# SOLUTIONS TO EXERCISES 

## CHAPTER I

## A. Manifolds

A.2. If $p_{1}, p_{2} \in M$ are sufficiently close within a coordinate neighborhood $U$, there exists a diffeomorphism mapping $p_{1}$ to $p_{2}$ and leaving $M-U$ pointwise fixed. Now consider a curve segment $\gamma(t)(0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1)$ in $M$ joining $p$ to $q$. Let $t^{*}$ be the supremum of those $t$ for which there exists a diffeomorphism of $M$ mapping $p$ on $\gamma(t)$. The initial remark shows first that $t^{*}>0$, next that $t^{*}=1$, and finally that $t^{*}$ is reached as a maximum.
A.3. The "only if" is obvious and "if" follows from the uniqueness in Prop. 1.1. Now let $\mathfrak{F}=C^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R})$ where $\boldsymbol{R}$ is given the ordinary differentiable structure. If $n$ is an odd integer, let $\mathfrak{F}^{n}$ denote the set of functions $x \rightarrow f\left(x^{n}\right)$ on $R, f \in \mathscr{F}$ being arbitrary. Then $\mathfrak{F}^{n}$ satisfies $\mathscr{F}_{1}, \mathfrak{F}_{2}, \mathfrak{F}_{3}$. Since $\mathscr{F}^{n} \neq \mathscr{F}^{m}$ for $n \neq m$, the corresponding $\delta^{n}$ are all different.
A.4. (i) If $d \Phi \cdot X=Y$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$, then $X(f \circ \Phi)=$ (Yf) $\circ \Phi \in \mathfrak{F}_{0}$. On the other hand, suppose $X \mathfrak{F}_{0} \subset \mathfrak{F}_{0}$. If $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{0}$, then $F=g \circ \Phi$ where $g \in C^{\infty}(N)$ is unique. If $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$, then $X(f \circ \Phi)=$ $g \circ \Phi\left(g \in C^{\infty}(N)\right.$ unique $)$, and $f \rightarrow g$ is a derivation, giving $Y$.
(ii) If $d \Phi \cdot X=Y$, then $Y_{\Phi(p)}=d \Phi_{p}\left(X_{p}\right)$, so necessity follows. Suppose $d \Phi_{p}\left(M_{p}\right)=N_{\Phi(p)}$ for each $p \in M$. Define for $r \in N, Y_{r}=$ $d \Phi_{p}\left(X_{p}\right)$ if $r=\Phi(p)$. In order to show that $Y: r \rightarrow Y_{r}$ is differentiable we use (by virtue of Theorem 15.5) coordinates around $p$ and around $r=\Phi(p)$ such that $\Phi$ has the expression $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \rightarrow\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Writing

$$
X=\sum_{1}^{m} a_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

we have for $q$ sufficiently near $p$

$$
d \Phi_{q}\left(X_{q}\right)=\sum_{1}^{n} a_{i}\left(x_{1}(q), \ldots, x_{m}(q)\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{\Phi(q)},
$$

so condition (1) implies that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, a_{i}$ is constant in the last $m-n$ arguments. Hence

$$
Y=\sum_{1}^{n} a_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}(p), \ldots, x_{m}(p)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

(iii) $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$ if and only if $f \circ \psi \in C^{\infty}(R)$. If $f(x)=x^{3}$, then $f \circ \psi(x)=x, \quad\left(f^{\prime} \circ \psi\right)(x)=3 x^{\frac{z}{2}}$, so $f \in C^{\infty}(N), f^{\prime} \notin C^{\infty}(N)$. Hence $f \circ \Phi \in \mathfrak{\mho}_{0}$, but $X(f \circ \Phi) \notin \mathfrak{F}_{0}$; so by (i), $X$ is not projectable.

