## MATH 18.152 - PROBLEM SET 8

## 18.152 Introduction to PDEs, Fall 2011

Professor: Jared Speck

## Problem Set 8, Due: at the start of class on 11-10-11

I. Consider the energy-momentum tensor corresponding to the linear wave equation:  $T_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2}m_{\mu\nu}(m^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}\phi\partial_{\beta}\phi$ , and assume that  $|\nabla_{t,x}\phi| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{(\partial_{t}\phi)^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\partial_{i}\phi)^{2}} \neq 0$ . Here,  $(m^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$  is the standard Minkowski metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ . Let X, Y be future-directed timelike vectors (i.e.,  $m(X, X) < 0, m(Y, Y) < 0, X^{0} > 0$ , and  $Y^{0} > 0$ ). Show that

(0.0.1) 
$$T(X,Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{\alpha\beta} X^{\alpha} Y^{\beta} > 0.$$

**Hint:** First show that if L and  $\underline{L}$  are any pair of null vectors normalized by  $m(L, \underline{L}) = -2$ , then  $T(L, L) \geq 0$ ,  $T(\underline{L}, \underline{L}) \geq 0$ ,  $T(L, \underline{L}) \geq 0$ , and that at least one of these three must be non-zero. To prove these facts, it might be helpful to supplement the vectors L and  $\underline{L}$  with some vectors  $e_{(1)}, \dots, e_{(n-1)}$  in order to form a null frame  $\mathcal{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L, \underline{L}, e_{(1)}, \dots, e_{(n-1)}\}$ ; the calculations will be much easier to do relative to the basis  $\mathcal{N}$  compared to the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L, \underline{L}, e_{(1)}, \dots, e_{(n-1)}\}$  is any basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{1+n}$  such that  $0 = m(L, L) = m(\underline{L}, \underline{L}) = m(L, e_{(i)}) = m(\underline{L}, e_{(i)})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , such that  $m(L, \underline{L}) = -2$ , such that  $m(e_{(i)}, e_{(j)}) = 1$  if i = j, and such that  $m(e_{(i)}, e_{(j)}) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ; as we discussed in class, given any null pair  $L, \underline{L}$  normalized by  $m(L, \underline{L}) = -2$ , there exists such a null frame  $\mathcal{N}$  containing L and  $\underline{L}$ . Recall also that  $(m^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}L^{\mu}\underline{L}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{L}^{\mu}L^{\nu} + fn^{\mu\nu}$ , where  $fn^{\mu\nu}$ is positive definite on span $\{e_{(1)}, \dots, e_{(n-1)}\}$ ,  $fn^{\mu\nu}$  vanishes on span $\{L, \underline{L}\}$ , and  $fn(L, e_{(i)}) = fn(\underline{L}, e_{(i)}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

To tackle the case of general X and Y, use Problem V from last week.

**Remark 0.0.1.** Inequality (0.0.1) also holds if X, Y are past-directed timelike vectors (i.e.,  $m(X, X) < 0, m(Y, Y) < 0, X^0 < 0$ , and  $Y^0 < 0$ ).

**II**. Consider the Morawetz vectorfield  $\overline{K}^{\mu}$  on  $R^{1+3}$  defined by

(0.0.2) 
$$\overline{K}^0 = 1 + t^2 + (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2,$$

(0.0.3) 
$$\overline{K}^{j} = 2tx^{j},$$
  $(j = 1, 2, 3).$ 

**a)** Show that  $\overline{K}$  is future-directed and timelike. Above,  $(t, x^1, x^2, x^3)$  are the standard coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$ .

**b**) Show that

(0.0.4) 
$$\partial_{\mu}\overline{K}_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\overline{K}_{\mu} = 4tm_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (\mu,\nu=0,1,2,3),$$

where  $m_{\mu\nu}$  denotes the Minkowski metric.

**Remark 0.0.2.**  $\overline{K}$  is said to be a *conformal Killing field* of the Minkowski metric because the right-hand side of (0.0.4) is proportional to  $m_{\mu\nu}$ .

c) Show that

(0.0.5) 
$$m_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

where  $T^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (m^{-1})^{\mu\alpha} (m^{-1})^{\nu\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}$  is the energy-momentum tensor from Problem I with its indices raised. Note that the formula (0.0.5) only holds in 1 + 3 spacetime dimensions.

