### 6.003: Signals and Systems

## Discrete Approximation of Continuous-Time Systems

## Mid-term Examination \#1

Wednesday, October 5, 7:30-9:30pm,
No recitations on the day of the exam.
Coverage: CT and DT Systems, Z and Laplace Transforms
Lectures 1-7
Recitations 1-7
Homeworks 1-4
Homework 4 will not collected or graded. Solutions will be posted.
Closed book: 1 page of notes ( $8 \frac{1}{2} \times 11$ inches; front and back).
No calculators, computers, cell phones, music players, or other aids.
Designed as 1-hour exam; two hours to complete.
Review sessions during open office hours.
Conflict? Contact before Friday, Sept. 30, 5pm.
Prior term midterm exams have been posted on the 6.003 website.

## Concept Map

## Today we will look at relations between CT and DT representations.



## Discrete Approximation of CT Systems

Example: leaky tank


Today: compare step responses of leaky tank and DT approximation.

## Check Yourself (Practice for Exam)

What is the "step response" of the leaky tank system?

$$
u(t) \longrightarrow \text { Leaky Tank } \longrightarrow s(t)=\text { ? }
$$


5. none of the above

## Check Yourself

What is the "step response" of the leaky tank system?
de: $\quad \tau \dot{r}_{1}(t)=u(t)-r_{1}(t)$
$t<0: \quad r_{1}(t)=0$
$t>0: \quad r_{1}(t)=c_{1}+c_{2} e^{-t / \tau}$

$$
\dot{r}_{1}(t)=-\frac{c_{2}}{\tau} e^{-t / \tau}
$$

Substitute into de: $\tau\left(-\frac{c_{2}}{\tau}\right) e^{-t / \tau}=1-c_{1}-c_{2} e^{-t / \tau} \quad \rightarrow \quad c_{1}=1$
Combine $t<0$ and $t>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}(t)=u(t)+c_{2} e^{-t / \tau} u(t) \\
& \dot{r}_{1}(t)=\delta(t)+c_{2} \delta(t)-\frac{c_{2}}{\tau} e^{-t / \tau} u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute into de:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(1+c_{2}\right) \delta(t)-\tau \frac{c_{2}}{\tau} e^{-t / \tau} u(t)=u(t)-u(t)-c_{2} e^{-t / \tau} u(t) \quad \rightarrow \quad c_{2}=-1 \\
& r_{1}(t)=\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right) u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Check Yourself

Alternatively, reason with systems!

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta(t) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{A}+\tau} \longrightarrow h(t)=\frac{1}{\tau} e^{-t / \tau} u(t) \\
u(t) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{A}+\tau} \\
\delta(t) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{u(t)} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{A}+\tau} \longrightarrow s(t)=? \\
\delta(t) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{A}+\tau} \xrightarrow{h(t)} \longrightarrow s(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} h\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime} \\
s(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-t^{\prime} / \tau} u\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-t^{\prime} / \tau} d t^{\prime}=\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right) u(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Check Yourself

What is the "step response" of the leaky tank system? 2

$$
u(t) \longrightarrow \text { Leaky Tank } \longrightarrow s(t)=\text { ? }
$$


5. none of the above

## Forward Euler Approximation

Approximate leaky-tank system using forward Euler approach.

Approximate continuous signals by discrete signals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{d}[n] & =x_{c}(n T) \\
y_{d}[n] & =y_{c}(n T)
\end{aligned}
$$

Approximate derivative at $t=n T$ by looking forward in time:


## Forward Euler Approximation

Approximate leaky-tank system using forward Euler approach.

Substitute

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{d}[n] & =x_{c}(n T) \\
y_{d}[n] & =y_{c}(n T) \\
\dot{y}_{c}(n T) & \approx \frac{y_{c}((n+1) T)-y_{c}(n T)}{T}=\frac{y_{d}[n+1]-y_{d}[n]}{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

into the differential equation

$$
\tau \dot{y}_{c}(t)=x_{c}(t)-y_{c}(t)
$$

to obtain

$$
\frac{\tau}{T}\left(y_{d}[n+1]-y_{d}[n]\right)=x_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n] .
$$

Solve:

$$
y_{d}[n+1]-\left(1-\frac{T}{\tau}\right) y_{d}[n]=\frac{T}{\tau} x_{d}[n]
$$

## Forward Euler Approximation

## Plot.



Why is this approximation badly behaved for large $\frac{T}{\tau}$ ?