## A.5. Obvious.

A.6. Use Props. 15.2 and 15.3 to shrink the given covering to a new one; then use the result of Exercise A. 1 to imitate the proof of Theorem 1.3.
A.7. We can assume $M=R^{m}, p=0$, and that $X_{0}=\left(\partial / \partial t_{1}\right)_{0}$ in terms of the standard coordinate system $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\}$ on $\boldsymbol{R}^{m}$. Consider the integral curve $\varphi_{l}\left(0, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right)$ of $X$ through $\left(0, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right)$. Then the mapping $\psi:\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right) \rightarrow \varphi_{c_{1}}\left(0, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right)$ is $C^{\infty}$ for small $c_{i}$, $\psi\left(0, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right)=\left(0, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right)$, so

$$
d \psi_{0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial c_{i}}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i}}\right)_{0} \quad(i>1)
$$

Also

$$
d \psi_{0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial c_{1}}\right)_{0}=\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{c_{1}}}{\partial c_{1}}\right)(0)=X_{0}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}}\right)_{0} .
$$

Thus $\psi$ can be inverted near 0 , so $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$ is a local coordinate system. Finally, if $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial c_{1}}\right)_{\psi(c)} f & =\left(\frac{\partial(f \circ \psi)}{\partial c_{1}}\right)_{c} \\
& =\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h}\left[f\left(\varphi_{c_{1}+h}\left(0, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right)\right)-f\left(\varphi_{c_{1}}\left(0, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right)\right]\right. \\
& =(X f)(\psi(c))
\end{aligned}
$$

so $X=\partial / \partial c_{1}$.
A.8. Let $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Writing $\sim$ below when in an equality we omit terms of higher order in $s$ or $t$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\psi_{-t}\left(\varphi_{-s}\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)\right)\right)-f(o) \\
&= f\left(\psi_{-t}\left(\varphi_{-s}\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)\right)\right)-f\left(\varphi_{-s}\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)\right) \\
&\left.+f\left(\varphi_{-s}\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)\right)-f\left(\psi_{t} t \varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right) \\
&+f\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)-f\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)+f\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)-f(o) \\
& \sim-t(Y f)\left(\varphi_{-s}\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} t^{2}\left(Y^{2} f\right)\left(\varphi_{-s}\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)\right) \\
&-s(X f)\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} s^{2}\left(X^{2} f\right)\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right) \\
&+t(Y f)\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}\left(Y^{2} f\right)\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right) \\
& \quad+s(X f)\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}\left(X^{2} f\right)\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right) \\
& \sim s t(X Y f)\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right)-s t(Y X f)\left(\psi_{t}\left(\varphi_{s}(o)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This last expression is obtained by pairing off the 1st and 5th term, the 3 rd and 7 th, the 2 nd and 6 th, and the 4 th and 8 th. Hence

$$
f\left(\gamma\left(t^{2}\right)\right)-f(o)=t^{2}([X, Y] f)(o)+O\left(t^{3}\right)
$$

A similar proof is given in Faber [1].

## B. The Lie Derivative and the Interior Product

B.1. If the desired extension of $\theta(X)$ exists and if $C: \mathfrak{D}_{1}^{1}(M) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$ is the contraction, then (i), (ii), (iii) imply

$$
(\theta(X) \omega)(Y)=X(\omega(Y))-\omega([X, Y]), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{D}^{1}(M)
$$

Thus we define $\theta(X)$ on $\mathfrak{D}_{1}(M)$ by this relation and note that $(\theta(X) \omega)(f Y)=f(\theta(X)(\omega))(Y)\left(f \in C^{\infty}(M)\right)$, so $\theta(X) \quad \mathfrak{D}_{1}(M) \subset \mathfrak{D}_{1}(M)$. If $U$ is a coordinate neighborhood with coordinates $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}, \theta(X)$ induces an endomorphism of $C^{\infty}(U), \mathfrak{D}^{1}(U)$, and $\mathfrak{D}_{1}(U)$. Putting $X_{i}=$ $\partial / \partial x_{i}, \omega_{j}=d x_{j}$, each $T \in \mathfrak{D}_{s}^{r}(U)$ can be written

$$
T=\sum T_{(i),(j)} X_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes X_{i_{r}} \otimes \omega_{j_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_{j_{s}}
$$

