## 18.156 Lecture Notes

## Lecture 3

Lecturer: Larry Guth Trans.: Cole Graham

February 11, 2015

In Lecture 2 we mentioned that

$$\left\|\partial^2 u\right\|_{C^0} \not\lesssim \left\|\Delta u\right\|_{C^0} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\|\partial^2 u\right\|_{C^1} \not\lesssim \left\|\Delta u\right\|_{C^1} \tag{1}$$

for  $u \in C_c^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . However, Korn showed that

 $[\partial^2 u]_\alpha \lesssim [\Delta u]_\alpha$ 

for any  $a \in (0, 1)$ . We will spend the next few lectures proving results involving the  $\alpha$ -Hölder norms, culminating in a proof of the Schauder inequality. In the process we will also see why the bounds in (1) fail. The  $\alpha$ -Hölder norms provide an intermediate measure of smoothness between  $C^0$  and  $C^1$ , and offer valuable control on solutions to  $\Delta u = f$  and  $\Delta u = 0$  with boundary conditions.

To approach Korn's inequality, we will use an expression for  $\partial^2 u$  in terms of  $\Delta u$ . This formula is derived from physical potential theory. The "gravitational" field in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$F_n(x) = c_n \frac{x}{\left|x\right|^n}$$

for some constant  $c_n > 0$  and  $x \neq 0$ . As we shall see, it is most convenient to choose  $c_n = \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}(1)|}$  to simplify divergence formulæ for  $F_n$ . In fact  $F_n$  is generated by the potential

$$\Gamma_n(x) = \begin{cases} c'_n \left| x \right|^{-n+2} & \text{if } n \ge 3 \\ c'_2 \log \left| x \right| & \text{if } n = 2 \end{cases}$$

for appropriate constants  $c'_n > 0$ . That is,  $F_n = \nabla \Gamma_n$ . Is is easy to calculate that  $|\nabla \Gamma_n| \sim |x|^{-n+1}$  and  $|\nabla^2 \Gamma_n| \sim |x|^{-n}$  for large |x|. Furthermore, div  $F_n = 0$  at  $x \neq 0$ , so  $\Delta \Gamma_n = 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ . We note in passing that div  $F_n = 0$  may be derived without computation from the symmetry of  $F_n$  and the fact that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}(r)} F_n \cdot \hat{n} = 1 \tag{2}$$

is independent of r.

For the remainder of the lecture, let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open bounded region with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . From div  $F_n = 0$  for  $x \neq 0$  and (2), we may easily verify that

$$\int_{\Omega} F_n \cdot \hat{n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \notin \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(3)

This reflects the physical (and distribution theoretic) interpretation that div  $F_n = \Delta \Gamma_n = \delta_0$ . We now verify that convolution against  $\Gamma_n$  yields a solution to Poisson's equation.

**Proposition 1.** If  $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $u \coloneqq \Gamma_n * f$ , then  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\Delta u = f$ .

*Proof.* By definition,

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(y) \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy = \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_n(y) f(x-y) \, dy.$$

These integral expressions are well-defined because  $f \in C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\Gamma_n \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Also, standard dominated convergence arguments show that we may bring first derivatives under the integral sign:

$$\partial_j u = \int_{\omega} f(y) \partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy = \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(y) \partial_j f(x-y) \, dy$$

Again these expressions are well-defined because  $f \in C_c^1$  and  $\partial_j \Gamma_n \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Differentiating further, we have

$$\partial_i \partial_j u = \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(y) \partial_i \partial_j f(x-y) \, dy.$$

Note that we may not form a parallel expression with  $\partial_i \partial_j \Gamma_n$ , because  $\partial_i \partial_j \Gamma_n \notin L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By continuity, it is sufficient to verify that

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u = \int_{\Omega} f$$

for all regions  $\Omega$  satisfying the previously stated conditions. By the divergence theorem,

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u = \int_{\partial \Omega} \nabla u \cdot \hat{n} = \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \nabla \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy \right) \cdot \hat{n} \, dA(x).$$

We use Fubini to interchange the order of integration:

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \left( \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Gamma_n(x-y) \cdot \hat{n} \, dA(x) \right) \, dy.$$

Now (3) implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \chi_{\Omega}(y) \, dy = \int_{\Omega} f.$$

Having proven a solution to the equation  $\Delta u = f$ , the question of uniqueness naturally arises. Could other expressions for u also solve Laplace's equation? We establish uniqueness in the case that u is compactly supported:

**Proposition 2.** If  $u \in C_c^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f \coloneqq \Delta u$ , then  $u = \Gamma_n * f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \coloneqq \Gamma_n * f$ , so  $\Delta(u - w) = 0$ . The maximum principle for harmonic functions shows that  $\max_{B_R} |u - w| = \max_{\partial B_R} |u - w|$ . Hence to verify that u = w it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \max_{\partial B_R} |u - w| = 0.$$

