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## 1 Introduction

We've seen that sometimes a nice linear transformation $T$ (from a vector space $V$ to itself) can be diagonalized, and that doing this is closely related to finding eigenvalues of $T$. The eigenvalues are exactly the roots of a certain polynomial $p_{T}$, of degree equal to $\operatorname{dim} V$, called the characteristic polynomial. I explained in class how to compute $p_{T}$, and I'll recall that in these notes.
Chapter 8 of the text is devoted to almost-diagonalizing linear transformations for complex vector spaces. Diagonalizing is not quite possible in general, because the eigenspaces may be a little too small; so Chapter 8 introduces generalized eigenspaces, which are just enough larger to make things work. Understanding generalized eigenspaces is closely tied to factoring the characteristic polynomial as a product of linear factors: see the definition in the text on page 172.

When the field is not the complex numbers, polynomials need not have roots, so they need not factor into linear factors. In these notes we're going to adapt Chapter 8 so that it works over any field. In particular, this will more or less include what is done in Chapter 9 for the real numbers.

## 2 Polynomials

Recall from pages 10 and $22-23$ of the text that $\mathcal{P}(F)$ is the ( $F$-vector space) of all polynomials with coefficients in $F$. The degree of a nonzero polynomial is the highest power of $x$ appearing with nonzero coefficient; the polynomial zero is defined to have degree $-\infty$ (to make the formula $\operatorname{deg}(p q)=\operatorname{deg}(p)+\operatorname{deg}(q)$ work when one of the factors is zero). We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{m}(F)=\left\{a_{m} x^{m}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0} \mid a_{i} \in F\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $m+1$-dimensional subspace of polynomials of degree less than or equal to $m$. A polynomial is called monic if its leading coefficient is 1 ; therefore

$$
1, \quad x^{2}-7 x+1 / 2, \quad x-\pi
$$

are monic polynomials, but $2 x+1$ is not monic.
Definition 2.2. A polynomial $p(x)$ is called irreducible if

1. $\operatorname{deg}(p)>0$, and
2. $p$ cannot be written as a product of two polynomials of positive degree.

If $a$ is any nonzero scalar, then $p$ is irreducible if and only if $a p$ is irreducible; so we can concentrate on monic polynomials in discussing irreducibility.

There is a really important analogy in mathematics between polynomials and integers. In this analogy, irreducible polynomials correspond to (plus or minus) prime numbers. Monic polynomials correspond to positive integers.

We can talk about the degree of a polynomial and about monic polynomials without paying too much attention to the field $F$; but the notion of irreducible depends heavily on $F$. For example, the polynomial $p(x)=x^{2}+1$ is irreducible as a real polynomial; but as complex polynomial

$$
p(x)=(x+i)(x-i),
$$

so $p$ is not irreducible. In the same way $q(x)=x^{2}-2$ is irreducible in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$, but in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$

$$
q(x)=(x+\sqrt{2})(x-\sqrt{2}) .
$$

Every degree one polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x+b \text { is irreducible, } \quad(a, b \in F, \quad a \neq 0) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any field $F$.
For degree two polynomials, the story is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x^{2}+b x+c \text { irr } \Longleftrightarrow \text { no root in } F, \quad(a, b, c \in F, \quad a \neq 0) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $2 \neq 0$ in $F$, we can apply the quadratic formula and deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x^{2}+b x+c \text { irr } \Longleftrightarrow b^{2}-4 a c \text { has no square root in } F . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This statement covers the examples above: for $x^{2}+1$ over $\mathbb{R}, b=0$ and $a=c=1 ; b^{2}-4 a c=-4$ has no square root in $\mathbb{R}$, so $x^{2}+1$ is irreducible. In case $F=\mathbb{F}_{2}$ is the field with two elements, one can check that 0 and 1 are not roots of $p(x)=x^{2}+x+1$; so $p$ is irreducible, even though $b^{2}-4 a c=1=1^{2}$. (In fact $p$ is the only irreducible polynomial of degree 2 in $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. Can you see why?)

Proposition 2.6. Suppose $F$ is a field. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. every polynomial of positive degree in $\mathcal{P}(F)$ has a root;
2. every nonzero polynomial in $\mathcal{P}(F)$ is a product of linear factors:

$$
p(x)=a \prod_{j=1}^{\operatorname{deg} p}\left(x-\lambda_{j}\right) \quad\left(\lambda_{j} \in F, 0 \neq a \in F\right) .
$$

3. the irreducible polynomials in $\mathcal{P}(F)$ are those of degree one ((2.3)).

