## Lecture 5

## Notes about Exercise 1

Lemma. Let $U$ and $V$ be as in Theorem 1 above. $\beta \in \Omega^{0, q}(U), \bar{\partial} \beta=0$ then there exists $\alpha \in \Omega^{0, q-1}(U)$ such that $\bar{\partial} \alpha=\beta$ on $V$.

Proof. Choose a polydisk $W$ so that $\bar{V} \subset W, \bar{W} \subset U$. Choose $\rho \in C_{0}^{\infty}(W)$ with $\rho \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $V$. By theorem 1 there exists $\alpha_{0} \in \Omega^{0, q-1}(W)$ so that $\bar{\partial} \alpha_{0}=\beta$ on $W$. If we take

$$
\alpha= \begin{cases}\rho \alpha_{0} & \text { on } W \\ 0 & \text { on } U-W\end{cases}
$$

then we have a solution.
We claim that the Dolbealt complex is exact on all degrees $q \geq 2$.

Lemma. Let $V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of polydisks so that $\bar{V}_{r} \subset V_{r+1}$ and $\bigcup V_{1}=U$. (exhaustion on $U$ by compact polydisk). There exists $\alpha_{i} \in \Omega^{0, q+1}(U)$ such that $\bar{\partial} \alpha_{r}=\beta$ on $V_{r}$ and such that $\alpha_{r+1}=\alpha_{r}$ on $V_{r-1}$.

Proof. By the previous lemma there exists $\alpha_{r} \in \Omega^{0, q-1}(U)$ with $\bar{\partial} \alpha_{r}=\beta$ on $V_{r}$. And for $\alpha_{r+1}, \alpha_{r}$ on $V_{r}$, $\bar{\partial} \alpha_{r+1}=\bar{\partial} \alpha_{r}=\beta$ on $V_{r}$, so $\bar{\partial}\left(\alpha_{r+1}-\alpha_{r}\right)=0$ on $V_{r}$. Now $q \geq 2$ so we can find $\gamma \in \Omega^{0, q-1}(U)$ such that $\bar{\partial} \gamma=\alpha_{r+1}-\alpha_{r}$ on $V_{r-1}$. Then set $\alpha_{r+1}^{\text {new }}:=\alpha_{r+1}^{\text {old }}+\bar{\partial} \gamma$. So $\bar{\partial} \alpha_{r+1}^{\text {new }}=\beta$ on $V_{r+1}, \alpha_{r+1}^{\text {new }}=\alpha_{r}$ on $V_{r-1}$.

We get a global solution when we set $\alpha=\alpha_{r}$ on $V_{r-1}$ for all $r$.
(EXERCISE Prove exactness at $q=1$, i.e. make this argument work for $q=1$.)
What does exactness mean for degree 1? Well

$$
\beta \in \Omega^{0,1}(U) \quad \beta=\sum f_{i} d \bar{z}_{i} \quad f_{i} \in C^{\infty}(U)
$$

We need to show that there exists $g \in \Omega^{0,0}(U)=C^{\infty}(U)$ so that $\bar{\partial} g=\beta$, i.e.

$$
\frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}=f_{i} \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

So the condition that $\bar{\partial} \beta=0$ is just the integrability conditions.
So we have to show the following. That there exists a sequence of functions $g_{r} \in C^{\infty}(U) . V_{0} \subset V_{1} \subset$ $\cdots \subset U$ such that $\frac{\partial g_{r}}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}=f_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ on $V_{r}$ (easy consequence of lemma)

We can no longer say $g_{r+1}-g_{r}$ on $V_{r-1}$. But we can pick $g_{r}$ such that $\left|g_{r+1}-g_{r}\right|<\frac{1}{2^{r}}$ on $V_{r-1}$.
$\underline{\text { Hint }}$ Choose $g_{r} \in C^{\infty}(U)$ such that $\frac{\partial g_{r}}{\partial z_{i}}=f_{i}$ on $V_{r}$. Look at $g_{r+1}-g_{r}$ on $V_{r}$. Note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}\left(g_{r+1}-g_{r}\right)=0$ on $V_{r}$, so $g_{r+1}-g_{r} \in \mathcal{O}\left(V_{r}\right)$. On $V_{r-1}$ we can expand by power series to get $g_{r+1}-g_{r}=\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$, and this series is actually uniformly convergent on $V_{r-1}$. We try to modify $g_{r+1}^{\text {old }}$ by setting $g_{r+1}^{\text {new }}+P_{N}(z)$, where $P_{N}(z)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$
(The exercise is due Feb 25th)

## More on Dolbealt Complex

For polydisks the Dolbealt complex is acyclic (exact). But what about other kinds of open sets? The solution was obtained by Kohn in 1963.

Let $U$ be open in $\mathbb{C}, \varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(U)$.
Definition. $\varphi$ is strictly pluri-subharmonic if for all $p \in U$ the hermitian form

$$
a \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mapsto \sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}(p) a_{i} \bar{a}_{j}
$$

is positive definite.
(This definition will be important later for Kaehler manifolds)
Definition. A $C^{\infty}$ function $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an exhaustion function if it is bounded from below and if for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$

$$
K_{c}=\{p \in U \mid \varphi(p) \leq c\}
$$

is compact.
Definition. $U$ is pseudoconvex if it possesses a strictly pluri-subharmonic exhaustion function.

## Examples

1. $U=\mathbb{C}$. If we take $\varphi=|z|^{2}=z \bar{z}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}=1$.
2. $U=D \subset \mathbb{C}$

$$
\varphi=\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}=\frac{1+|z|^{2}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{3}}>0
$$

3. $U \subset \mathbb{C}, U=D-\{0\}=D^{o}$, i.e. the punctured disk

$$
\varphi^{o}=\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}+\log \frac{1}{|z|^{2}} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi^{o}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}
$$

because Log is harmonic. Note the extra term in $\varphi^{\circ}$ is so the function will blow up at its point of discontinuity.
4. $\mathbb{C}^{n} \supset U=D_{1} \times \cdots \times D_{n}$, where $D_{i}=\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}<1$. Take

$$
\varphi=\sum \frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}
$$

5. $\mathbb{C}^{n} \supset U, D_{1}^{o} \times \cdots \times D_{k}^{o} \times D_{k+1} \times \cdots \times D_{n}$

$$
\varphi^{o}=\varphi+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \log \frac{1}{\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}
$$

6. $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}, U=B^{n},|z|^{2}=\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}$.

$$
\varphi=\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} \quad \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}=\frac{\delta_{i j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}+\frac{2 z_{i} \bar{z}_{j}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{3}}
$$

Theorem. If $U_{i} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, i=1,2$ is pseudo-convex then $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ is pseudo-convex
Proof. Take $\varphi_{i}$ to be strictly pluri-subharmonic exhaustion functions for $U_{i}$. Then set $\varphi=\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}$ on $U_{1} \cap U_{w}$.

Punchline:
Theorem. The Dolbealt complex is exact on $U$ if and only if $U$ is pseudo-convex.
This takes 150 pages to prove, so we'll just take it as fact.
The Dolbealt complex is the left side of the bi-graded de Rham complex.
There is another interesting complex. For example if we let $A^{0}=\operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}: \Omega^{p, 0} \rightarrow \Omega^{p, 1}, \partial \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \partial=0$ and $\omega \in A^{r}$ then $\partial \omega \in A^{r+1}$ and we get a complex

$$
A^{0} \xrightarrow{\partial} A^{1} \xrightarrow{\partial} A^{2} \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots
$$

