2 Turbulent Diffusion

Turbulence Turbulent (eddy) diffusivities Simple solutions for instantaneous and continuous sources in 1-, 2-, 3-D. Boundary Conditions Fluid Shear Field Data on Horizontal & Vertical Diffusion Atmospheric, Surface water & GW plumes

Turbulence

Turbulent flow (unstable, chaotic) vs laminar flow (stable) Turbulent sources: internal (grid, wake), boundary shear, wind shear, convection Turbulent mixing caused by water movement, not molecular diffusion Two-way exchange--contrast with initial mixing (one-way process)

Initial mixing



Kinetic Energy Spectrum



- S_k = kinetic energy/mass-wave number [U²/L⁻¹ = L³/T²]
- L = size of largest eddy
- ϵ = energy dissipation rate [U²/T = U²/(L/U) = U³/L = L²/T³]
- η = Kolmogorov (inner) scale = $(v^3/\epsilon)^{1/4}$ [L]

Turbulent Averaging

 $\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\vec{q} c \right) = D \nabla^2 c$

C

c(t)

Conservative mass transport eq.

Both q and c fluctuate on scales smaller than environmental interest

Therefore average. Two choices: time average, ensemble average; equivalent if ergotic.

Time average

Ensemble average

$$c'(t) = c(t) - \overline{c}$$

$$q'(t) = q(t) - \overline{q}$$

$$c'(t) = c(t) - \langle c \rangle$$

$$q'(t) = q(t) - \langle q \rangle$$

Turbulent Averaging, cont'd



Closure problem: we only want \overline{c} but we must deal with c'

 $\nabla \cdot \overline{\vec{q}} = 0$

 $\overline{w'c'}$ Eddy correlation.



Eddy (or turbulent) diffusivity

Eddy Diffusivity $E \sim |u|L$

- Structurally similar to molecular diffusivity D, but much larger (due to fluid motion, not molecular motion) => often drop D
- E is a tensor (9 components, E_{xx} , E_{xy} , etc.) but often treated as a vector (E_x , E_y , E_z)
- Depends on nature of turbulence; in general neither isotropic nor uniform
- Eddy diffusivity ~ conductivity ~ viscosity
- Individual plumes not always Gaussian; but ensemble averages -> Gaussian

Turbulent transport eqn



How to measure eddy diffusivity

Measure u', c', etc. and correlate
 Measure something else (e.g. dissipation) that correlates with E
 Measure concentration distribution and calibrate E (more later)
 Model it

Less direct

Models of turbulent diffusion

u' ~ \sqrt{k} $k = TKE = \frac{\overline{u'^2}}{2} + \frac{\overline{v'^2}}{2} + \frac{\overline{w'^2}}{2}$ turbulent kinetic energy (don't confuse with wave number)

- 1) *k*-L model (two eqn model)
 - $\mathbf{E} \sim \sqrt{k}L$
 - $\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} = \dots \pm \text{ sources \& sinks of } k$
 - $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = \dots \pm \text{ sources \& sinks of } L$

2) *k* model (one eqn; solve only for *k*; L is hardwired)

Models of turbulent diffusion, cont'd

$$u' \sim \sqrt{k}$$
 $k = TKE = \frac{\overline{u'^2}}{2} + \frac{\overline{v'^2}}{2} + \frac{\overline{w'^2}}{2}$

turbulent kinetic energy

3) k- ε model (two eqn model)

 ε = turbulent dissipation rate: $\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} = \dots - \varepsilon$

 $\varepsilon \sim k/\tau$; $\tau = \text{time scale of turb.} \sim L/k^{1/2}$

 $\varepsilon \sim k^{3/2}/L \text{ or } L \sim k^{3/2}/\varepsilon$

$$E \sim \sqrt{kL} \sim k^2 / \varepsilon$$

 $\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} = \dots \pm \text{ sources \& sinks of } \varepsilon$

A gazillion analytical solutions

Instantaneous Point Source (Sec 2.2) Instantaneous Line Source (Sect 2.3) Instantaneous Plane Source (Sect 2.4) Continuous Point Source (Sect 2.5) Continuous Line Source (Sect 2.6) Continuous Plane Source (Sect 2.7) Simple ones, e.g., u = const, given in following

Instantaneous (point) source in 3D













A few comments re solutions

 Spatial integration of point source => line source => plane source
 Temporal integration of inst source => Continuous source
 Relationship between σ's and E's found from spatial moments (as before)

Comments, cont'd

- Assumes E's are constant. If not, E's are 'apparent' (more later)
- Most common method to determine E is to fit to measured concentration distribution (tracer, drogues)