with unique coefficients $T_{(i),(j)} \in C^{\infty}(U)$. Now $\theta(X)$ is uniquely extended to $\mathfrak{D}(U)$ satisfying (i) and (ii). Property (iii) is then verified by induction on $r$ and $s$. Finally, $\theta(X)$ is defined on $\mathcal{D}(M)$ by the condition $\theta(X) T \mid U=\theta(X)(T \mid U)$ (vertical bar denoting restriction) because as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 this condition is forced by the requirement that $\theta(X)$ should be a derivation.
B.2. The first part being obvious, we just verify $\Phi \cdot \omega=\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)^{*} \omega$. We may assume $\omega \in \mathfrak{D}_{1}(M)$. If $X \in \mathfrak{D}^{1}(M)$ and $C$ is the contraction $X \otimes \omega \rightarrow \omega(X)$, then $\Phi \circ C=C \circ \Phi \quad$ implies $\quad(\Phi \cdot \omega)(X)=$ $\Phi\left(\omega\left(X^{\Phi-1}\right)\right)=\left(\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)^{*} \omega\right)(X)$.
B.3. The formula is obvious if $T=f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Next let $T=$ $Y \in \mathfrak{D}^{1}(M)$. If $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $q \in M$, we put $F(t, q)=f\left(g_{t} \cdot q\right)$ and have

$$
F(t, q)-F(0, q)=t \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\right)(s t, q) d s=t h(t, q)
$$

where $h \in C^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R} \times M)$ and $h(0, q)=(X f)(q)$. Then

$$
\left(g_{t} \cdot Y\right)_{p} f=\left(Y\left(f \circ g_{t}\right)\right)\left(g_{t}^{-1} \cdot p\right)=(Y f)\left(g_{t}^{-1} \cdot p\right)+t(Y h)\left(t, g_{t}^{-1} \cdot p\right)
$$

so

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}\left(Y-g_{t} \cdot Y\right)_{p} f=(X Y f)(p)-(Y X f)(p)
$$

so the formula holds for $T \in \mathcal{D}^{1}(M)$. But the endomorphism $T \rightarrow$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}\left(T-g_{t} \cdot T\right)$ has properties (i), (ii), and (iii) of Exercise B.1; it coincides with $\theta(X)$ on $C^{\infty}(M)$ and on $\mathfrak{D}^{1}(M)$, hence on all of $\mathcal{D}(M)$ by the uniqueness in Exercise B.l.
B.4. For (i) we note that both sides are derivations of $\mathcal{D}(M)$ commuting with contractions, preserving type, and having the same effect on $\mathfrak{D}^{1}(M)$ and on $C^{\infty}(M)$. The argument of Exercise B.l shows that they coincide on $\mathfrak{D}(M)$.
(ii) If $\omega \in \mathfrak{D}_{r}(M), Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r} \in \mathfrak{D}^{1}(M)$, then by B.1,

$$
(\theta(X) \omega)\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right)=X\left(\omega\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right)\right)-\sum_{i} \omega\left(Y_{1}, \ldots,\left[X, Y_{i}\right], \ldots, Y_{r}\right)
$$

so $\theta(X)$ commutes with $A$.
(iii) Since $\theta(X)$ is a derivation of $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ and $d$ is a skew-derivation (that is, satisfies (iv) in Theorem 2.5), the commutator $\theta(X) d-d \theta(X)$ is also a skew-derivation. Since it vanishes on $f$ and $d f\left(f \in C^{\infty}(M)\right)$, it vanishes identically (cf. Exercise B.1). For B.1-B.4, cf. Palais [3].
B.5. This is done by the same method as in Exercise B.1.
B.6. For (i) we note that by (iii) in Exercise B.5, $i(X)^{2}$ is a derivation. Since it vanishes on $C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{1}(M)$, it vanishes identically; (ii) follows by induction; (iii) follows since both sides are skew-derivations which coincide on $C^{\infty}(M)$ and on $\mathfrak{N}_{1}(M)$; (iv) follows because both sides are derivations which coincide on $C^{\infty}(M)$ and on $\mathfrak{A}_{1}(M)$.