## Check Yourself

## DT approximation:

$$
y_{d}[n+1]-\left(1-\frac{T}{\tau}\right) y_{d}[n]=\frac{T}{\tau} x_{d}[n]
$$

Find the DT pole.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 1. } z=\frac{T}{\tau} & \text { 2. } z=1-\frac{T}{\tau} \\
\text { 3. } z=\frac{\tau}{T} & \text { 4. } z=-\frac{\tau}{T} \\
\text { 5. } z=\frac{1}{1+\frac{T}{\tau}}
\end{array}
$$

## Check Yourself

DT approximation:

$$
y_{d}[n+1]-\left(1-\frac{T}{\tau}\right) y_{d}[n]=\frac{T}{\tau} x_{d}[n]
$$

Take the Z transform:

$$
z Y_{d}(z)-\left(1-\frac{T}{\tau}\right) Y_{d}(z)=\frac{T}{\tau} X_{d}(z)
$$

Solve for the system function:

$$
H(z)=\frac{Y_{d}(z)}{X_{d}(z)}=\frac{\frac{T}{\tau}}{z-\left(1-\frac{T}{\tau}\right)}
$$

Pole at $z=1-\frac{T}{\tau}$.

## Check Yourself

## DT approximation:

$$
y_{d}[n+1]-\left(1-\frac{T}{\tau}\right) y_{d}[n]=\frac{T}{\tau} x_{d}[n]
$$

Find the DT pole. 2

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 1. } z=\frac{T}{\tau} & \text { 2. } z=1-\frac{T}{\tau} \\
\text { 3. } z=\frac{\tau}{T} & \text { 4. } z=-\frac{\tau}{T} \\
\text { 5. } z=\frac{1}{1+\frac{T}{\tau}}
\end{array}
$$

## Dependence of DT pole on Stepsize




The CT pole was fixed $\left(s=-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)$. Why is the DT pole changing?

## Dependence of DT pole on Stepsize

Dependence of DT pole on $T$ is generic property of forward Euler. Approach: make a systems model of forward Euler method.

CT block diagrams: adders, gains, and integrators:


$$
\dot{y}(t)=x(t)
$$

Forward Euler approximation:

$$
\frac{y[n+1]-y[n]}{T}=x[n]
$$

Equivalent system:


Forward Euler: substitute equivalent system for all integrators.

## Example: leaky tank system

Started with leaky tank system:


Replace integrator with forward Euler rule:


Write system functional:

$$
\frac{Y}{X}=\frac{\frac{T}{\tau} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{1-\mathcal{R}}}{1+\frac{T}{\tau} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{1-\mathcal{R}}}=\frac{\frac{T}{\tau} \mathcal{R}}{1-\mathcal{R}+\frac{T}{\tau} \mathcal{R}}=\frac{\frac{T}{\tau} \mathcal{R}}{1-\left(1-\frac{T}{\tau}\right) \mathcal{R}}
$$

Equivalent to system we previously developed:

$$
y_{d}[n+1]-\left(1-\frac{T}{\tau}\right) y_{d}[n]=\frac{T}{\tau} x_{d}[n]
$$

## Model of Forward Euler Method

Replace every integrator in the CT system

with the forward Euler model:


Substitute the DT operator for $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\mathcal{A}=\frac{1}{s} \rightarrow \frac{T \mathcal{R}}{1-\mathcal{R}}=\frac{\frac{T}{z}}{1-\frac{1}{z}}=\frac{T}{z-1}
$$

Forward Euler maps $s \rightarrow \frac{z-1}{T}$.
Or equivalently: $z=1+s T$.