If these equivalent conditions are satisfied, we say that $F$ is algebraically closed.

The fundamental theorem of algebra says that $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed. The factorization of arbitrary polynomials into linear factors is at the heart of finding eigenvalues, and therefore of Chapter 8 . Here is a substitute that works in any field.

Proposition 2.7. Any monic polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}(F)$ can be written as a product of powers of distinct monic irreducible polynomials $\left\{q_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}$ :

$$
p(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} q_{i}(x)^{m_{i}}, \quad \operatorname{deg} p=\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i} \operatorname{deg} q_{i} .
$$

Here $m_{i}$ and $\operatorname{deg} q_{i}$ are positive integers, so $r \leq \operatorname{deg} p$. This factorization of $p$ is unique up to rearranging the factors. The irreducible $q_{i}$ that appear are precisely the irreducible factors of $p$.

In the analogy between polynomials and integers, this proposition corresponds to the fundamental theorem of arithmetic (which says that a positive integer has a unique factorization as a finite product of prime powers).

If $F$ is algebraically closed, then $q_{i}=x-\lambda_{i}$, and the $\lambda_{i}$ that appear are precisely the roots of $p$. So this proposition is generalization of the fundamental theorem of algebra that applies to any field.

We are going to need just one slightly exotic fact about polynomials and factorization. It's based on

Definition 2.8. We say that the nonzero polynomials $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are relatively prime if they have no common factor of positive degree; equivalently, if there is no irreducible polynomial $q$ that divides both $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$.

Example 2.9. The polynomials $p_{1}$ and $x-\lambda$ are relatively prime if and only if $\lambda$ is not a root of $p_{1}$; that is, if and only if $p_{1}(\lambda) \neq 0$.

If $p_{1} \neq 0$, then $p_{1}$ and 1 are always relatively prime (because 1 has no factors of positive degree).

The polynomials $\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(x-\lambda_{i}\right)$ and $\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x-\mu_{j}\right)$ are relatively prime if and only if

$$
\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\} \cap\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}=\emptyset ;
$$

that is, if and only if there are no roots in common.
Here is the exotic fact.
Proposition 2.10. Suppose $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are relatively prime (nonzero) polynomials. Then there are polynomials $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ with the property that

$$
a_{1} p_{1}+a_{2} p_{2}=1 .
$$

I won't prove this fact; it's stated in Artin's algebra book [1] as Theorem 11.1.5 (page 390), for which the proof is similar to the one given for the corresponding fact for integers (Proposition 2.2.6 on page 46). Here are some examples meant to be supportive.
Example 2.11. Suppose $p_{1}=x-\lambda$ and $p_{2}=x-\mu$, with $\lambda \neq \mu$. Then

$$
a_{1}=1 /(\mu-\lambda), \quad a_{2}=1 /(\lambda-\mu) .
$$

It's easy to check that this works, but maybe not so easy to see how to generalize it.

So suppose

$$
p_{1}(x)=\left(x-\lambda_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\lambda_{r}\right), \quad p_{2}(x)=\left(x-\mu_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\mu_{s}\right),
$$

with $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{s}$ some $r+s$ distinct elements of $s$. This time I'll choose $a_{1}$ to be the (unique) polynomial of degree $s-1$ with the property that

$$
a_{1}\left(\mu_{j}\right)=p_{1}\left(\mu_{j}\right)^{-1} \quad(j=1, \ldots, s) .
$$

It's not so difficult to write down such a polynomial $a_{1}$, using the ideas appearing in the solutions to PS2. Similarly, you can find $a_{2}$ of degree $r-1$ with the property that

$$
a_{2}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=p_{2}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)^{-1} \quad(i=1, \ldots, r) .
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\left(a_{1} p_{1}+a_{2} p_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\left(a_{1} p_{1}+a_{2} p_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{j}\right)=1 \quad(i=1, \ldots, r, j=1, \ldots, s) .
$$

Therefore $a_{1} p_{1}+a_{2} p_{2}-1$ is a polynomial of degree at most $r+s-1$ having $r+s$ distinct zeros. It follows that $a_{1} p_{1}+a_{2} p_{2}-1=0$, as we wished to show.