## C. Affine Connections

C.1. $M$ has a locally finite covering $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in A}$ by coordinate neighborhoods $U_{\alpha}$. On $U_{\alpha}$ we construct an arbitrary Riemannian structure $g_{\alpha}$. If $1=\Sigma_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}$ is a partition of unity subordinate to the covering, then $\Sigma_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}$ gives the desired Riemannian structure on $M$.
C.2. If $\Phi$ is an affine transformation and we write $d \Phi\left(\partial / \partial x_{j}\right)=$ $\Sigma_{i} a_{i j} \partial / \partial x_{i}$, then conditions $\nabla_{1}$ and $\nabla_{2}$ imply that each $a_{i j}$ is a constant. If $A$ is the linear transformation $\left(a_{i j}\right)$, then $\Phi \circ A^{-1}$ has differential $I$, hence is a translation $B$, so $\Phi(X)=A X+B$. The converse is obvious.
C.3. We have $\Phi^{*} \omega_{j}^{i}=\Sigma_{k}\left(\Gamma_{k j}^{i} \circ \Phi\right) \Phi^{*} \omega^{k}$, so by $\left(5^{\prime}\right),(6),(7)$ in $\S 8$

$$
\Phi^{*} \omega_{j}^{i}=\sum_{k}\left(\Gamma_{k j}^{i} \circ \Phi\right)\left(a_{k} d t+t d a_{k}\right)=0
$$

This implies that $\Gamma_{k j}^{i} \equiv 0$ in normal coordinates, which is equivalent to the result stated in the exercise.
C.4. A direct verification shows that the mapping $\delta: \theta \rightarrow$ $\sum_{1}^{m} \omega_{i} \wedge \nabla_{x_{i}}(\theta)$ is a skew-derivation of $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ and that it coincides with $d$ on $C^{\infty}(M)$. Next let $\theta \in \mathfrak{a}_{1}(M), X, Y \in \mathfrak{D}^{1}(M)$. Then, using (5), §7,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \delta \theta(X, Y) & =2 \sum_{i}\left(\omega_{i} \wedge \nabla_{x_{i}}(\theta)\right)(X, Y) \\
& =\sum_{i} \omega_{i}(X) \nabla_{x_{i}}(\theta)(Y)-\omega_{i}(Y) \nabla_{x_{i}}(\theta)(X) \\
& =\nabla_{X}(\theta)(Y)-\nabla_{Y}(\theta)(X) \\
& =X \cdot \theta(Y)-\theta\left(\nabla_{X}(Y)\right)-Y \cdot \theta(X)+\theta\left(\nabla_{Y}(X)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which since the torsion is 0 equals

$$
X \theta(Y)-Y \cdot \theta(X)-\theta([X, Y])=2 d \theta(X, Y)
$$

Thus $\delta=d$ on $\mathfrak{U}_{1}(M)$, hence by the above on all of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$.
C.5. No; an example is given by a circular cone with the vertex rounded off.
C.6. Using Props. 11.3 and 11.4 we obtain a mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ such that $d \varphi_{p}$ is an isometry for each $p \in M$. Thus $\varphi(M) \subset N$ is an open subset. Each geodesic in the manifold $\varphi(M)$ is indefinitely extendable, so $\varphi(M)$ is complete, whence $\varphi$ maps $M$ onto $N$. Now Lemma 13.4 implies that $(M, \varphi)$ is a covering space of $N$, so $M$ and $N$ are isometric.

