## Lecture 33

## 6.5 Differential Forms on Manifolds

Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open. By definition, a k-form  $\omega$  on U is a function which assigns to each point  $p \in U$  an element  $\omega_p \in \Lambda^k(T_p^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We now define the notion of a k-form on a manifold. Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be an *n*-dimensional manifold. Then, for  $p \in X$ , the tangent space  $T_p X \subseteq T_p \mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Definition 6.14.** A k-form  $\omega$  on X is a function on X which assigns to each point  $p \in X$  an element  $\omega_p \in \Lambda^k((T_pX)^*)$ .

Suppose that  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  map, and let f(p) = a. Then  $df_p$  is of the form

$$df_p: T_p X \to T_a \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.47}$$

We can think of  $df_p \in (T_pX)^* = \Lambda^1((T_pX)^*)$ . So, we get a one-form df on X which maps each  $p \in X$  to  $df_p$ .

Now, suppose

- $\mu$  is a k-form on X, and (6.48)
- $\nu$  is an  $\ell$ -form on X. (6.49)

For  $p \in X$ , we have

$$\mu_p \in \Lambda^k(T_p^*X) \text{ and}$$
 (6.50)

$$\nu_p \in \Lambda^{\ell}(T_p^*X). \tag{6.51}$$

Taking the wedge product,

$$\mu_p \wedge \nu_p \in \Lambda^{k+\ell}(T_p^*X). \tag{6.52}$$

The wedge product  $\mu \wedge \nu$  is the  $(k + \ell)$ -form mapping  $p \in X$  to  $\mu_p \wedge \nu_p$ .

Now we consider the pullback operation. Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$  be manifolds, and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  map. Let  $p \in X$  and a = f(p). We have the map

$$df_p: T_p X \to T_a Y. \tag{6.53}$$

From this we get the pullback

$$(df_p)^* : \Lambda^k(T_a^*Y) \to \Lambda^k(T_p^*X).$$
(6.54)

Let  $\omega$  be a k-form on Y. Then  $f^*\omega$  is defined by

$$(f^*\omega)_p = (df_p)^*\omega_q. \tag{6.55}$$

Let  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  be  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  maps on manifolds X, Y, Z. Let  $\omega$  be a k-form. Then

$$(g \circ f)^* \omega = f^*(g^* \omega), \tag{6.56}$$

where  $g \circ f : X \to Z$ .

So far, the treatment of k-forms for manifolds has been basically the same as our earlier treatment of k-forms. However, the treatment for manifolds becomes more complicated when we study  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  forms.

Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\omega$  be a k-form on U. We can write

$$\omega = \sum a_I(x) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}, \quad I = (i_1, \dots, i_k).$$
(6.57)

By definition, we say that  $\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$  if each  $A_I \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(U)$ .

Let V be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , and let  $f: U \to V$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  map. Let  $\omega \in \Omega^k(V)$ . Then  $f^*\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$ . Now, we want to define what we mean by a  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  form on a manifold.

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an *n*-dimensional manifold, and let  $p \in X$ . There exists an open set U in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , a neighborhood V of p in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and a diffeomorphism  $\phi : U \to V \cap X$ . The diffeomorphism  $\phi$  is a parameterization of X at p.

We can think of  $\phi$  in the following two ways:

- 1. as a map of U onto  $V \cap X$ , or
- 2. as a map of U onto V, whose image is contained in X.

The second way of thinking about  $\phi$  is actually the map  $\iota_X \circ \phi$ , where  $\iota_X : X \to \mathbb{R}^N$  is the inclusion map. Note that  $\iota_X : X \to \mathbb{R}^N$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ , because it extends to the identity map  $I : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ .

We give two equivalent definitions for  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  k-forms. Let  $\omega$  be a k-form on X.

**Definition 6.15.** The k-form  $\omega$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  at p if there exists a k-form  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^k(V)$  such that  $\iota_X^* \tilde{\omega} = \omega$ .

**Definition 6.16.** The k-form  $\omega$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  at p if there exists a diffeomorphism  $\phi: U \to U \cap U$  such that  $\phi^* \omega \in \Omega^k(U)$ .

The first definition depends only on the choice of  $\tilde{\omega}$ , and the second definition depends only on the choice of  $\phi$ . So, if the definitions are equivalent, then neither definition depends on the choice of  $\tilde{\omega}$  or the choice of  $\phi$ .

We show that these two definitions are indeed equivalent.

**Claim.** The above two definitions are equivalent.

*Proof.* First, we show that (def 6.15)  $\implies$  (def 6.16). Let  $\omega = \iota_X^* \tilde{\omega}$ . Then  $\phi^* \omega = (\iota_X \circ \phi)^* \tilde{\omega}$ . The map  $\iota \circ \phi : U \to V$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ , and  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^k(v)$ , so  $\phi^* \omega = (\iota_X \circ \phi)^* \tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^k(U)$ .

