## Course 18.312: Algebraic Combinatorics

Lecture Notes # 15 Addendum by Gregg Musiker

March 9th, 2009

The material for this lecture can be found in several sources, for example see Section 4.1 of William Fulton's book "Young Tableaux".

## 1 Proof of Schensted's Theorem

**Theorem (Schensted).** Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  written in one-line notation. Let P and Q be the Standard Young Tableaux (SYT) in the image of the (Robinson-Schensted-Knuth) RSK algorithm, i.e.  $RSK(\pi) = (P, Q)$ , with shapes  $sh(P) = sh(Q) = \lambda$ . Then the length of the longest **increasing subsequence** in  $\pi$ equals the length of the **first row of**  $\lambda$  and the length of the longest **decreasing subsequence** in  $\pi$  equals the length of the **first column** of  $\lambda$ .

Before proving this theorem, we start with a few Lemmas.

**Lemma 1**: Let  $P_{k-1}$  be the NYT constructed by inserting  $\pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(k-1)$ . (Note that we do not have a fully Standard Young Tableau because we have only done partial insertion. Instead we have a "Near" Young Tableau.) Assume that the entry  $\pi(k)$  about to be inserted will go into the *j*th column of tableau  $P_k$ . Then, the longest increasing subsequence of  $\pi$  ending with  $\pi(k)$  has length *j*.

**Proof.** (By induction on k) The claim is clear for the case k = 1. Assume row 1 of  $P_{k-1}$  has a y in column (j-1) and a z in column j. Then if  $\pi(k)$  is inserted into column j, this implies the inequalities  $y < \pi(k) < z$ . Additionally,  $y = \pi(r)$  for some  $r \le k - 1$  and when  $\pi(r)$  is inserted, it was inserted into column (j-1). This implies that there is an increasing subsequence of length (j-1) in the set  $\{\pi(1), \ldots, \pi(r)\}$  ending with  $\pi(r)$ . Furthermore,  $\pi(r) < \pi(k)$ , so there exists an increasing subsequence of length j ending with  $\pi(k)$ .

Now we need to show that there is no longer subsequence ending with  $\pi(k)$ . If there were, that would involve

$$\pi(i_1) < \cdots < \pi(i_p) < \pi(k)$$

where  $i_p < k$ . Assume that the index p is chosen so that there are no elements between  $\pi(i_p)$  and  $\pi(k)$ . Thus  $\pi(1), \ldots, \pi(i_p)$  would contain a subsequence of length greater than or equal to j. By induction, this would also mean that  $P_{i_p}$  would be built by **inserting** element  $\pi(i_p)$  to the right of the (j - 1)st column in the first row. Since no  $\pi(i)$ , for  $i_p < i < k$  satisfies  $\pi(i) > \pi(i_p)$ , it follows that  $P_{k-1}$  contains  $\pi(i_p)$  in the *j*th column or further to the right. However, then  $\pi(i_p) = z$  or to its right, and either way we would obtain  $\pi(k) < \pi(i_p)$  and a contradiction.

**Corollary.** The longest increasing subsequence in  $\pi$  is the length of the first row in  $P(\pi)$ , which equals  $\lambda_1$ .

Let  $\pi^{rev}$  denote the reverse of  $\pi$ . For example, if  $\pi = 5 \ 1 \ 4 \ 2 \ 3$ , then  $\pi^{rev} = 3 \ 2 \ 4 \ 1 \ 5$ .

**Proposition (Schensted).** If  $P(\pi) = P$  then  $P(\pi^{rev}) = P^T$ , the conjugate SYT.

Sketch of Proof. The proof works by using column insertion instead of row insertion. This is sometimes referred to as **Dual RSK**. In particular, we *insert* blocks into the first column as *low* as possible and then *bump* elements to columns to the right. If one applies row insertion and column insertion to the same permutation  $\pi$ , and then compares the outputs, then one sees that step-by-step one gets tableaux that are transposes of one another. The **Key Lemma** is that for any  $P_k$  and  $x, y \notin P_k$  (i.e. x and y come later in the permutation  $\pi$ ), then

$$c_y r_x(P_k) = r_x c_y(P_k).$$

Here  $c_y$  denotes the column insertion of element y and  $r_x$  denotes the row insertion of element x. The proof of the Key Lemma can be found in "Young Tableaux" and is omitted.

Corollary 1. If  $RSK(\pi) = (P, Q)$ , then  $RSK(\pi^{rev}) = (P^T, Q^T)$ .

**Proof.** If  $RSK(\pi) = (P, Q)$  then  $P = r_{\pi_k} \circ r_{\pi_{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ r_{\pi_2} \circ r_{\pi_1}(\emptyset)$ , acting by row

insertion on the left. Consequently,

$$P^{rev} = r_{\pi_1} \circ r_{\pi_2} \circ \cdots \circ r_{\pi_{k-1}} \circ r_{\pi_k}(\emptyset)$$
  
=  $r_{\pi_1} \circ r_{\pi_2} \circ \cdots \circ r_{\pi_{k-1}} \circ c_{\pi_k}(\emptyset).$ 

We rearrange these last two entries by the Key Lemma to obtain

$$P^{rev} = r_{\pi_1} \circ r_{\pi_2} \circ \cdots \circ r_{\pi_{k-2}} \circ c_{\pi_k} \circ r_{\pi_{k-1}}(\emptyset)$$
$$= c_{\pi_k} \circ r_{\pi_1} \circ r_{\pi_2} \circ \cdots \circ r_{\pi_{k-2}} \circ r_{\pi_{k-1}}(\emptyset),$$

and we can also change  $r_{\pi_{k-1}}$  into a  $c_{\pi_{k-1}}$  by the same logic as above. Proceeding iteratively and moving the operator  $c_{\pi_i}$  leftwards, we obtain

$$P^{rev} = c_{\pi_k} \circ c_{\pi_{k-1}} \circ \dots \circ c_{\pi_2} \circ c_{\pi_1}(\emptyset)$$
$$= P^T.$$

Since this result is true for partial tableaux, we obtain that  $Q^{rev} = Q^T$  for the **recording tableaux** as well.

**Corollary 2.** The length of the longest **decreasing** subsequence equals the length of the longest **column**.

This completes the proof of Schensted's Theorem, as well as the fact that  $RSK(\pi^{rev}) =$  Dual  $RSK(\pi)$ .

Symmetry Theorem (Schutzenberger). If  $RSK(\pi) = (P, Q)$  then  $RSK(\pi^{-1}) = (Q, P)$ .

Proof Omitted.

18.312 Algebraic Combinatorics Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.