## Dependence of DT pole on Stepsize

Pole at $z=1-\frac{T}{\tau}=1+s T$.

$\frac{T}{\tau}=0.1$
$\frac{T}{\tau}=0.3$
$\frac{T}{\tau}=1$

$$
\frac{T}{\tau}=1.5
$$

$$
\frac{T}{\tau}=2
$$



## Forward Euler: Mapping CT poles to DT poles

Forward Euler Map:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
s & \rightarrow & z=1+s T \\
0 & & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{T} & & 0 \\
-\frac{2}{T} & & -1
\end{array}
$$



DT stability: CT pole must be inside circle of radius $\frac{1}{T}$ at $s=-\frac{1}{T}$.

$$
-\frac{2}{T}<-\frac{1}{\tau}<0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{T}{\tau}<2
$$

## Backward Euler Approximation

We can do a similar analysis of the backward Euler method.
Approximate continuous signals by discrete signals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{d}[n] & =x_{c}(n T) \\
y_{d}[n] & =y_{c}(n T)
\end{aligned}
$$

Approximate derivative at $t=n T$ by looking backward in time:

$$
\frac{\dot{y}_{c}(n T)=\frac{y_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n-1]}{T}}{y_{c}(t)}
$$

## Backward Euler Approximation

We can do a similar analysis of the backward Euler method.
Substitute

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{d}[n] & =x_{c}(n T) \\
y_{d}[n] & =y_{c}(n T) \\
\dot{y}_{c}(n T) & \approx \frac{y_{c} n T-y_{c}(n-1) T}{T}=\frac{y_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n-1]}{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

into the differential equation

$$
\tau \dot{y}_{c}(t)=x_{c}(t)-y_{c}(t)
$$

to obtain

$$
\frac{\tau}{T}\left(y_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n-1]\right)=x_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n] .
$$

Solve:

$$
\left(1+\frac{T}{\tau}\right) y_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n-1]=\frac{T}{\tau} x_{d}[n]
$$

## Backward Euler Approximation

Plot.






This approximation is better behaved. Why?

## Check Yourself

DT approximation:

$$
\left(1+\frac{T}{\tau}\right) y_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n-1]=\frac{T}{\tau} x_{d}[n]
$$

Find the DT pole.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 1. } z=\frac{T}{\tau} & \text { 2. } z=1-\frac{T}{\tau} \\
\text { 3. } z=\frac{\tau}{T} & \text { 4. } z=-\frac{\tau}{T} \\
\text { 5. } z=\frac{1}{1+\frac{T}{\tau}}
\end{array}
$$

## Check Yourself

DT approximation:

$$
\left(1+\frac{T}{\tau}\right) y_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n-1]=\frac{T}{\tau} x_{d}[n]
$$

Take the $Z$ transform:

$$
\left(1+\frac{T}{\tau}\right) Y_{d}(z)-z^{-1} Y_{d}(z)=\frac{T}{\tau} X_{d}(z)
$$

Find the system function:

$$
H(z)=\frac{Y_{d}(z)}{X_{d}(z)}=\frac{\frac{T}{\tau} z}{\left(1+\frac{T}{\tau}\right) z-1}
$$

Pole at $z=\frac{1}{1+\frac{T}{\tau}}$.

## Check Yourself

## DT approximation:

$$
y_{d}[n+1]-\left(1-\frac{T}{\tau}\right) y_{d}[n]=\frac{T}{\tau} x_{d}[n]
$$

Find the DT pole. 5

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 1. } z=\frac{T}{\tau} & \text { 2. } z=1-\frac{T}{\tau} \\
\text { 3. } z=\frac{\tau}{T} & \text { 4. } z=-\frac{\tau}{T} \\
\text { 5. } z=\frac{1}{1+\frac{T}{\tau}}
\end{array}
$$

## Dependence of DT pole on Stepsize

$$
\frac{T}{\tau}=0.1
$$




$$
\frac{T}{\tau}=0.3
$$

$$
\frac{T}{\tau}=1
$$



$$
\frac{T}{\tau}=1.5
$$

$$
\frac{T}{\tau}=2
$$



Why is this approximation better behaved?