Boundary Conditions





Inst. Pt. Source in Linear Shear u(z) M at t=0 $u = u_o(t) + \lambda_v y + \lambda_z z \qquad \lambda_v = \partial u / \partial y \quad \lambda_z = \partial u / \partial z$ $c(x, y, z, t) = \frac{M}{(4\pi t)^{3/2} (E_x E_y E_z)^{1/2} \left[1 + (\phi t)^2\right]^{1/2}} \exp\left[\frac{\left\{x - \int_0^t u_o(t') dt' - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_y y + \lambda_z z) t\right\}^2}{4E_x t \left[1 + (\phi t)^2\right]} + \frac{y^2}{4E_y t} + \frac{z^2}{4E_z t} + kt\right]$

 $\phi^2 = \frac{1}{12} \left(\lambda_y^2 \frac{E_y}{E_x} + \lambda_z^2 \frac{E_z}{E_x} \right)$

Inst. Pt. Source in Linear Shear, cont'd

$$E_{x}' = E_{x} (1 + \phi^{2} t^{2})$$
small t, $E_{x}' \rightarrow E_{x}$ C ~ t^{-3/2}
large t, $E_{x}' \rightarrow E_{x} \phi^{2} t^{2}$

$$= \frac{t^{2}}{12} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^{2} E_{y} + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^{2} E_{z} \right]$$
 C ~ t^{-5/2}
(1) (2) (1) (2)

(1) differential longitudinal advection

(2) transverse mixing

 E_x' is really a dispersion coefficient



Fluorescent Tracer



Rhodamine WT (red dye; fluoresces orange) Injected as neutrally buoyant liquid Flow thru or in situ fluorometer ($I \sim c$) • Detection ~ 10^{-10}

Example



 SF_6

 Injected as gas dissolved in water
 Sampled with Niskin bottle or equiv (profiles collected w/ Rosette sampler)
 Analyzed w/ shipboard GC w/ electron capture
 Detection ~10⁻¹⁷



North Atlantic Tracer Release Experiment (NATRE)



Six Months After Release

 \otimes Mass of SF₆: 139 kg Location: 1200 km W of Canary Is. \bullet Depth = 310 m ◆ Time: 5-13 May, 1992 References: Ledwell et al., Nature, 1993 Ledwell et al., JGR, 1998

Images: Kim Van Scoy Figures by MIT OCW.

NATRE, cont'd



Drogues (drifters)

Floats w/ large drag at constant depth Have flag or periodically rise to surface Position viewed from above or recorded using GPS

Horizontal Diffusion

Historically analyzed using vertical line source in cylindrical coordinates (rather than x, y)



x, y are relative (to center of mass) coordinates

Equiv. circular patch



Cylindrical Coordinates, cont'd



 $E_x = E_y = E_r$ Diffusivity assumed horizontally isotropic,u = v = 0independent of coordinate system

m' = M / h vertical line source

 $\sigma_r^2 = \frac{M_2}{M_o} = \frac{\int_0^\infty 2\pi rcr^2 dr}{\int_0^\infty 2\pi rcdr} = 4E_r$ 4 (vs 2) because $\sigma_r^2 = 2\sigma_x^2$ $f E_r$ is const. (or treated as such) $c = \frac{(M/h)e^{-kt}}{\pi\sigma_r^2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{\sigma_r^2}} = \frac{(M/h)e^{-kt}}{4\pi E_r t} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4E_r t}}$ Gaussian; if E_r = const $C_{max} \sim t^1$ but obs show $C_{max} \sim t^2$ or t^3

NATRE

Horizontal Diffusion Diagram (Okubo 1971)



Horizontal Diffusion Summary

- $\sigma_r^2 = 0.011t^{2.34}$ cgs units; some data from pt source, some from line source (not quite proper but...)
- $E_{r} = \frac{d\sigma_{r}^{2}}{4dt} = 0.006t^{1.34}$
- $E_r = 0.085 \sigma_r^{1.15}$

 $E_r = 0.017 \ell^{1.15}$ $\ell = 4\sigma_r$ arbitrary length scale of patch
Example

100 kg of paint spilled in Mass Bay over a depth of 10m; how widely will it have spread in one week?

 $\sigma_r^2 = 0.011 t^{2.34} = 3.7 x 10^{11} cm^2 = 3.7 x 10^7 m^2$

 $\sigma_{\rm r} = 6000 \, {\rm m}$

Peak concentration?

 $c = \frac{M / h}{\pi \sigma_r^2} e^{-kt} e^{-r^2 / \sigma_r^2} \quad k = r = 0; h = 10m; M = 100 \text{ kg; t}$ =86400x7=600,000 s

 $c = 8.6x \ 10^{-8} \ kg/m^3 = 8.6 \ x \ 10^{-5} \ mg/L$

Gaussian fit; actual peak may be higher



A few more comments

Three ways to relate tracer spreading: σ(t), E(t), E(σ) $(\sigma) =$ scale dependent diffusion. \otimes Not truly stationary => ensemble average not same as individual realization (absolute vs relative diffusion; more later) $\ell = 4\sigma_r$ is arbitrary; others choose $\ell = 3.5\sigma, \quad \ell = \sqrt{12}\sigma$