## D. Submanifolds

D.1. Let $I: G_{\Phi} \rightarrow M \times N$ denote the identity mapping and $\pi: M \times N \rightarrow M$ the projection onto the first factor. Let $m \in M$ and $Z \in\left(G_{\Phi}\right)_{(m, \Phi(m)}$ such that $d I_{m}(Z)=0$. Then $Z=(d \varphi)_{m}(X)$ where $X \in M_{m}$. Thus $d \pi \circ d I \circ d \varphi(X)=0$. But since $\pi \circ I \circ \varphi$ is the identity mapping, this implies $X=0$, so $Z=0$ and $I$ is regular.
D.2. Immediate from Lemma 14.1.
D.3. Consider the figure 8 given by the formula

$$
\gamma(t)=(\sin 2 t, \sin t) \quad(0 \leqslant t \leqslant 2 \pi)
$$

Let $f(s)$ be an increasing function on $\boldsymbol{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} f(s)=0, \quad f(0)=\pi, \quad \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} f(s)=2 \pi
$$

Then the map $s \rightarrow \gamma(f(s))$ is a bijection of $\boldsymbol{R}$ onto the figure 8. Carrying the manifold structure of $R$ over, we get a submanifold of $R^{2}$ which is closed, yet does not carry the induced topology. Replacing $\gamma$ by $\delta$ given by $\delta(t)=(-\sin 2 t$, sint $t)$, we get another manifold structure on the figure.
D.4. Suppose $\operatorname{dim} M<\operatorname{dim} N$. Using the notation of Prop. 3.2, let $W$ be a compact neighborhood of $p$ in $M$ and $W \subset U$. By the countability assumption, countably many such $W$ cover $M$. Thus by Lemma 3.1, Chapter II, for $N$, some such $W$ contains an open set in $N$; contradiction.
D.5. For each $m \in M$ there exists by Prop. 3.2 an open neighborhood $V_{m}$ of $m$ in $N$ and an extension of $g$ from $V_{m} \cap M$ to a $C^{\infty}$ function $G_{m}$ on $V_{m}$. The covering $\left\{V_{m}\right\}_{m \in M}, N-M$ of $N$ has a countable locally finite refinement $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots$. Let $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots$ be the corresponding partition of unity. Let $\varphi_{i_{1}}, \varphi_{i_{2}}, \ldots$ be the subsequence of the ( $\varphi_{j}$ ) whose supports intersect $M$, and for each $\varphi_{i_{p}}$ choose $m_{p} \in M$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\varphi_{i_{p}}\right) \subset V_{m_{p}}$. Then $\Sigma_{p} G_{m_{p}} \varphi_{i_{p}}$ is the desired function $G$.
D.6. The "if" part is contained in Theorem 14.5 and the "only if" part is immediate from (2), Chapter V, §6.

## E. Curvature

E.1. If $(r, \theta)$ are polar coordinates of a vector $X$ in the tangent space $M_{p}$, the inverse of the map $(r, \theta) \rightarrow \operatorname{Exp}_{p} X$ gives the "geodesic polar coordinates" around $p$. Since the geodesics from $p$ intersect sufficiently small circles around $p$ orthogonally (Lemma 9.7), the Riemannian structure has the form $g=d r^{2}+\varphi(r, \theta)^{2} d \theta^{2}$. In these coordinates the Riemannian measure $f \rightarrow \int f \sqrt{\bar{g}} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n}$ and the Laplace-Beltrami operator are, respectively, given by

$$
f \rightarrow \iint f(r, \theta) \varphi(r, \theta) d r d \theta
$$

and

$$
\Delta f=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial r^{2}}+\varphi^{-1} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}+\varphi^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\varphi^{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}\right) .
$$

In particular

$$
\Delta(\log r)=-\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r \varphi} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}
$$

On the other hand, if $(x, y)$ are the normal coordinates of $\operatorname{Exp}_{p} X$ such that

$$
r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}, \quad \tan \theta=\frac{y}{x}
$$

then, since $r d r=x d x+y d y, r^{2} d \theta=x d y-y d x$,

$$
g=r^{-4}\left[\left(x^{2} r^{2}+y^{2} \varphi^{2}\right) d x^{2}+2 x y\left(r^{2}-\varphi^{2}\right) d x d y+\left(y^{2} r^{2}+x^{2} \varphi^{2}\right) d y^{2}\right]
$$

so since the coefficients are smooth near $(x, y)=(0,0) \varphi^{2}$ has the form ${ }^{+}$

$$
\varphi^{2}=r^{2}+c r^{4}+\ldots
$$

where $c=c(p)$ is a constant. But then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \Delta(\log r)=c(p)
$$