## Dependence of DT pole on Stepsize

Make a systems model of backward Euler method.
CT block diagrams: adders, gains, and integrators:


$$
\dot{y}(t)=x(t)
$$

Backward Euler approximation:

$$
\frac{y[n]-y[n-1]}{T}=x[n]
$$

Equivalent system:


Backward Euler: substitute equivalent system for all integrators.

## Model of Backward Euler Method

Replace every integrator in the CT system

with the backward Euler model:


Substitute the DT operator for $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\mathcal{A}=\frac{1}{s} \rightarrow \frac{T}{1-\mathcal{R}}=\frac{T}{1-\frac{1}{z}}
$$

Backward Euler maps $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{1-s T}$.

## Dependence of DT pole on Stepsize

Pole at $z=\frac{1}{1+\frac{T}{T}}=\frac{1}{1-s T}$.






$$
\frac{T}{\tau}=0.1
$$

$$
\frac{T}{\tau}=2
$$



## Backward Euler: Mapping CT poles to DT poles

Backward Euler Map:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
s & \rightarrow & z=\frac{1}{1-s T} \\
0 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{T} & & \frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{2}{T} & & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}
$$



The entire left half-plane maps inside a circle with radius $\frac{1}{2}$ at $z=\frac{1}{2}$. If CT system is stable, then DT system is also stable.

## Masses and Springs, Forwards and Backwards

In Homework 2, you investigated three numerical approximations to a mass and spring system:

- forward Euler
- backward Euler
- centered method



## Trapezoidal Rule

The trapezoidal rule uses centered differences.
Approximate CT signals at points between samples:

$$
y_{c}\left(\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) T\right)=\frac{y_{d}[n]+y_{d}[n-1]}{2}
$$

Approximate derivatives at points between samples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{y}_{c}\left(\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) T\right)=\frac{y_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n-1]}{T} \\
& y_{c}\left(\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) T\right)=\frac{y_{d}[n]+y_{d}[n-1]}{2} \\
& \dot{y}_{c}\left(\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) T\right)=\frac{y_{d}[n]-y_{d}[n-1]}{T} \\
& y_{c}(t) \\
& \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
y_{d}[n-1] o y_{d}[n] \\
(n-1) T
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Trapezoidal Rule

The trapezoidal rule uses centered differences.

$$
\dot{y}(t)=x(t)
$$

Trapezoidal rule:

$$
\frac{y[n]-y[n-1]}{T}=\frac{x[n]+x[n-1]}{2}
$$

Z transform:

$$
H(z)=\frac{Y(s)}{X(s)}=\frac{T}{2}\left(\frac{1+z^{-1}}{1-z^{-1}}\right)=\frac{T}{2}\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)
$$

Map:

$$
\mathcal{A}=\frac{1}{s} \rightarrow \frac{T}{2}\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)
$$

Trapezoidal rule maps $z \rightarrow \frac{1+\frac{s T}{2}}{1-\frac{s T}{2}}$.

## Trapezoidal Rule: Mapping CT poles to DT poles

Trapezoidal Map:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
s & \rightarrow & z=\frac{1+\frac{s T}{2}}{1-\frac{s T}{2}} \\
0 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{T} & \frac{1}{3} \\
-\frac{2}{T} & 0 \\
-\infty & -1 \\
j \omega & & \frac{2+j \omega T}{2-j \omega T}
\end{array}
$$



The entire left-half plane maps inside the unit circle.
The $j \omega$ axis maps onto the unit circle

## Mapping s to z: Leaky-Tank System

Forward Euler Method


Backward Euler Method


Trapezoidal Rule


## Mapping s to z: Mass and Spring System

Forward Euler Method


Trapezoidal Rule

$z \rightarrow \frac{2+s T}{2-s T}$


## Mapping s to z: Mass and Spring System

Forward Euler Method


Backward Euler Method


Trapezoidal Rule


## Concept Map

## Relations between CT and DT representations.
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