## Practice Exam 2 Solutions

**Problem 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a scalar field. For each of the following questions, answer "yes" or "no". If the answer is "yes", cite a theorem or give a brief sketch of a proof. If the answer is "no", provide a counterexample.

- 1. Suppose  $f'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{x})$  exists for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Is f continuous at  $\mathbf{a}$ ?
- 2. Suppose  $D_1 f, D_2 f$  both exist at **a**. Does  $f'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{x})$  exist for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ?
- 3. Suppose f is differentiable at **a**. Is f continuous at **a**?
- 4. Suppose  $D_1 f, D_2 f$  both exist at **a** and are continuous in a neighborhood of **a**. Is f continuous at **a**?

## Solution

- 1. No. See the example on page 257 in the book.
- 2. No. Define

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } x \neq y \text{ or if } (x,y) = (0,0) \\ 1 \text{ if } x = y, x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Then  $D_1 f = D_2 f = 0$  at the origin. But consider f'((0,0); (1,1)). By definition this is

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(h,h) - f(0,0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h}.$$

Since this blows up, we see f'((0,0); (1,1)) does not exist.

- 3. Yes. We proved this in class. (Also Theorem 8.6 in the book.)
- 4. Yes. This implies that f is differentiable, and then continuity follows from the previous statement. (See Theorem 8.7 in the book.)

**Problem 2.** Let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\mathbf{f}(x, y) = (x^2 + y, 2x + y^2)$ . Find  $D\mathbf{f}$  and determine the values of (x, y) for which  $\mathbf{f}$  is NOT invertible. Given that  $\mathbf{f}$  is invertible at (0, 0), let  $\mathbf{g}$  be its inverse. Find  $D\mathbf{g}(0, 0)$ . Solution First, we determine

$$D\mathbf{f}(x,y) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2x & 1\\ 2 & 2y \end{array}\right)$$

So,  $det(D\mathbf{f}) = 4xy - 2$  and thus  $det(D\mathbf{f}) = 0$  whenever xy = 1/2. Second, note

$$D\mathbf{g}(0,0) = (D\mathbf{f}(0,0))^{-1} = \frac{1}{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cdot 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 \cdot 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Problem 3:** Let  $f(x, y, z) = 2x^2y + xy^2z + xyz$  and consider the level surface f(x, y, z) = 4.

Find the tangent plane at (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 1).

Explain why it is possible to find a function g(x, y), defined in a neighborhood of (x, y) = (1, 1) such that a neighborhood of (1, 1, 1) on the surface f(x, y, z) = 4 can be written as a graph (x, y, g(x, y)).

Solution As  $\nabla f(1,1,1) = (4+1+1,2+2+1,1+1) = (6,5,2)$ , we write the equation for the tangent plane as

$$\nabla f(1,1,1) \cdot (x-1,y-1,z-1) = 0$$

or

$$(6,5,2)(x-1,y-1,z-1) = 6x - 6 + 5y - 5 + 2z - 2 = 6x + 5y + 2z - 13 = 0.$$

Since  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(1,1,1) \neq 0$ , the implicit function theorem states that there exists a function g(x,y) defined in a neighborhood of (1,1) such that (x,y,g(x,y)) is a neighborhood of (1,1,1) on the surface f(x,y,z) - 4 = 0 (or f(x,y,z) = 4).

**Problem 4.** Find all extreme values for  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + 2y^2 + 4z^2$  subject to the constraint x + y + z = 7. Justify whether the extreme values are maximum or a minimum.

Solution We find the extreme values using Lagrange multipliers. Let g(x, y, z) = x + y + z - 7. Then there exists a  $\lambda$  such that  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g$  at any extreme value. Calculating, we see

$$(2x, 4y, 8z) = \lambda(1, 1, 1)$$

or

$$(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{4}, \frac{\lambda}{8}\right).$$

Now we use the fact that x + y + z = 7 to solve for  $\lambda$  and thus for (x, y, z). That is, the constraint implies

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\right) = \frac{7\lambda}{8} = 7.$$

Given that  $\lambda = 8$  we find (x, y, z) = (4, 2, 1) at the only extreme value.

Now observe that as  $||(x, y, z)|| \to \infty$ ,  $f(x, y, z) \to \infty$ . Therefore, with only one extreme value, f(4, 2, 1) must be a minimum.

**Problem 5.** Let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a differentiable vector field with  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ . We define the divergence of  $\mathbf{f}$  such that

$$div(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}.$$

Let  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth scalar field. Prove that

$$div(\nabla g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i^2}.$$

Solution The proof will follow immediately from the definitions. First, observe that

$$\nabla g = (D_1 g, D_2 g, \dots, D_n g).$$

Now by definition,

$$div(\nabla g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial D_i g}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i^2}.$$

**Problem 6:** Assume f, g are integrable on the rectangle  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Given the linearity of the integral for step functions, prove  $\int \int_Q (af + bg) dx dy = a \int \int_Q f dx dy + b \int \int_Q g dx dy$ .

Solution We first prove that af + bg is integrable on Q and then determine the value of this integral.

By the Riemann condition, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist step functions  $s_f, s_g, t_f, t_g$  with  $s_f \le f \le t_f, s_g \le g \le t_g$  and

$$\int \int_Q (t_f - s_f) < \epsilon/(2a), \qquad \int \int_Q (t_g - s_g) < \epsilon/(2b).$$

As expected, let  $s = as_f + bs_g$  and  $t = at_f + bt_g$ . Immediately we have  $s \le af + bg \le t$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in Q$ . Also, the linearity of the double integral for step functions implies

$$\int \int_Q (t-s) = \int \int_Q (a(t_f-s_f)+b(t_g-s_g)) = a \int \int_Q t_f-s_f+b \int \int_Q t_g-s_g < a\frac{\epsilon}{2a}+b\frac{\epsilon}{2b} = \epsilon.$$

So the Riemann condition implies af + bg is integrable.

Now the value of  $\int \int_Q (af + bg) dx dy$  is determined to be the real number A such that for  $\epsilon > 0$  and step functions s, t with  $s \le af + bg \le t$  and  $\int \int_Q t - s < \epsilon$ ,

$$\int \int_Q s \le A \le \int \int_Q t.$$

But note that

$$\int \int_Q s = a \int \int_Q s_f + b \int \int_Q s_g \le a \int \int_Q f + b \int \int_Q g \le a \int \int_Q t_f + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g = \int \int_Q t_g + b \int \int_Q t_g +$$

Thus  $A = a \int \int_Q f + b \int \int_Q g$  which gives the result.

18.024 Multivariable Calculus with Theory Spring 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.