## 18. Solutions to (some of) the problems

Solution 18.1 (To Problem 10). (by Matjaž Konvalinka).

Since the topology on  $\mathbb{N}$ , inherited from  $\mathbb{R}$ , is discrete, a set is compact if and only if it is finite. If a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  (i.e. a function  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ ) is in  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{N})$  if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a compact (hence finite) set  $F_{\epsilon}$  so that  $|x_n| < \epsilon$  for any n not in  $F_{\epsilon}$ . We can assume that  $F_{\epsilon} = \{1, \ldots, n_{\epsilon}\}$ , which gives us the condition that  $\{x_n\}$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{N})$ if and only if it converges to 0. We denote this space by  $c_0$ , and the supremum norm by  $\|\cdot\|_0$ . A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  will be abbreviated to x.

Let  $l^1$  denote the space of (real or complex) sequences x with a finite 1-norm

$$||x||_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|.$$

We can define pointwise summation and multiplication with scalars, and  $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a normed (in fact Banach) space. Because the functional

$$y \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$$

is linear and bounded  $(|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| |y_n| \le ||x||_0 ||y||_1)$  by  $||x||_0$ , the mapping

$$\Phi\colon l^1\longmapsto c_0^*$$

defined by

$$x \mapsto \left( y \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n \right)$$

is a (linear) well-defined mapping with norm at most 1. In fact,  $\Phi$  is an isometry because if  $|x_j| = ||x||_0$  then  $|\Phi(x)(e_j)| = 1$  where  $e_j$  is the *j*-th unit vector. We claim that  $\Phi$  is also surjective (and hence an isometric isomorphism). If  $\varphi$  is a functional on  $c_0$  let us denote  $\varphi(e_j)$ by  $x_j$ . Then  $\Phi(x)(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(e_n)y_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(y_n e_n) = \varphi(y)$  (the last equality holds because  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n e_n$  converges to y in  $c_0$  and  $\varphi$  is continuous with respect to the topology in  $c_0$ ), so  $\Phi(x) = \varphi$ .

Solution 18.2 (To Problem 29). (Matjaž Konvalinka) Since

$$D_x H(\varphi) = H(-D_x \varphi) = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x) \varphi'(x) \, dx = i \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi'(x) \, dx = i(0 - \varphi(0)) = -i\delta(\varphi),$$

we get  $D_x H = C\delta$  for C = -i.

Solution 18.3 (To Problem 40). (Matjaž Konvalinka) Let us prove this in the case where n = 1. Define (for  $b \neq 0$ )

$$U(x) = u(b) - u(x) - (b - x)u'(x) - \dots - \frac{(b - x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}u^{(k-1)}(x);$$

then

$$U'(x) = -\frac{(b-x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}u^{(k)}(x).$$

For the continuously differentiable function  $V(x) = U(x) - (1-x/b)^k U(0)$ we have V(0) = V(b) = 0, so by Rolle's theorem there exists  $\zeta$  between 0 and b with

$$V'(\zeta) = U'(\zeta) + \frac{k(b-\zeta)^{k-1}}{b^k}U(0) = 0$$

Then

$$U(0) = -\frac{b^k}{k(b-\zeta)^{k-1}}U'(\zeta),$$

$$u(b) = u(0) + u'(0)b + \ldots + \frac{u^{(k-1)}(0)}{(k-1)!}b^{k-1} + \frac{u^{(k)}(\zeta)}{k!}b^k.$$

The required decomposition is u(x) = p(x) + v(x) for

$$p(x) = u(0) + u'(0)x + \frac{u''(0)}{2}x^2 + \dots + \frac{u^{(k-1)}(0)}{(k-1)!}x^{k-1} + \frac{u^{(k)}(0)}{k!}x^k,$$
$$v(x) = u(x) - p(x) = \frac{u^{(k)}(\zeta) - u^{(k)}(0)}{k!}x^k$$

for  $\zeta$  between 0 and x, and since  $u^{(k)}$  is continuous,  $(u(x) - p(x))/x^k$  tends to 0 as x tends to 0.

