decrypt the message by subtracting $k:(m+k)-k=m$, $(m \oplus k) \oplus k=m$. This is not so good if we want to send multiple messages - if C sees $m_{1}+k$ and $m_{2}+k$, then C can figure out $m_{1}-m_{2}$, which gives her some information about $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, which is bad. This is also not efficient (number of keys needed grows quadratically as number of members to pass messages between increases).

Instead, use public key cryptography. One model is RSA (Rivest, Shamir, Adleman). The idea is that B generates two large primes $p$ and $q$ of about equal size. Set $N=p q$.

$$
\phi(N)=\phi(p) \phi(q)=(p-1)(q-1)
$$

Then B chooses $e$ coprime to $\phi(N)$ and computes $f=e^{-1} \bmod \phi(N)$. B publishes $N$ and $e$ as his public key. If A wants to send message $m$, assuming that $0<m<N$ (if not, then we break into chunks), and $(m, N)=1$. A then computes $m^{e} \bmod N$ and sends it to B, who decrypts it by computing $\left(m^{e}\right)^{f}$ $\bmod N$. The idea is that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =e^{-1} \\
f e & \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod \phi(N)) \\
& =1+k \phi(N) \\
m^{e f} & =m^{1+k \phi(N)} \\
& =m\left(m^{\phi(N)}\right)^{k} \\
& \equiv m 1^{k} \quad(\bmod N) \\
& \equiv m \quad(\bmod N)
\end{aligned}
$$

$f$ is secret, so C has no way to compute $m$ from $m^{e}$ - this relies on the hardness of factoring.

Hensel's Lemma - this is a way to solve congruences $\bmod p^{e}$ if we know solutions mod $p$ (analog to Newton's Method for finding roots of polynomials).

Theorem 26 (Hensel's Lemma). Suppose that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], f(a) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{j}$, and $f^{\prime}(a) \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Then there's a unique $t \bmod p$ such that $f\left(a+t p^{j}\right) \equiv 0$ $\bmod p^{j+1}$. That is, there's a unique solution $b \bmod p^{j+1}$ which is congruent to a mod $p^{j}$, (ie., b reduces to a $\bmod p^{j}$, a lifts to $b \bmod p^{j+1}$ ).

Proof. We're looking for solutions $b=a+t p^{j}$ where $t \in\{0,1, \ldots p-1\}$ to the congruence $\bmod p^{j+1}$. Want to see if one of these $t$ works. Use Taylor expansion around $a$ :

$$
f\left(a+t p^{j}\right)=f(a)+t p^{j} f^{\prime}(a)+\frac{\left(t p^{j}\right)^{2}}{2!} f^{\prime \prime}(a)+\cdots+\frac{\left(t p^{j}\right)^{n}}{n!} f^{(n)}(a)
$$

## Lemma 27.

$$
f\left(a+t p^{j}\right) \equiv f(a)+t p^{j} f^{\prime}(a) \quad \bmod p^{j+1} \text { if } j \geq 1
$$

Proof. $\frac{f^{(n)}}{n!}$ is an integer if $f$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients, and so follows after we see that $p^{n j} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{j+1}$.
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