Since u is compactly supported, this is equivalent to showing that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \max_{\partial B_R} |w| = 0$$

This is simple when  $n \ge 3$ . After all, if supp  $u \subset B_{R_0}$  and  $|x| = R \ge 2R_0$ , we have

$$|w(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy \right| \le \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{|z| \ge R/2} |\Gamma_n(z)| \to 0$$

as  $R \to \infty$ . This estimate fails when n = 2, because  $\Gamma_2$  does not decay as  $|x| \to \infty$ . We therefore deploy a more careful analysis, relying on the fact that f is the Laplacian of a compactly supported function. In particular,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y) \, dy = \int_{B_{R_0}} \Delta u(y) \, dy = \int_{S_{R_0}} \nabla u(y) \cdot \hat{n} \, dA(y) = 0.$$

Hence when  $|x| = R \ge 2R_0$ ,

$$\begin{split} |w(x)| &= \left| \int_{B_{R_0}} f(y) \Gamma_2(x) \, dy + \int_{B_{R_0}} f(y) [\Gamma_2(x-y) - \Gamma_2(x)] \, dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{B_{R_0}} f(y) [\Gamma_2(x-y) - \Gamma_2(x)] \, dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B_{R_0}} |f(y)| \, |y| \max_{[x,x-y]} |\nabla \Gamma_2| \, dy \\ &\leq R_0 \, \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \, \sup_{|z| \ge R/2} |\nabla \Gamma_2(z)| \to 0 \end{split}$$

as  $R \to \infty$ .

Korn's inequality bounds the regularity of  $\partial^2 u$  in terms of  $\Delta u$  for compactly supported functions. We therefore wish to adapt the expressions in Proposition 1 to derive formulæ for the second partials of u. However, as noted in the proof of Proposition 1, this goal is complicated by the fact that  $\partial_i \partial_j \Gamma_n \notin L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence we may not directly write  $\partial_i \partial_j u = (\Delta u) * \partial_i \partial_j \Gamma_n$ . We might hope that the integral defining the convolution converges conditionally, i.e. that

$$\partial_i \partial_j u = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} f(y) \partial_i \partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy \tag{4}$$

for  $u \in C_c^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . However, this equation is patently false if we recall that  $\Delta\Gamma_n(z) = 0$  for  $z \neq 0$ . If we use (4) with i = j and sum over  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we find  $\Delta u = 0$ , regardless of the choice of u. Hence we need to account somehow for the effect of the singularity of  $\Gamma_n$  on derivatives of the convolution  $(\Delta u) * \Gamma_n$ . As it turns out,

(4) is *almost* correct:

**Proposition 3.** If  $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $u \coloneqq f * \Gamma_n$ , then

$$\partial_i \partial_j u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} f(y) \partial_i \partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ij} f(x).$$
(5)

*Proof.* As noted in the proof of Proposition 1, we may certainly write

$$\partial_i \partial_j u(x) = \partial_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy = \partial_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) \partial_j \Gamma_n(y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i f(x-y) \partial_j \Gamma_n(y) \, dy.$$

The game of switching the convolution arguments between y and x - y is necessary because the derivatives  $\partial_i$  and  $\partial_j$  act on x, not y. Because  $\partial_j \Gamma_n$  is locally integrable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i \partial_j u(x) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \partial_i f(x - y) \partial_j \Gamma_n(y) \, dy \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \partial_i \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} f(x - y) \partial_j \Gamma_n(y) \, dy \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \partial_i \int_{|x - y| > \varepsilon} f(y) \partial_j \Gamma_n(x - y) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to once again move the derivative  $\partial_i$  inside the integral, but the region of integration now depends on x. Accounting for this:

$$\partial_i \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} f(y)\partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy = \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} f(y)\partial_i \partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy + \int_{|x-y|=\varepsilon} (\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{n}) f(y)\partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy.$$

Hence

$$\partial_i \partial_j u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} f(y) \partial_i \partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|x-y| = \varepsilon} (\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{n}) f(y) \partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy.$$

To complete the proof, we need to compute the second integral on the right hand side. As  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ , we note that  $\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{n} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ ,  $f(y) = f(x) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ , and  $\partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-n+1})$ . The region of integration is a sphere of volume  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{n-1})$ . We therefore see that we may replace f(y) by f(x) in the integral to achieve the same limit. That is:

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|x-y|=\varepsilon} (\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{n}) f(y) \partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy &= f(x) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|x-y|=\varepsilon} (\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{n}) \partial_j \Gamma_n(x-y) \, dy \\ &= f(x) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{S^{n-1}(\varepsilon)} c_n \frac{z_i z_j}{|z|^{n+1}} \, dA(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ij} f(x). \end{split}$$

18.156 Differential Analysis II: Partial Differential Equations and Fourier Analysis Spring 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.