On the other hand,

$$
A(r)=\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \varphi(t, \theta) d t d \theta
$$

so using the definition in $\S 12$ we find $K=-3 c(p)$ as stated.
This result is stated in Klein [1], p. 219, without proof (with opposite sign).
E.2. Let $X=\partial / \partial x_{1}$ and $Y=\partial / \partial x_{2}$ so $\gamma_{\epsilon}$ is formed by integral curves of $X, Y,-X,-Y$.


$$
\text { Let } \begin{aligned}
p=p_{0} & =(0,0, \ldots, 0) \\
p_{1} & =(\epsilon, 0, \ldots, 0) \\
p_{2} & =(\epsilon, \epsilon, \ldots, 0) \\
p_{3} & =(0, \epsilon, \ldots, 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\tau_{i j}$ the parallel transport from $p_{j}$ to $p_{i}$ along $\gamma_{\epsilon}$. Let $T$ be any vector field on $M$, and write $T_{i}=T_{p_{i}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{03} \tau_{32} \tau_{21} \tau_{10} T_{0}-T_{0} \\
& \quad=\left(\tau_{03} \tau_{32} \tau_{21} \tau_{10} T_{0}-\tau_{03} \tau_{32} \tau_{21} T_{1}\right)+\left(\tau_{03} \tau_{32} \tau_{21} T_{1}-\tau_{03} \tau_{32} T_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\tau_{03} \tau_{32} T_{2}-\tau_{03} T_{3}\right)+\left(\tau_{03} T_{3}-T_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]We use Theorem 7.1 and write $\sim$ when we omit terms of higher order in $\epsilon$. Then our expression is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sim & \tau_{03} \tau_{32} \tau_{21}\left[-\epsilon\left(\nabla_{X} T\right)_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(\nabla_{X}^{2} T\right)_{1}\right] \\
& +\tau_{03} \tau_{32}\left[-\epsilon\left(\nabla_{Y} T\right)_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(\nabla_{Y}^{2} T\right)_{2}\right] \\
& -\tau_{03} \tau_{32}\left[-\epsilon\left(\nabla_{X} T\right)_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(\nabla_{X}^{2} T\right)_{2}\right] \\
& -\tau_{03}\left[-\epsilon\left(\nabla_{Y} T\right)_{3}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(\nabla_{Y}^{2} T\right)_{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining now the 1 st and 5 th term, 2 nd and 6 th term, etc., this expression reduces to

$$
\sim \epsilon^{2} \tau_{03} \tau_{32}\left(\nabla_{Y}\left(\nabla_{X}(T)\right)\right)_{2}-\epsilon^{2} \tau_{03}\left(\nabla_{x}\left(\nabla_{Y}(T)\right)_{3}\right.
$$

which, since $[X, Y]=0$, reduces to

$$
\sim \epsilon^{2} \tau_{03}(R(Y, X) T)_{3} \sim \epsilon^{2}(R(Y, X) T)_{0} .
$$

This proof is a simplification of that of Faber [1]. See Laugwitz [1], $\S 10$ for another version of the result. For curvature and holonomy groups, see e.g. Ambrose and Singer [2].

## F. Surfaces

F.1. Let $Z$ be a vector field on $S$ and $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}$ vector fields on a neighborhood of $s$ in $R^{3}$ extending $X, Y$, and $Z$, respectively. The inner product $\langle$,$\rangle on \boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ induces a Riemannian structure $g$ on $S$. If $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and $\nabla$ denote the corresponding affine connections on $R^{3}$ and $S$, respectively, we deduce from (2), §9

$$
\left\langle\tilde{Z}_{s}, \widetilde{\nabla}_{x}(\tilde{Y})_{s}\right\rangle=g\left(Z_{s}, \nabla_{X}(Y)_{s}\right)
$$

