

In this lecture, we show that although the VC-hull classes might be considerably larger than the VC-classes, they are small enough to have finite uniform entropy integral.

**Theorem 18.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \{f|f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$  be a class of measurable functions with measurable square integrable envelope  $F$  (i.e.,  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}, |f(x)| < F(x)$ , and  $\|F\|_2 = (\int F^2 d\mu)^{1/2} < \infty$ ), and the  $\epsilon$ -net of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies  $N(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon\|F\|_2, \|\cdot\|) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^V$  for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $K$  that depends only on  $C$  and  $V$  such that  $\log N(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}, \epsilon\|F\|_2, \|\cdot\|) \leq K \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{2 \cdot V}{V+2}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon\|F\|_2, \|\cdot\|) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^V \triangleq n$ . Then  $\epsilon = C^{1/V} n^{-1/V}$ , and  $\epsilon\|F\|_2 = C^{1/V} \|F\|_2 \cdot n^{-1/V}$ . Let  $L = C^{1/V} \|F\|_2$ . Then  $N(\mathcal{F}, Ln^{-1/V}, \|\cdot\|) \leq n$  (i.e., the  $L \cdot n^{-1/V}$ -net of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains at most  $n$  elements). Construct  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \dots$  such that each  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $L \cdot n^{-1/V}$ -net, and contains at most  $n$  elements. Let  $W = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{V}$ . We proceed to show that there exists constants  $C_k$  and  $D_k$  that depend only on  $C$  and  $V$  and are upper bounded ( $\sup_k C_k \vee D_k < \infty$ ), such that

$$(18.1) \quad \log N(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_{n \cdot k^q}, C_k L \cdot n^{-W}, \|\cdot\|) \leq D_k \cdot n$$

for  $n, k \geq 1$ , and  $q \geq 3 + V$ . This implies the theorem, since if we let  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\log N(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}, C_\infty L \cdot n^{-W}, \|\cdot\|) \leq D_\infty \cdot n$ . Let  $\epsilon = C_\infty C^{1/V} n^{-W}$ , and  $K = D_\infty C_\infty^{\frac{2 \cdot V}{V+2}} C^{\frac{2}{V+2}}$ , we get  $C_\infty L \cdot n^{-W} = C_\infty C^{1/V} \|F\|_2 n^{-W} = \epsilon\|F\|_2$ ,  $n = \left(\frac{C_\infty C^{1/V}}{\epsilon}\right)^{1/W}$  and  $\log N(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}, \epsilon\|F\|_2, \|\cdot\|) \leq K \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{2 \cdot V}{V+2}}$ . Inequality 18.1 will be proved in two steps: (1)

$$(18.2) \quad \log N(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_n, C_1 L \cdot n^{-W}, \|\cdot\|) \leq D_1 \cdot n$$

by induction on  $n$ , using Kolmogorov's chaining technique, and (2) for fixed  $n$ ,

$$(18.3) \quad \log N(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_{n \cdot k^q}, C_k L \cdot n^{-W}, \|\cdot\|) \leq D_k \cdot n$$

by induction on  $k$ , using the results of (1) and Kolmogorov's chaining technique.

For any fixed  $n_0$  and any  $n \leq n_0$ , we can choose large enough  $C_1$  such that  $C_1 L n_0^{-W} \geq \|F\|_2$ . Thus  $N(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_n, C_1 L \cdot n^{-W}, \|\cdot\|) = 1$  and 18.2 holds trivially. For general  $n$ , fix  $m = n/d$  for large enough  $d > 1$ . For any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , there exists a projection  $\pi_m f \in \mathcal{F}_m$  such that  $\|f - \pi_m f\| \leq C^{\frac{1}{V}} m^{-\frac{1}{V}} \|F\| = L m^{-\frac{1}{V}}$  by definition of  $\mathcal{F}_m$ . Since  $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \lambda_f \cdot f = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_m} \mu_f \cdot f + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \lambda_f \cdot (f - \pi_m f)$ , we have  $\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_n \subset \text{conv}\mathcal{F}_m + \text{conv}\mathcal{G}_n$ , and the number of elements  $|\mathcal{G}_n| \leq |\mathcal{F}_n| \leq n$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_n = \{f - \pi_m f : f \in \mathcal{F}_n\}$ . We will find  $\frac{1}{2} C_1 L n^{-\frac{1}{V}}$ -nets for both  $\mathcal{F}_m$  and  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , and bound the number of elements for them to finish the induction step. We need the following lemma to bound the number of elements for the  $\frac{1}{2} C_1 L n^{-\frac{1}{V}}$ -net of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ .

**Lemma 18.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space and  $\mathcal{F}$  be an arbitrary set of  $n$  measurable functions  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of finite  $L_2(\mu)$ -diameter  $\text{diam}\mathcal{F}$  ( $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \int (f - g)^2 d\mu < \infty$ ). Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $N(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}, \epsilon \text{diam}\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|) \leq (e + en\epsilon^2)^{2/\epsilon^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ .  $\forall \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i$ , let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  be i.i.d. random variables such that  $P(Y_i = f_j) = \lambda_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{E}Y_i = \sum \lambda_j f_j$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j f_j \right) \leq \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{E} \left( Y_1 - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j f_j \right) \leq \frac{1}{k} (\text{diam}\mathcal{F})^2.$$

Thus at least one realization of  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i$  has a distance at most  $k^{-1/2} \text{diam}\mathcal{F}$  to  $\sum \lambda_i f_i$ . Since all realizations of  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i$  has the form  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f_{j_k}$ , there are at most  $\binom{n+k-1}{k}$  of such forms. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} N(k^{-1/2} \text{diam}\mathcal{F}, \text{conv}\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_2) &\leq \binom{n+k-1}{k} \\ &\leq \frac{(k+n)^{k+n}}{k^k n^n} = \left(\frac{k+n}{k}\right)^k \left(\frac{k+n}{n}\right)^n \\ &\leq e^k \left(\frac{k+n}{k}\right)^k = (e + en\epsilon^2)^{2/\epsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

□

By triangle inequality and definition of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ ,  $\text{diam}\mathcal{G}_n = \sup_{g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n} \|g_1 - g_2\|_2 \leq 2 \cdot Lm^{-1/V}$ . Let  $\epsilon \cdot \text{diam}\mathcal{G}_n = \epsilon \cdot 2Lm^{-1/V} = \frac{1}{2}C_1Ln^{-W}$ . It follows that  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}C_1m^{1/V} \cdot n^{-W}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} N(\text{conv}\mathcal{G}_n, \epsilon \text{diam}\mathcal{G}_n, \|\cdot\|_2) &\leq \left( e + en \cdot \frac{1}{16} C_1^2 m^{2/V} \cdot n^{-2W} \right)^{32 \cdot C_1^{-2} m^{2/V} n^{2 \cdot W}} \\ &= \left( e + \frac{e}{16} C_1^2 d^{-2/V} \right)^{32 \cdot C_1^{-2} d^{2/V} n} \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $\mathcal{F}_m$  and induction assumption,  $\log N(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_m, C_1L \cdot m^{-W}, \|\cdot\|_2) \leq D_1 \cdot m$ . In other words, the  $C_1L \cdot m^{-W}$ -net of  $\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_m$  contains at most  $e^{D_1 m}$  elements. This defines a partition of  $\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_m$  into at most  $e^{D_1 m}$  elements. Each element is isometric to a subset of a ball of radius  $C_1Lm^{-W}$ . Thus each set can be partitioned into  $\left(\frac{3C_1Lm^{-W}}{\frac{1}{2}C_1Ln^{-W}}\right)^m = (6d^W)^{n/d}$  sets of diameter at most  $\frac{1}{2}C_1Ln^{-W}$  according to the following lemma.

**Lemma 18.3.** *The packing number of a ball of radius  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies  $D(B(0, r), \epsilon, \|\cdot\|) \leq \left(\frac{3R}{\epsilon}\right)^d$  for the usual norm, where  $0 < \epsilon \leq R$ .*

As a result, the  $C_1Ln^{-W}$ -net of  $\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_n$  has at most  $e^{D_1 n/d} (6d^W)^{n/d} (e + eC_1^2 d^{-2/V})^{8d^{2/V} C_1^{-2} n}$  elements. This can be upper-bounded by  $e^n$  by choosing  $C_1$  and  $d$  depending only on  $V$ , and  $D_1 = 1$ .

For  $k > 1$ , construct  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}$  such that  $\text{conv}\mathcal{F}_{nk^q} \subset \text{conv}\mathcal{F}_{n(k-1)^q} + \text{conv}\mathcal{G}_{n,k}$  in a similar way as before.  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}$  contains at most  $nk^q$  elements, and each has a norm smaller than  $L(n(k-1)^q)^{-1/V}$ . To bound the cardinality of a  $Lk^{-2}n^{-W}$ -net, we set  $\epsilon \cdot 2L(n(k-1)^q)^{-1/V} = Lk^{-2}n^{-W}$ , get  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}n^{-1/2}(k-1)^{q/V}k^{-2}$ ,

and

$$N(\text{conv}\mathcal{G}_{n,k}, \epsilon \text{diam}\mathcal{G}_{n,k}, \|\cdot\|_2) \leq (e + enk^q \epsilon^2)^{2/\epsilon^2} \Rightarrow$$

$$N(\text{conv}\mathcal{G}_{n,k}, \epsilon \text{diam}\mathcal{G}_{n,k}, \|\cdot\|_2) \leq \left(e + \frac{e}{4} k^{-4+q+2q/V}\right)^{8 \cdot n \cdot k^4 (k-1)^{-2q/V}}$$

. As a result, we get

$$C_k = C_{k-1} + \frac{1}{k^2}$$

$$D_k = D_{k-1} + 8k^4 (k-1)^{-2q/V} \log\left(e + \frac{e}{4} k^{-4+q+2q/V}\right).$$

For  $2q/V - 4 \geq 2$ , the resulting sequences  $C_k$  and  $D_k$  are bounded. □