## 3 Calculating the characteristic polynomial

The heart of the idea is this, which I proved in class in talking about eigenvalues.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $0 \neq v_{0} \in V$. Define

$$
v_{j}=T^{j} v_{0} .
$$

Let $m$ be the smallest positive integer with the property that

$$
v_{m} \in \operatorname{span}\left(v_{0}, \ldots v_{m-1}\right)=_{d e f} U .
$$

Then $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right)$ is linearly independent, so there is a unique expression

$$
v_{m}=-a_{0} v_{0}-\cdots-a_{m-1} v_{m-1} .
$$

Define

$$
p(x)=x^{m}+a_{m-1} x^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0},
$$

a monic polynomial of degree $m \geq 1$ in $\mathcal{P}(F)$.

1. The $m$-dimensional subspace $U$ of $V$ is preserved by $T: T U \subset U$.
2. The linear transformation

$$
p(T)=T^{m}+a_{m-1} T^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0} I
$$

acts by zero on $U$.
3. If $z$ is a polynomial and $z(T)$ acts by zero on $U$, then $z$ is divisible by $p$.
4. The eigenvalues of $T$ on $U$ are precisely the roots of $p$.
5. If $q$ is an irreducible polynomial and $\operatorname{Null}(q(T))$ has a nonzero intersection with $U$, then $q$ divides $p$.

In Definition 3.3 below, we will define the characteristic polynomial of $T$ on $U$ to be the polynomial $p$ described in (2). In order to relate this information about $U$ to the rest of $V$, we used the next proposition.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space, $T \in$ $\mathcal{L}(V)$, and $U \subset V$ is an invariant subspace: $T U \subset U$. Choose a basis $\left(e_{1}, \ldots e_{m}\right)$ for $U$, and extend it to a basis $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}, e_{m+1}, \ldots e_{n}\right)$ for $V$.

1. The matrix of $T$ in the basis $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ has the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here $A$ is the $m \times m$ matrix of $T$ on the subspace $U ; 0$ represents the $(n-m) \times m$ zero matrix; $B$ is $m \times(n-m)$; and $C$ is $(n-m) \times(n-m)$.
2. The set of eigenvalues of $T$ is the union of the set of eigenvalues of $A$ and the set of eigenvalues of $C$.
3. Suppose $q$ is an irreducible polynomial. Then

$$
\operatorname{Null}(q(T)) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Null}(q(A)) \neq 0 \quad \text { or } \operatorname{Null}(q(C)) \neq 0
$$

4. Suppose $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are polynomials such that $p_{1}(A)=0$ and $p_{2}(C)=0$. Then $\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)(T)=0$.

Definition 3.3. The characteristic polynomial $p_{T}$ of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is a monic polynomial of degree equal to the dimension of $V$, defined by induction on $\operatorname{dim} V$ as follows. If $V=0$, then $p_{T}=1$. If $V \neq 0$, choose any nonzero vector $v_{0} \in V$, and define $U \subset V$ as in Proposition 3.1, and $p$ as in (2) of that Proposition. Then $\operatorname{dim} U=m>0$, and $\operatorname{deg} p=m$; so $n-m<n$. Write a matrix for $T$ as in Proposition 3.2. Then $C \in \mathcal{L}\left(F^{n-m}\right)$. By induction, the (monic) characteristic polynomial $p_{C}$ of $C$ is already defined, and $\operatorname{deg} p_{C}=n-m$. We can therefore define

$$
p_{T}=p \cdot p_{C}
$$

Clearly $p_{T}$ is monic, and

$$
\operatorname{deg} p_{T}=\operatorname{deg} p+\operatorname{deg} p_{C}=m+(n-m)=n
$$

By inductive hypothesis, $p_{C}(C)=0$, and by Proposition $3.1 p(A)=0$; so by Proposition 3.2,

$$
p_{T}(T)=0
$$

What is not obvious from this definition is that the characteristic polynomial is well-defined. The construction requires choosing a nonzero vector $v_{0}$, and different choices lead to different $U$ and different $p$. I will provide some of the ingredients for proving that different choices lead to the same $p_{T}$, but I won't prove this completely. For now I'll just recall one thing I did prove in class: for any choice of $p_{T}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\text { eigenvalues of } T\}=\left\{\text { roots of } p_{T}\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The "base case" of this fact (the case of the subspace $U$ constructed in Proposition 3.1) is Proposition 3.1(4). The induction step is Proposition $3.2(2)$. Once we've defined "eigenpolynomials" in Section 6, the same proof will show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\text { eigenpolynomials of } T\}=\left\{\text { irreducible factors of } p_{T}\right\} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Projections

The theorems we want about generalized eigenvalues and so on (Theorem 5.6 , for example) provide direct sum decompositions of vector spaces. So it is useful to say a few words about how to find direct sum decompositions. This is essentially in the text on page 92.