The proof for general n is not much more difficult. Define the function  $w_x \colon I \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $w_x(t) = u(tx)$ . Then  $w_x$  is k-times continuously differentiable,

$$w'_x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(tx)x_i,$$

$$w_x''(t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (tx) x_i x_j,$$

$$w_x^{(l)}(t) = \sum_{l_1+l_2+\ldots+l_i=l} \frac{l!}{l_1!l_2!\cdots l_i!} \frac{\partial^l u}{\partial x_1^{l_1} \partial x_2^{l_2} \cdots \partial x_i^{l_i}} (tx) x_1^{l_1} x_2^{l_2} \cdots x_i^{l_i}$$

so by above  $u(x) = w_x(1)$  is the sum of some polynomial p (od degree k), and we have

$$\frac{u(x) - p(x)}{|x|^k} = \frac{v_x(1)}{|x|^k} = \frac{w_x^{(k)}(\zeta_x) - w_x^{(k)}(0)}{k!|x|^k},$$

so it is bounded by a positive combination of terms of the form

$$\left|\frac{\partial^l u}{\partial x_1^{l_1} \partial x_2^{l_2} \cdots \partial x_i^{l_i}}(\zeta_x x) - \frac{\partial^l u}{\partial x_1^{l_1} \partial x_2^{l_2} \cdots \partial x_i^{l_i}}(0)\right|$$

with  $l_1 + \ldots + l_i = k$  and  $0 < \zeta_x < 1$ . This tends to zero as  $x \to 0$  because the derivative is continuous.

Solution 18.4 (Solution to Problem 41). (Matjž Konvalinka) Obviously the map  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{B}^n) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is injective (since it is just the inclusion map), and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{B}^n)$  if and only if it is zero on  $\partial \mathbb{B}^n$ , i.e. if and only if  $f|_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} = 0$ . It remains to prove that any map g on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is the restriction of a continuous function on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . This is clear since

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x|g(x/|x|) & x \neq 0\\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is well-defined, coincides with f on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and is continuous: if M is the maximum of |g| on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$  is given, then  $|f(x)| < \epsilon$  for  $|x| < \epsilon/M$ .

Solution 18.5. (partly Matjaž Konvalinka) For any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\begin{split} |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) dx| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(x)| dx \leq \sup((1+x|^2)|\varphi(x)|) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|x|^2)^{-1} dx \\ &\leq C \sup((1+x|^2)|\varphi(x)|). \end{split}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \ni \varphi \longmapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi dx$  is continuous.

Now, choose  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) dx = 1$ . Then, for  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , set

(18.1) 
$$A\psi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} (\psi(t) - c(\psi)\phi(t)) dt, \ c(\psi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s) ds.$$

Note that the assumption on  $\phi$  means that

(18.2) 
$$A\psi(x) = -\int_x^\infty \left(\psi(t) - c(\psi)\phi(t)\right) dt$$

Clearly  $A\psi$  is smooth, and in fact it is a Schwartz function since

(18.3) 
$$\frac{d}{dx}(A\psi(x)) = \psi(x) - c\phi(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$$

so it suffices to show that  $x^k A \psi$  is bounded for any k as  $|x| \to \pm \infty$ . Since  $\psi(t) - c\phi(t) \leq C_k t^{-k-1}$  in  $t \geq 1$  it follows from (18.2) that

$$|x^k A \psi(x)| \le C x^k \int_x^\infty t^{-k-1} dt \le C', \ k > 1, \ \text{in } x > 1.$$

A similar estimate as  $x \to -\infty$  follows from (18.1). Now, A is clearly linear, and it follows from the estimates above, including that on the integral, that for any k there exists C and j such that

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta \le k} |x^{\alpha} D^{\beta} A \psi| \le C \sum_{\alpha',\beta' \le j} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x^{\alpha'} D^{\beta'} \psi|.$$

Finally then, given  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  define  $v(\psi) = -u(A\psi)$ . From the continuity of  $A, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and from the definition of  $A, A(\psi') = \psi$ . Thus

$$dv/dx(\psi) = v(-\psi') = u(A\psi') = u(\psi) \Longrightarrow \frac{dv}{dx} = u.$$

Solution 18.6. We have to prove that  $\langle \xi \rangle^{m+m'} \widehat{u} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , in other words, that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2(m+m')} |\widehat{u}|^2 \, d\xi < \infty.$$

But that is true since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2(m+m')} |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m'} (1+\xi_1^2+\ldots+\xi_n^2)^m |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi =$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m'} \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} C_\alpha \xi^{2\alpha} \right) |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} C_\alpha \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m'} \xi^{2\alpha} |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi \right)$$

and since  $\langle \xi \rangle^{m'} \xi^{\alpha} \widehat{u} = \langle \xi \rangle^{m'} \widehat{D^{\alpha} u}$  is in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (note that  $u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  follows from  $D^{\alpha} u \in H^{m'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ ). The converse is also true since  $C_{\alpha}$  in the formula above are strictly positive.