**d**) Show that  $\partial_{\mu}{}^{(\overline{K})}J^{\mu} = 0$  whenever  $\phi$  is a solution to the linear wave equation  $(m^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi = 0$ , where

$$(0.0.6) \qquad \qquad (\overline{K}) J^{\mu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -T^{\mu\nu} \overline{K}_{\nu}.$$

e) Show that

$$(0.0.7) \quad {}^{(\overline{K})}J^{0} = \frac{1}{4} \Big\{ \Big[ 1 + (t+r)^{2} \Big] (\nabla_{L}\phi)^{2} + \Big[ 1 + (t-r)^{2} \Big] (\nabla_{\underline{L}}\phi)^{2} + 2 \Big[ 1 + t^{2} + r^{2} \Big] \not m^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu}\phi \partial_{\nu}\phi \Big\}.$$

Above,  $(m^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}L^{\mu}\underline{L}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{L}^{\mu}L^{\nu} + m^{\mu\nu}$  is the standard null decomposition of  $(m^{-1})^{\mu\nu}$ from class. In particular,  $L^{\mu} = (1, \frac{x^1}{r}, \frac{x^2}{r}, \frac{x^3}{r}), \underline{L}^{\mu} = (1, -\frac{x^1}{r}, -\frac{x^2}{r}, -\frac{x^3}{r}), \nabla_L \phi = \partial_t \phi + \partial_r \phi,$  $\nabla_{\underline{L}} \phi = \partial_t \phi - \partial_r \phi$ , and  $m^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi$  is the square of the Euclidean norm of the angular derivatives of  $\phi$ . Here,  $r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2}$  denotes the standard spherical coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $\partial_r$  denotes the standard radial derivative.

**Hint:** The following expansions in terms of L and  $\underline{L}$  may be very helpful:

$$\begin{aligned} (0.0.8) \\ \overline{K}^{\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ [1 + (r+t)^2] L^{\mu} + [1 + (r-t)^2] \underline{L}^{\mu} \Big\}, \\ (0.0.9) \\ (1,0,0,0) &= \frac{1}{2} (L^{\mu} + \underline{L}^{\nu}), \\ (0.0.10) \\ (\overline{K}) J^0 &= T \big( \overline{K}, \frac{1}{2} (L + \underline{L}) \big) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \Big\{ [1 + (r+t)^2] T \big( L, L \big) + [1 + (r-t)^2] T \big( \underline{L}, \underline{L} \big) + \big( [1 + (r+t)^2] + [1 + (r-t)^2] \big) T \big( L, \underline{L} \big) \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

**f)** Finally, with the help of the vectorfield  $(\overline{K})J^{\mu}$ , apply the divergence theorem on an appropriately chosen spacetime region and use the previous results to derive the following conservation law for smooth solutions to the linear wave equation  $(m^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi = 0$ :

$$(0.0.11) \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{4} \Big\{ \Big[ 1 + (t+r)^2 \Big] (\nabla_L \phi(t,x))^2 + \Big[ 1 + (t-r)^2 \Big] (\nabla_{\underline{L}} \phi(t,x))^2 + 2 \Big[ 1 + t^2 + r^2 \Big] \not m^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi(t,x) \partial_\nu \phi(t,x) \Big\} d^3x \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{4} \Big\{ \Big[ 1 + r^2 \Big] (\nabla_L \phi(0,x))^2 + \Big[ 1 + r^2 \Big] (\nabla_{\underline{L}} \phi(0,x))^2 + 2 \Big[ 1 + r^2 \Big] \not m^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi(0,x) \partial_\nu \phi(0,x) \Big\} d^3x.$$

For simplicity, at each fixed t, you may assume that there exists an R > 0 such that  $\phi(t, x)$  vanishes whenever  $|x| \ge R$ .

**Remark 0.0.3.** Note that the right-hand side of (0.0.11) can be computed in terms of the initial data alone. Note also that the different *null derivatives* of  $\phi$  appearing on the left-hand side of (0.0.11) carry different weights. In particular,  $\nabla_L \phi$  and the angular derivatives of  $\phi$  have larger weights than  $\nabla_{\underline{L}} \phi$ . These larger weights are strongly connected to the following fact, whose full proof requires additional methods going beyond this course:  $\nabla_L \phi$  and the angular derivatives of  $\phi$  decay faster in t compared to  $\nabla_{\underline{L}} \phi$ .

18.152 Introduction to Partial Differential Equations. Fall 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.