Definition 4.1. Suppose $V$ is a vector space. A projection on $V$ is a linear map $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with the property that $P^{2}=P$; equivalently, a map satisfying the polynomial $x^{2}-x$.

Proposition 4.2. Suppose $V$ is a vector space. The following things are in one-to-one correspondence:

1. projections $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$;
2. pairs of linear transformations $P$ and $Q$ in $\mathcal{L}(V)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P+Q=I, \quad P Q=0 \tag{4.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
3. direct sum decompositions $V=U \oplus W$.

The correspondence can be described as follows. Given a projection $P$ as in (1), define $Q=I-P$; then $P$ and $Q$ satisfy (2).

Given $P$ and $Q$ as in (2), define

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=\operatorname{Range}(P)=\operatorname{Null}(Q)=\operatorname{Null}(I-P)=\operatorname{Range}(I-Q), \\
& W=\operatorname{Range}(Q)=\operatorname{Null}(P)=\operatorname{Null}(I-Q)=\operatorname{Range}(I-P) \text {. } \tag{4.2b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $V=U \oplus W$ as in (3).
Given $V=U \oplus W$ as in (3), define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(u+w)=u \quad(u \in U, w \in W) \tag{4.2c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $P$ is a projection.
In terms of the eigenspaces of $P$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=V_{1, P}, \quad W=V_{0, P} \tag{4.2~d}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the eigenspaces of $Q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=V_{0, Q}, \quad W=V_{1, Q} \tag{4.2e}
\end{equation*}
$$

The text writes $P_{U, W}$ for the projection corresponding to $U \oplus W$ (our $P$ ), and $P_{W, U}$ for the projection corresponding to $W \oplus U$ (our $Q$ ).

I have tried to state the proposition in such a way that it almost proves itself. But here are some of the words.

Proof. Suppose $P$ is a projection, so that $P^{2}=P$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
P-P^{2}=0, \quad P(I-P)=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get the first requirement in (4.2a), we are forced to define $Q=$ $I-P$. Then the formulation $P(I-P)=0$ of the definition of projection gives the second requirement in (4.2a).

Next, suppose $P$ and $Q$ satisfy (4.2a). First notice that

$$
P=P I=P(P+Q)=P^{2}+P Q=P^{2}
$$

so $P$ is a projection. In the same way we see that $Q^{2}=Q$.
We want to get a direct sum decomposition of $V$; so we define $U=P V$, $W=Q V$. Then any $v \in V$ may be written

$$
v=I v=(P+Q) v=P v+Q v=u+w
$$

with $u=P v \in U$ and $w=Q v \in W$. So $U+W=V$. To see that the sum is direct, suppose that $U \cap W$ contains the element $P v_{1}=Q v_{2}$. Applying $P$ to this equation and using $P^{2}=P$ and $P Q=0$, we get $P v_{1}=0$. This proves that $U \cap W=0$, so the sum is direct.

If $w=Q v$ belongs to $W$, then $P w=P Q v=0$ by the assumption that $P Q=0$; so

$$
W=\operatorname{Range}(Q) \subset \operatorname{Null}(P)
$$

Conversely, if $x \in \operatorname{Null}(P)$, then $x=I x=(P+Q) x=Q x$, so

$$
\operatorname{Null}(P) \subset \operatorname{Range}(Q)=W
$$

This proves the first two equalities for $W$ in (4.2b). The last two are just $P=I-Q$ and $Q=I-P$. The equalities for $U$ follow by interchanging the roles of $P$ and $Q$.