But

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\tilde{Y})_{s}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}\left(Y_{\gamma(t)}-Y_{s}\right)
$$

so we obtain $\nabla=\nabla^{\prime}$; in particular $\nabla^{\prime}$ is an affine connection on $S$.
F.2. Let $s(u, v) \rightarrow(u, v)$ be local coordinates on $S$ and if $g$ denotes the Riemannian structure on $S$, put

$$
E=g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right), \quad F=g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right), \quad G=g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) .
$$

Let $r(u, v)$ denote the vector from 0 to the point $s(u, v)$. Subscripts denoting partial derivatives, $r_{u}$ and $r_{v}$ span the tangent space at $s(u, v)$, and we may take the orientation such that

$$
\xi_{s(u, v)}=\frac{r_{u} \times r_{v}}{\left|r_{u} \times r_{v}\right|}
$$

$\times$ denoting the cross product. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\gamma}_{S}=r_{u} \dot{u}+r_{v} \dot{v} \\
& \ddot{\gamma}_{S}=r_{u u} \dot{u}^{2}+2 r_{u v} \dot{u} \dot{v}+r_{v v} \dot{v}^{2}+r_{u} \ddot{u}+r_{v} \ddot{v},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
r_{u} \cdot r_{u}=E, \quad r_{u} \cdot r_{v}=F, \quad r_{v} \cdot r_{v}=G,
$$

whence

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
r_{u u} \cdot r_{u}=\frac{1}{2} E_{u}, & r_{u v} \cdot r_{u}=\frac{1}{2} E_{v}, & r_{v v} \cdot r_{v}=\frac{1}{2} G_{v} \\
r_{u v} \cdot r_{v}=\frac{1}{2} G_{u}, & r_{u u} \cdot r_{v}=F_{u}-\frac{1}{2} E_{v}, & r_{v v} \cdot r_{u}=F_{v}-\frac{1}{2} G_{u}
\end{array}
$$

From this it is clear that the geodesic curvature can be expressed in terms of $\dot{u}, \dot{v}, \ddot{u}, \ddot{v}, E, F, G$, and their derivatives, and therefore has the invariance property stated.
F.3. We first recall that under the orthogonal projection $P$ of $\boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ on the tangent space $S_{\gamma_{s}(t)}$ the curve $P \circ \gamma_{s}$ has curvature in $\gamma_{s}(t)$ equal to the geodesic curvature of $\gamma_{s}$ at $\gamma_{s}(t)$. So in order to avoid discussing developable surfaces we define the rolling in the problem as follows. Let $\pi=S_{\gamma_{S}\left(t_{0}\right)}$ and let $t \rightarrow \gamma_{\pi}(t)$ be the curve in $\pi$ such that

$$
\gamma_{\pi}\left(t_{0}\right)=\gamma_{s}\left(t_{0}\right), \quad \dot{\gamma}_{\pi}\left(t_{0}\right)=\dot{\gamma}_{s}\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

( $t-t_{0}$ is the arc-parameter measured from $\gamma_{\pi}\left(t_{0}\right)$ ) and such that the curvature of $\gamma_{\pi}$ at $\gamma_{\pi}(t)$ is the geodesic curvature of $\gamma_{s}$ at $\gamma_{s}(t)$. The rolling is understood as the family of isometries $S_{\gamma_{S}(t)} \rightarrow \pi_{\gamma_{\pi}(t)}$ of the tangent planes such that the vector $\dot{\gamma}_{s}(t)$ is mapped onto $\dot{\gamma}_{\pi}(t)$. Under these maps a Euclidean parallel family of unit vectors along $\gamma_{\pi}$ corresponds to a family $Y(t) \in S_{\gamma_{s}(t)}$. We must show that this family is parallel in the sense of (1), §5. Let $\tau$ denote the angle between $\dot{\gamma}_{s}(t)$ and $Y(t)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\tau}(t) & =- \text { curvature of } \gamma_{\pi} \text { at } \gamma_{\pi}(t) \\
& =- \text { geodesic curvature of } \gamma_{S} \text { at } \gamma_{S}(t) \\
& =-\left(\xi \times \dot{\gamma}_{S} \cdot \ddot{\gamma}_{S}\right)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$