Solution 18.7. Take  $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and define subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$E_0 = \{x \colon |x| \le 1\},\$$
$$E_i = \{x \colon |x| \ge 1, |x_i| = \max_j |x_j|\}.$$

Then obviously we have  $1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \chi_{E_j}$  a.e., and  $v = \sum_{j=0}^{n} v_j$  for  $v_j = \chi_{E_j} v$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle$  is bounded by  $\sqrt{2}$  on  $E_0$ , and  $\langle x \rangle v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; and on  $E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we have

$$\frac{\langle x \rangle}{|x_j|} \le \frac{(1+n|x_j|^2)^{1/2}}{|x_j|} = \left(n+1/|x_j|^2\right)^{1/2} \le (2n)^{1/2},$$

so  $\langle x \rangle v_j = x_j w_j$  for  $w_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . But that means that  $\langle x \rangle v = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j w_j$  for  $w_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If u is in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $\hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and so there exist  $w_0, \ldots, w_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  so that

$$\langle \xi \rangle \widehat{u} = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j w_j,$$

in other words

$$\widehat{u} = \widehat{u}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \widehat{u}_j$$

where  $\langle \xi \rangle \widehat{u}_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence

$$u = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n D_j u_j$$

where  $u_j \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Solution 18.8. Since

$$D_x H(\varphi) = H(-D_x \varphi) = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x) \varphi'(x) \, dx = i \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi'(x) \, dx = i(0 - \varphi(0)) = -i\delta(\varphi),$$
  
we get  $D_x H = C\delta$  for  $C = -i$ .

Solution 18.9. It is equivalent to ask when  $\langle \xi \rangle^m \widehat{\delta_0}$  is in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since

$$\widehat{\delta_0}(\psi) = \delta_0(\widehat{\psi}) = \widehat{\psi}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) \, dx = 1(\psi)$$

this is equivalent to finding m such that  $\langle \xi \rangle^{2m}$  has a finite integral over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . One option is to write  $\langle \xi \rangle = (1+r^2)^{1/2}$  in spherical coordinates, and to recall that the Jacobian of spherical coordinates in n dimensions has the form  $r^{n-1}\Psi(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_{n-1})$ , and so  $\langle \xi \rangle^{2m}$  is integrable if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+r^2)^m} \, dr$$

converges. It is obvious that this is true if and only if n-1-2m < -1, ie. if and only if m > n/2.

Solution 18.10 (Solution to Problem31). We know that  $\delta \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any m < -n/1. Thus is just because  $\langle \xi \rangle^p \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  when p < -n/2. Now, divide  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into n+1 regions, as above, being  $A_0 = \{\xi; |\xi| \leq 1$  and  $A_i = \{\xi; |\xi_i| = \sup_j |\xi_j|, |\xi| \geq 1\}$ . Let  $v_0$  have Fourier transform  $\chi_{A_0}$ and for  $i = 1, \ldots, n, v_i \in \mathcal{S}; (\mathbb{R}^n)$  have Fourier transforms  $\xi_i^{-n-1}\chi_{A_i}$ . Since  $|\xi_i| > c\langle \xi \rangle$  on the support of  $\hat{v}_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , each term is in  $H^m$  for any m<1+n/2 so, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, each  $v_i\in \mathcal{C}_0^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

(18.4) 
$$1 = \hat{v}_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^{n+1} \hat{v}_i \Longrightarrow \delta = v_0 + \sum_i D_i^{n+1} v_i.$$

How to see that this cannot be done with n or less derivatives? For the moment I do not have a proof of this, although I believe it is true. Notice that we are actually proving that  $\delta$  can be written

(18.5) 
$$\delta = \sum_{|\alpha| \le n+1} D^{\alpha} u_{\alpha}, \ u_{\alpha} \in H^{n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This cannot be improved to n from n + 1 since this would mean that  $\delta \in H^{-n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which it isn't. However, what I am asking is a little more subtle than this.