Finally, suppose $V=U \oplus W$ as in (3). The definition (4.2c) shows that

$$
P^{2}(u+w)=P(u)=u=P(u+w)
$$

so $P^{2}=P$ as we wished to show. It is easy to see from the definitions that if we follow these constructions around the circle (for example starting with $P$, getting $Q$, then getting $U$ and $W$ and finally a new $P^{\prime}$ ) we always return to where we began (that $P^{\prime}=P$ ). The remaining assertions about eigenvalues are just reformulations of what we have already proved.

## 5 Generalized eigenvalues

This section summarizes Chapter 8 of the text. Remember from the text (page 77) the description of the $\lambda$-eigenspace for any $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\lambda} & =\operatorname{def}\{v \in V \mid T v=\lambda v\} \\
& =\operatorname{Null}(T-\lambda)  \tag{5.1}\\
& =\operatorname{Null}(q(T)) \quad(q(x)=x-\lambda) .
\end{align*}
$$

The multiplicity $m(\lambda)$ of the eigenvalue $\lambda$ is by definition the dimension of the eigenspace $V_{\lambda}$.

The text does not use this definition of $m(\lambda)$; instead the text defines the multiplicity to be what I call generalized multiplicity (see Definition 5.4 below). I think that the definition above is the one that most people use.

Example 5.2. Here is one of the most important examples of eigenspaces. Suppose

$$
V=\text { complex-valued functions on } \mathbb{R}, \quad D=\frac{d}{d t} \in \mathcal{L}(V)
$$

For any complex number $\lambda$, the $\lambda$-eigenspace of $T$ is

$$
V_{\lambda}=\left\{f \in V \left\lvert\, \frac{d f}{d t}=\lambda f\right.\right\} .
$$

This is a first-order differential equation that you learned to solve in 18.03:

$$
V_{\lambda}=\left\{A e^{\lambda t} \mid A \in \mathbb{C}\right\},
$$

a one-dimensional space with basis vector $e^{\lambda t}$. Therefore the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda$ for $D$ is $m(\lambda)=1$.

To say that $T$ is diagonalizable means that there is a basis of $V$ consisting of eigenvectors; equivalently, that

$$
V=\sum_{\lambda \in F} V_{\lambda} \quad(T \text { diagonalizable }) .
$$

Even for $\mathbb{C}$, not all operators are diagonalizable.

Example 5.3. Suppose $V=\mathbb{C}^{2}$, and

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Because $T$ is upper triangular, its eigenvalues are the diagonal entries; that is, the only eigenvalue is 1 . It's easy to calculate the eigenspace:

$$
V_{1}=\operatorname{Null}(T-1 \cdot I)=\operatorname{Null}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left\{\left.\binom{x}{0} \right\rvert\, x \in \mathbb{C}\right\}
$$

So the only eigenspace is one-dimensional, so $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ cannot be the direct sum of the eigenspaces. We are missing (from the eigenspace) the vectors whose second coordinate is not zero. These are not in the null space of $T-1 \cdot I$ :

$$
(T-I) \cdot\binom{x}{y}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{x}{y}=\binom{y}{0}
$$

This is not zero (if $y$ is not zero), but now it is in the null space of $T-1 \cdot I$. Therefore

$$
(T-I)^{2} \cdot\binom{x}{y}=(T-I) \cdot\binom{y}{0}=\binom{0}{0}
$$

To summarize, only the line through the first basis vector is in the 1eigenspace (the null space of $T-1 \cdot I$ ), but all of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is in the null space of $(T-1 \cdot I)^{2}$.

Because eigenspaces are not big enough to decompose $V$, we need a good way to enlarge them. The example suggests

Definition 5.4. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. The $\lambda$-generalized eigenspace of $T$ is

$$
V_{[\lambda]}==_{\operatorname{def}}\left\{v \in V \mid(T-\lambda I)^{m} v=0(\text { some } m>0) .\right\} \subset V
$$

Clearly

$$
V_{\lambda} \subset V_{[\lambda]}
$$

because if $T v=\lambda v$, then we can take $m=1$ in the definition of $V_{[\lambda]}$. The generalized multiplicity $M(\lambda)$ of the eigenvalue $\lambda$ is by definition the dimension of the generalized eigenspace $V_{[\lambda]}$.

The text never introduces a notation for generalized eigenspaces, and the notation $V_{[\lambda]}$ used here is not standard. (So you always need to explain it if you use it.)