Second, we show that (def 6.16)  $\implies$  (def 6.15). Let  $\phi : U \to V \cap U$  be a diffeomorphism. Then  $\phi^{-1} : V \cap X \to U$  can be extended to  $\psi : V \to U$ , where  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ . On  $V \cap X$ , the map  $\phi = \iota_X^* \tilde{\omega}$ , where  $\tilde{\omega} = \psi^*(\phi^* \omega)$ . It is easy to show that  $\tilde{\omega}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ .

**Definition 6.17.** The k-form  $\omega$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  if  $\omega$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  at p for every point  $p \in X$ .

Notation. If  $\omega$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ , then  $\omega \in \Omega^k(X)$ .

**Theorem 6.18.** If  $\omega \in \Omega^k(X)$ , then there exists a neighborhood W of X in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and a k-form  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^k(W)$  such that  $\iota_X^* \tilde{\omega} = w$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in X$ . There exists a neighborhood  $V_p$  of p in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and a k-form  $\omega^p \in \Omega^k(V_p)$  such that  $\iota_X^* \omega^p = \omega$  on  $V_p \cap X$ .

Let

$$W \subseteq \bigcup_{p \in X} V_p. \tag{6.58}$$

The collection of sets  $\{V_p : p \in X\}$  is an open cover of W. Let  $\rho_1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ , be a partition of unity subordinate to this cover. So,  $\rho_i \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(W)$  and supp  $\rho_i \subset V_p$  for some p. Let

$$\tilde{\omega}_i = \begin{cases} \rho_i \omega^p & \text{on } V_p, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$
(6.59)

Notice that

$$\iota_X^* \tilde{\omega}_i = \iota_X^* \rho_i \iota_X^* \omega^p$$
  
=  $(\iota_X^* \rho_i) \omega.$  (6.60)

Take

$$\tilde{\omega} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_i. \tag{6.61}$$

This sum makes sense since we used a partition of unity. From the sum, we can see that  $\tilde{w} \in \Omega^k(W)$ . Finally,

$$\iota_X^* \tilde{w} = (\iota_X^* \sum \rho_i) \omega$$
  
=  $\omega$ . (6.62)

**Theorem 6.19.** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell$  be manifolds, and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  map. If  $\omega \in \Omega^k(X)$ , then  $f^*\omega \in \Omega^k(Y)$ .

*Proof.* Take an open set W in  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$  such that  $W \supset Y$ , and take  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^k(W)$  such that  $\iota_X^* \tilde{\omega} = \omega$ . Take any  $p \in X$  and  $\phi : U \to V$  a parameterization of X at p.

We show that the pullback  $\phi^*(f^*\omega)$  is in  $\Omega^k(U)$ . We can write

$$\phi^*(f^*\omega) = \phi^* f^*(\iota_X^* \tilde{w}) = (\iota \circ f \circ \phi)^* \tilde{\omega},$$
(6.63)

where in the last step we used the chain rule.

The form  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^k(W)$ , where W is open in  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ , so  $\iota \circ f \circ \phi : U \to W$ . The theorem that we proved on Euclidean spaces shows that the r.h.s of Equation 6.63 is in  $\Omega^k(U)$ .

The student should check the following claim:

Claim. If  $\mu, \nu \in \Omega^k(Y)$ , then

$$f^*(\mu \wedge \nu) = f^*\mu \wedge f^*\nu. \tag{6.64}$$

The differential operation d is an important operator on k-forms on manifolds.

$$d: \Omega^k(X) \to \Omega^{k+1}(X). \tag{6.65}$$

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be a manifold, and let  $\omega \in \Omega^k(X)$ . There exists an open neighborhood W of X in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and a k-form  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^k(W)$  such that  $\iota_X^* \tilde{\omega} = \omega$ .

## **Definition 6.20.** $d\omega = \iota_X^* d\tilde{\omega}$ .

Why is this definition well-defined? It seems to depend on the choice of  $\tilde{\omega}$ . Take a parameterization  $\phi: U \to V \cap X$  of X at p. Then

$$\phi^* \iota_X^* d\tilde{\omega} = (\iota_X \circ \phi)^* d\tilde{\omega} 
= d(\iota_X \circ \phi)^* \omega 
= d\phi^* (\iota_X^* \tilde{\omega}) 
= d\phi^* \omega.$$
(6.66)

So,

$$\phi^* \iota_X^* d\tilde{\omega} = d\phi^* \omega. \tag{6.67}$$

Take the inverse mapping  $\phi^{-1}: V \cap X \to U$  and take the pullback  $(\phi^{-1})^*$  of each side of Equation 6.67, to obtain

$$\iota_X^* d\tilde{\omega} = (\phi^{-1})^* d\phi^* \omega. \tag{6.68}$$

The r.h.s does not depend on  $\tilde{\omega}$ , so neither does the l.h.s.

To summarize this lecture, everything we did with k-forms on Euclidean space applies to k-forms on manifolds.