So today I'd like to tackle a problem on pseudoinverses. So given a matrix A, which is not square, so it's just 1 and 2 . First, what is its pseudoinverse? So A plus I'm using to denote the pseudoinverse. Then secondly, compute A plus A and A A plus. And then thirdly, if $x$ is in the null space of $A$, what is A plus A acting on $x$ ? And lastly, if $x$ is in the column space of $A$ transpose, what is $A$ plus $A^{*} x$ ?

So I'll let you think about this problem for a bit, and I'll be back in a second.

Hi everyone. Welcome back. OK, so let's take a look at this problem. Now first off, what is a pseudoinverse? Well, we define the pseudoinverse using the SVD. So in actuality, this is nothing new. Now, we note that because $A$ is not square, the regular inverse of $A$ doesn't necessarily exist. However, we do know that the SVD exists for every matrix A whether it's square or not.

So how do we compute the SVD of a matrix? Well let's just recall that the SVD of a matrix has the form of U sigma V transpose, where U and V are orthogonal matrices and sigma is a matrix with positive values along the diagonal or 0's along the diagonal. And let's just take a look at the dimensions of these matrices for a second. So we know that $A$ is a 1 by 2 matrix.

And the way to figure out what the dimensions of these matrices are I usually always start with the center matrix, sigma, and sigma is always going to have the same dimensions as A, so it's going to be a 1 by 2 matrix. $U$ and $V$ are always square matrices. So to make this multiplication work out, we need $V$ to have 2, and because it's square it has to be 2 by 2. And likewise, $U$ has to be 1 by 1 .

So we now have the dimensions of $U$, sigma, and $V$. And note, because $U$ is a 1 by 1 matrix, the only orthogonal 1 by 1 matrix is just 1 . So $u$ we already know is just going to be the matrix, the identity matrix, which is a 1 by 1 matrix.

OK, now how do we compute V and sigma? Well, we can take A transpose and A , and if we do that, we end up getting the matrix $V$ sigma transpose sigma $V$ transpose. And this matrix is going to be a square matrix where the diagonal elements are squares of the singular values.

So computing V and the values along sigma just boil down to diagonalizing A transpose A .

So what is $A$ transpose $A$ ? Well, in our case is [1; 2] times [1, 2], which gives us [1, 2; 2, 4]. And note that the second row is just a constant multiple times the first row.

Now what this means is we have a zero eigenvalue. So we already know that lambda_1 is going to be 0 . So one of the eigenvalues of this matrix is 0 . And of course, when we square root it, this is going to give us a singular value sigma, which is also 0 . And this is generally a case when we have a sigma which is not square. We typically always have zero singular values.

Now to compute the second eigenvalue, well we already know how to compute the eigenvalues of a matrix, so I'm just going to tell you what it is. The second one is lambda is 5 . And if we just take a quick look what the corresponding eigenvector is going to be to lambda is 5 , it's going to satisfy this equation. So we can take the eigenvector u to be 1 and 2.

However, remember that when we compute the eigenvector for this orthogonal matrix V , they always have to have a unit length. And this vector right now doesn't have a unit length. We have to divide by the length of this vector, which in our case is 1 over root 5 . And if I go back to the lambda equals 0 case, we also have another eigenvector, which l'll just state. You can actually compute it quite quickly just by noting that it has to be orthogonal to this eigenvector, 2 and 1.

So what this means is A has a singular value decomposition, which looks like: 1 , so this is $u$, times sigma, which is going to be root 5,0 . Remember that the first sigma is actually the square root of the eigenvalue. Times a matrix which looks like, now we have to order the eigenvalues up in the correct order. Because 5 appears in the first column, we have to take this vector to be in the first column as well. So this is 1 over root 5 , this is 2 over root 5 , negative 2 over root 5 , and 1 over root 5 . And now this is V , but the singular value decomposition is defined by V transpose.

So this gives us a representation for A. And now once we have the SVD of A, how do we actually compute A plus, or the pseudoinverse of A? Well just note if A was invertible, then the inverse of $A$ in terms of the SVD would be V transpose times the inverse of sigma. Sorry, this is not V transpose, this is just V . So it'd be V sigma inverse U transpose. And when A is invertible, sigma inverse exists.

So in our case, sigma inverse doesn't necessarily exist because sigma-- note, this is sigma-sigma is root 5 and 0 . So we have to construct a pseudoinverse for sigma. So the way that we do that is we take 1 over each singular value, and we take the transpose of sigma. So when $A$ is not invertible, we can still construct a pseudoinverse by taking V , an approximation for sigma inverse, which in our case is going to be 1 over the singular value and 0 . So note where sigma is invertible, we take the inverse, and then we fill in O's in the other areas. Times U transpose.

And we can work this out. We get 1 over root 5,1 , minus $2 ; 2,1,1$ over root 5,0 . And if I multiply things out, I get $1 / 5,[1 ; 2]$. So this is an approximation for $A$ inverse, which is the pseudoinverse.

So this finishes up part one. And I'll started on part two in a second.

So now that we've just computed A plus, the pseudoinverse of A. We're going to investigate some properties of the pseudoinverse. So for part two we need to compute A times A plus and A plus times A. So we can just go ahead and do this. So A A plus you can do fairly quickly. 1/5, $[1 ; 2]$. And when we multiply it out we get 1 plus 4 divided by 5 is 1 . So we just get the one by one matrix, which is 1 , the identity matrix.

And secondly, if we take A plus times A we're going to get $1 / 5,[1 ; 2]$ times [1, 2]. And we can just fill in this matrix. This is $1 / 5,[1,2 ; 2,1]$. And this concludes part two.

So now let's take a look at what happens when a vector x is in the null space of A , and then secondly, what happens when $x$ is in the column space of $A$ transpose.

So for part three, let's assume $x$ is in the null space of A. Well what's the null space of A? We can quickly check that the null space of A is a constant times any vector minus 2,1 .

So that's the null space. So if $x$ is, for example, i.e. if we take $x$ is equal to minus 2, 1, and we were to, say, multiply it by A plus $A$, acting on $x$, we see that we get 0 . And this isn't very surprising because, well, if $x$ is in the null space of $A$, we know that $A$ acting on $x$ is going to be 0 . So that no matter what matrix A plus is, when we multiply by 0 , we'll always end up with 0 .

And then lastly, let's take a look at the column space of A transpose. Well, A transpose is [1, 2], so it's any constant times the vector [1; 2]. And specifically, if we were to take, say, $x$ is equal to $[1 ; 2]$, we can work at $A$ plus $A$ acting on the vector $[1 ; 2]$. So we have $1 / 5[1,2 ; 2,1]$. So recall this is A plus A. And if we multiply it on the vector [1; 2], we get 1 plus 4 is 5 , divided by 5 , so we get 1 . 2 plus 2 is 4 -- sorry, I copied the matrix down. So it's 2 plus 8 , which is 10 ,
divided by 5 is 2 . And we see that at the end we recover the vector x .

So in general, if we take $A$ plus $A$ acting on $x$, where $x$ is in the column space of $A$ transpose, we always recover $x$ at the end of the day. So intuitively, what does this matrix $A$ plus $A$ do? Well, if $x$ is in the null space of $A$, it just kills it. We just get 0 . If $x$ is not in the null space of $A$, then we just get $x$ back. So it's essentially the identity matrix acting on $x$ whenever $x$ is in the column space of $A$ transpose.

Now specifically, if A is invertible, then A doesn't have a null space. So what that means is: when $A$ is invertible, A plus $A$ recovers the identity because when we multiply it on any vector, we get that vector back.

So I'd like to conclude here, and I'll see you next time.