Example 5.5. We return to the example of

$$
V=\text { complex-valued functions on } \mathbb{R}, \quad D=\frac{d}{d t} \in \mathcal{L}(V)
$$

You saw generalized eigenspaces in 18.03 as well; they appear when you try to solve higher order differential equations like

$$
f^{\prime \prime}-2 f^{\prime}+f=0
$$

which can be written as

$$
(D-I)^{2} f=0
$$

One solution is $e^{t}$; and another is $t e^{t}$. The reason is

$$
D\left(t e^{t}\right)=(D t) e^{t}+t\left(D e^{t}\right)=e^{t}+t e^{t}
$$

so

$$
(D-I)\left(t e^{t}\right)=e^{t} \in \operatorname{Null}(D-I)
$$

In this way you learned (in 18.03!) that the $\lambda$ generalized eigenspace of $D$ is

$$
V_{[\lambda]}=\left\{p(t) e^{\lambda t} \mid p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})\right\}
$$

This generalized eigenspace is infinite-dimensional (since the space of all polynomials is infinite-dimensional) so the generalized multiplicity $M(\lambda)$ is infinite.

The main theorem of Chapter 8 is
Theorem 5.6 (text, Theorem 8.23). Suppose $V$ is a finite-dimensional complex vector space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ are all the distinct eigenvalues of $T$. Then

1. Each generalized eigenspace $V_{\left[\lambda_{i}\right]}$ is preserved by $T$.
2. The space $V$ is the direct sum of the generalized eigenspaces:

$$
V=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} V_{\left[\lambda_{i}\right]}
$$

3. The dimension of $V$ is equal to the sum of the generalized multiplicities of all the eigenvalues:

$$
\operatorname{dim} V=\sum_{i=1}^{r} M\left(\lambda_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. Part (1) of the theorem is almost obvious. I will prove (2) by induction on $r$. Write $p_{T}$ for the characteristic polynomial of $T$ (Definition 3.3). Because $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed, $p_{T}$ is a product of linear factors. Because the roots of $p_{T}$ are precisely the eigenvalues of $T$, these linear factors must each be $x-\lambda_{i}$ for some $i$. Therefore there are positive integers $m_{i}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{T}(x)=\left(x-\lambda_{1}\right)^{m_{1}} \cdots\left(x-\lambda_{r}\right)^{m_{r}} \tag{5.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r=0$, then $p_{T}=1, V=0, T=0$, and there is nothing to prove: the zero vector space is an empty direct sum. So suppose $r \geq 1$, so that $\lambda_{1}$ is an eigenvector, and

$$
0 \neq V_{\lambda_{1}} \subset V_{\left[\lambda_{1}\right]} .
$$

We factor the polynomial $p_{T}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{T}=p_{1} p_{2}, \quad p_{1}=\left(x-\lambda_{1}\right)^{m_{1}}, \quad p_{2}=\left(x-\lambda_{2}\right)^{m_{2}} \cdots\left(x-\lambda_{r}\right)^{m_{r}} \tag{5.7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only irreducible factor of $p_{1}$ is $x-\lambda_{1}$, and that is not a factor of $p_{2}$; so $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are relatively prime (2.8). According to Proposition 2.10, we can find polynomials $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} p_{1}+a_{2} p_{2}=1 \tag{5.7c}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define linear transformations $P$ and $Q$ on $V$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=a_{2}(T) p_{2}(T), \quad Q=a_{1}(T) p_{1}(T) \tag{5.7~d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the identity (5.7c) to $T$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P+Q=a_{2}(T) p_{2}(T)+a_{1}(T) p_{1}(T)=1(T)=I \tag{5.7e}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the identity $p_{T}(T)=0$ (Definition 3.3), together with the factorization (5.7b), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P Q=a_{2}(T) p_{2}(T) a_{1}(T) p_{1}(T)=a_{1}(T) a_{2}(T) p_{T}(T)=0 \tag{5.7f}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $P$ and $Q$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4.2(2), so we get a direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=U \oplus W \tag{5.7~g}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find

$$
\begin{align*}
U & =\operatorname{Range}(P) & & (\text { Proposition 4.2) } \\
& =\operatorname{Range}\left(p_{2}(T) a_{2}(T)\right) & & (\text { definition of } P) \\
& \subset \operatorname{Null}\left(p_{1}(T)\right) & & \left(\text { since } p_{1}(T) p_{2}(T)=p_{T}(T)=0\right)  \tag{5.7h}\\
& \subset \operatorname{Null}\left(a_{1}(T) p_{1}(T)\right) & & \\
& =\operatorname{Null}(Q)=U & & (\text { Proposition 4.2) }
\end{align*}
$$