We can choose the coordinates $(u, v)$ near $\gamma_{s}\left(t_{0}\right)$ such that for $t$ close to $t_{0}$

$$
u\left(\gamma_{s}(t)\right)=t, \quad v\left(\gamma_{s}(t)\right)=\text { const. }, \quad g_{\gamma_{S}(t)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)=0
$$

(For example, let $r \rightarrow \delta_{t}(r)$ be a geodesic in $S$ starting at $\gamma_{s}(t)$ perpendicular to $\gamma_{s}$; small pieces of these geodesics fill up (disjointly) a neigborhood of $\gamma_{s}\left(t_{0}\right)$; the mapping $\delta_{t}(r) \rightarrow(t, r)$ is a coordinate system with the desired properties.) Writing $Y(t)=Y^{1}(t) r_{u}+Y^{2}(t) r_{v} \quad$ (using notation from previous exercise), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{1}(t)=\cos \tau(t), \quad Y^{2}(t)=G^{-1 / 2} \sin \tau(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and shall now verify (2), §5. By (2), §9 we have

$$
2 \sum_{l} g_{i k} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} g_{j k}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} g_{i k}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} g_{i j}
$$

On the curve $\gamma_{s}$ we have $E \equiv 1, F \equiv 0$, so

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Gamma_{11}^{1}=0, & \Gamma_{11}^{2}=-\frac{E_{v}}{2 G}, & \Gamma_{12}^{1}=\frac{E_{v}}{2} \\
\Gamma_{22}^{1}=F_{v}-\frac{G_{u}}{2}, & \Gamma_{22}^{2}=\frac{G_{v}}{2 G}, & \Gamma_{12}^{2}=\frac{G_{u}}{2 G} .
\end{array}
$$

Thus we must verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Y}^{1}+\frac{1}{2} E_{v} Y^{2}=0, \quad \dot{Y}^{2}-\frac{E_{v}}{2 G} Y^{1}+\frac{G_{u}}{2 G} Y^{2}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But using formulas from Exercise F. 2 we find

$$
\left.\dot{\tau}(t)=-\left(\xi \times \dot{\gamma}_{S} \cdot \ddot{\gamma}_{S}\right)(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(G^{-1 / 2} E_{v}\right)\left(\gamma_{S}(t)\right)\right)
$$

and now equations (2) follow directly from (1).

## G. The Hyperbolic Plane

1. (i) and (ii) are obvious. (iii) is clear since

$$
\frac{x^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{\left(1-x(t)^{2}\right)^{2}} \leqslant \frac{x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{\left(1-x(t)^{2}-y(t)^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

where $\gamma(t)=(x(t), y(t))$. For (iv) let $z \in D, u \in D_{z}$, and let $z(t)$ be a curve with $z(0)=z, z^{\prime}(0)=u$. Then

$$
d \varphi_{z}(u)=\left\{\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(z(t))\right\}_{t=0}=\frac{z^{\prime}(0)}{(\bar{b} z+\bar{a})^{2}} \quad \text { at } \quad \varphi \cdot z
$$

and $g(d \varphi(u), d \varphi(u))=g(u, u)$ now follows by direct computation. Now (v) follows since $\varphi$ is conformal and maps lines into circles. The first relation in (vi) is immediate; and writing the expression for $d(0, x)$ as a cross ratio of the points $-1,0, x, 1$, the expression for $d\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ follows since $\varphi$ in (iv) preserves cross ratio. For (vii) let $r$ be any isometry of $D$. Then there exists a $\varphi$ as in (iv) such that $\varphi \tau^{-1}$ leaves the $x$-axis pointwise fixed. But then $\varphi \tau^{-1}$ is either the identity or the complex conjugation $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$. For (viii) we note that if $r=d(0, z)$, then $|z|=\tanh r$; so the formula for $g$ follows from (ii). Part (ix) follows from
$v=\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|z-i|^{2}}, \quad d w=-2 \frac{d z}{(z-i)^{2}}, \quad d \bar{w}=-2 \frac{d \bar{z}}{(\bar{z}+i)^{2}}$.


[^0]:    + See "Some Details," p. 586.