So the inclusions are equalities, and (by the middle formula)

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\operatorname{Null}\left(\left(T-\lambda_{1}\right)^{m_{1}}\right)=V_{\left[\lambda_{1}\right]} \tag{5.7i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\operatorname{Null}\left(p_{2}(T)\right) \tag{5.7j}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $W$ is a $T$-invariant subspace on which the eigenvalues of $T$ are the $r-1$ scalars $\lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$. By inductive hypothesis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\bigoplus_{i=2}^{r} W_{\left[\lambda_{i}\right]}, \tag{5.7k}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=U \oplus W=V_{\left[\lambda_{1}\right]} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{r} W_{\left[\lambda_{i}\right]} . \tag{5.7l}
\end{equation*}
$$

as we wished to show.
Statement (3) is just the fact the dimension of a direct sum is the sum of the dimensions of the summands.

In class I looked in some detail at
Example 5.8.

$$
\begin{gathered}
V=\mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
T=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

I defined

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

the block in the lower right of $T$. The characteristic polynomial of the $1 \times 1$ matrix (1) is $x-1$, so according to Proposition 3.2 , the characteristic polynomial of $T$ is

$$
p_{T}=(x-1) p_{C} .
$$

We calculated $p_{C}=x^{2}$ (which is an excellent exercise using Proposition 3.1). So

$$
p_{T}=(x-1) x^{2}
$$

and the two eigenvalues are 1 and 0 . We calculated

$$
V_{1}=\mathbb{R} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad V_{0}=\mathbb{R} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The eigenspaces are not quite big enough to span $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The generalized eigenspace for 0 has a chance to be bigger, because 0 is a double root of $p_{T}$. In fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{[0]} & =\operatorname{Null}\left(T^{2}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Null}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{span}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
3 \\
-1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6 Eigenpolynomials

In this section (corresponding to Chapter 9 of the text) we look for replacements for eigenvalues to use over fields other than $\mathbb{C}$. Recall from (5.1) the description of an eigenspace

$$
V_{\lambda}=\operatorname{Null}(q(T)) \quad(q(x)=x-\lambda)
$$

Because $x-\lambda$ is an irreducible polynomial, this description suggests a generalization.

Definition 6.1. Suppose $q$ is a monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathcal{P}(F)$, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. The $q$-eigenspace of $T$ is

$$
V_{q}={ }_{\operatorname{def}} \operatorname{Null}(q(T))=\{v \in V \mid q(T) v=0\} \subset V
$$

If $V_{q} \neq 0$, we say that $q$ is an eigenpolynomial for $T$. The multiplicity of the eigenpolynomial $q$ is by definition

$$
m(q)=\frac{\operatorname{dim} V_{q}}{\operatorname{deg} q}
$$

From its definition the multiplicity looks like a rational number, but we'll see in Proposition 6.2 that it's actually an integer.

Comparing (5.1) to Definition 6.1, we see that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $T$ if and only if $x-\lambda$ is an eigenpolynomial of $T$.

I don't know a good and widely used name for what I called "eigenpolynomial." This definition more or less appears in the text on page 205 for the case $F=\mathbb{R}$, when the only irreducible polynomials are $x-\lambda($ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$ and $x^{2}+\alpha x+\beta\left(\right.$ with $\left.\alpha^{2}-4 \beta<0\right)$.

Proposition 6.2. Suppose

$$
q(x)=x^{d}+a_{d-1} x^{d-1}+\cdots+a_{0}
$$

is a monic irreducible polynomial, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

1. The subspace $V_{q}$ is a $T$-invariant subspace of $V$.
2. The subspace $V_{q}$ is not zero if and only if $q$ divides the characteristic polynomial of $T$.
3. Suppose $v_{0}$ is any nonzero vector in $V_{q}$. Define $v_{i}=T^{i} v_{0}$. Then the list $\left(v_{0}, \ldots v_{d-1}\right)$ is linearly independent, and

$$
v_{d}=-a_{d-1} v_{d-1}-\cdots-a_{0} v_{0} .
$$

The subspace $\operatorname{span}\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{d-1}\right)$ is $T$-invariant, and the matrix of $T$ on this subspace and in this basis is the $d \times d$ matrix

$$
A_{q}=\operatorname{def}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{0} \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{1} \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{2} \\
& & \ddots & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -a_{d-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

4. There is a basis of $V_{q}$ in which the matrix of $T$ is block diagonal, with every block equal to $A_{q}$.
5. The characteristic polynomial of $T$ acting on $V_{q}$ is a power of $q$.
6. The dimension of $V_{q}$ is a multiple of the degree $d$ of $q$; so the multiplicity $m(q)$ is an integer.

The general formula for the matrix $A_{q}$ looks a bit peculiar, but sometimes it's quite nice. For example

$$
A_{x-\lambda}=(\lambda), \quad A_{x^{2}+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1  \tag{6.3}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

the second formula applies whenever $x^{2}+1$ is irreducible, for example for the real numbers. The proposition is also true if $A_{q}$ is replaced by any other matrix $A_{q}^{\prime}$ having characteristic polynomial $q$. Suppose for example that $F=\mathbb{R}$, and that $q$ is the real polynomial having roots

$$
\begin{equation*}
r e^{ \pm i \theta}=r \cos \theta \pm i r \sin \theta=a \pm i b, \tag{6.4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r>0$ and $0<\theta<\pi$ (equivalently, $b>0$ ). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=x^{2}-2 r \cos \theta+r^{2}=x^{2}-2 a x+a^{2}+b^{2} \tag{6.4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

$$
A_{q}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -r^{2}  \tag{6.4c}\\
1 & 2 r \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -a^{2}-b^{2} \\
1 & 2 a
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This formula is not so nicely related to what we understand about complex numbers. So it is often convenient to use instead

$$
A_{q}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta  \tag{6.4~d}\\
r \sin \theta & r \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & -b \\
b & a
\end{array}\right) \quad(b>0)
$$

which also has characteristic polynomial $q$. The matrices $A_{q}^{\prime}$ appear in the text in Theorem 7.25 (concerning normal linear transformations on real inner product spaces). But they don't need to have anything to do with inner products; they could have been introduced in Theorem 5.24.

According to Proposition 6.2, these "eigenspaces" for irreducible polynomials behave very much like eigenspaces for eigenvalues. Using exactly the same proof as for eigenvalues, one can deduce

Corollary 6.5. Suppose $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space, and $T \in$ $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Then there is a basis of $T$ in which the matrix of $T$ is block-uppertriangular, and every diagonal block is one of the matrices $A_{q}$, with $q$ an irreducible factor of the characteristic polynomial of $T$.

To have a complete theory, we just need to add the word "generalized."
Definition 6.6. Suppose $q$ is a monic irreducible polynomial, and $T \in$ $\mathcal{L}(V)$. The $q$-generalized eigenspace of $T$ is

$$
V_{[q]}={ }_{\operatorname{def}}\left\{v \in V \mid q(T)^{m} v=0(\text { some } m>0) .\right\} \subset V .
$$

Clearly

$$
V_{q} \subset V_{[q]}
$$

The generalized multiplicity $M(q)$ of the eigenpolynomial $q$ is

$$
M(q)={ }_{\operatorname{def}} \frac{\operatorname{dim} V_{[q]}}{\operatorname{deg} q}
$$

Theorem 6.7 (text, Theorem 8.23). Suppose $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V), p_{T}$ is the characteristic polynomial of $T$ (a monic polynomial of degree equal to the dimension of $V$. Factor $p_{T}$ into distinct irreducible factors in accordance with Proposition 2.7:

$$
p_{T}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} q_{i}(x)^{m_{i}}
$$

1. Each generalized eigenspace $V_{[q]}$ is preserved by $T$.
2. The space $V$ is the direct sum of the generalized eigenspaces:

$$
V=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} V_{\left[q_{i}\right]}
$$

3. The dimension of $V$ is

$$
\operatorname{dim} V=\sum_{i=1}^{r} M\left(q_{i}\right) \operatorname{deg} q_{i}
$$

The proof is almost word-for-word the same as the proof of Theorem 5.6; it's a good exercise to try to write down the proof without consulting that one.
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