A few more comments, cont'd



Richardson's 4/3 law

 $E \sim \varepsilon^{1/3} \ell^{4/3}$ 4/3 rather than 1.15; theoretical (but not empirical) basis

Interpretation of Scale-dependent horizontal diffusivity & 4/3 law

Eddy Soup: As patch increases in size it encounters eddies of increasing size (eddies smaller than patch spread patch while larger eddies merely advect it)

♦ 4/3 Law interpreted as shear dispersion:



 $E \sim \sigma^{4/3}$

Interpretation of 4/3 law, cont'd

- ♦4/3 law in inertial sub-range
- S_k = kinetic energy density



 $E = diffusivity \sim u'L$

 ϵ = dissipation rate ~ dk/dt ~ u²/t ~ u²/(L/u²) ~ u³/L = const

- $u' \sim L^{1/3}$
- $E \sim u'L \sim L^{4/3}$

Summary

1

	Fickian	Okubo	4/3 Law	Gen'l
σ² (t)	σ ² ~ t	$\sigma^2 \sim t^{2.34}$	$\sigma^2 \sim t^3$	$\sigma^2 \sim t^q$
E(t)	E~const	E ~ t ^{1.34}	$E \sim t^2$	$E \sim t^{q-1}$
Ε(σ)	E~const	$E \sim \sigma^{1.15}$	$E \sim \sigma^{4/3}$	E ~
				σ ^{(2q-2)/q}

Absolute vs Relative Diffusion



Absolute vs Relative Diffusion



Absolute vs Relative Diffusion



Y

L

Absolute diffusion (Σ^2) > Relative diffusion (σ^2) ; ratio decreases with time

Do the values of E_r differ (and if so, which is right)?



Do the values of E_r differ (and if so, which is right)? **T(z)** B Α small point source line source of dye of dye drogue 7 cluster Er < < E_r Er (point) (drogue) (line)

h

Okubo et al. (1983)



Figure by MIT OCW.

OK, the values of E_r differ, but which is right?

All can be right

T(z)

h

Key: use the same equation for modeling as calibration

$$Z \qquad \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + u(z)\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(E_x\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(E_z\frac{\partial c}{\partial z}\right)$$
$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + u_{ave}\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(E_x\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}\right) \qquad \qquad \text{Shear inclusion}$$

Vertical shear & diffusion included explicitly in g.e. => don't want them influencing E_x => use drogues

Shear & diffusion excluded from g.e. include effects in E_x calibration => use line source of dye

Diffusivities in numerical models (with finite grid sizes)



Smagorinski and Lilly (1963)

 α = Smagorinski coefficient (0.1-2.0)

 α = 0.16 theoretically; higher empirical values account for vertical shear

Vertical Diffusion

 Fit to large scale property distributions

- Flux gradient method (lakes & reservoirs)
- Upwelling diffusion (ocean)
- Measured rate of spread of tracer second moment
- Rates of measured dissipation
- Others

Decreasing time scale

Flux-gradient method



Below depth of other sources/sinks, thermal energy increases only by turbulent diffusion Applicable to relatively long time steps (e.g. weeks or more)

North Anna Power Station (WE2-1)



Temperature and DO profiles

Pre-operational

< one unit

~ two units

0.14 m²/d (0.016 cm²/s)

0.46 m²/d (0.053 cm²/s)

Dominion Power Co.

1976



Vertical Diffusion from NATRE



Measured dissipation



Turbulent temperature variations similar to turbulent velocity variations

Temperature Micro-profile

Measured with temperature microstructure probe; resolution < 1 mm

 $T = \langle T \rangle + T'$

T(z)

Ζ

Generation (of temp variance) $\sim E_z (d < T > /dz)^2$ Dissipation (of temp variance) $\sim \kappa (dT'/dz)^2$ $E_z = turbulent eddy diffusivity$ $\kappa = molecular thermal diffusivity$

Formulae based on measured dissipation

Osborn-Cox (1972), Sherman-Davis (1995)



 $E_{z} = \frac{I\kappa \left\langle \left(\partial T'_{z} / \partial z \right)^{2} \right\rangle}{\left(\partial \langle T \rangle / \partial z \right)^{2}}$

Osborn (1980)

$$E_z = \frac{\gamma_{mix}\varepsilon}{N^2}$$

 χ = temp variance dissipation rate [K²s⁻¹]

$$T(z) = \langle T \rangle + T'$$

 κ = molecular thermal diffusivity [m²s⁻¹]

I ~ 3 (accounts for gradient in T' in 3 directions)

 $N^2 = (g/\rho)(d\rho/dz) [s^{-2}]$

 ϵ = TKE dissipation rate [m²s⁻³]

 $\gamma_{mix} = const <= 0.2$

Examples



Profiles of (a) density and temperature gradient, (b) K_T , (c) ε and (d) centered-displacement lengthscale L_C . The estimates of K_T and ε include low-pass filtered versions.

Figure by MIT OCW.

Stevens, et al. 2000

Langmuir Circulation





Figure by MIT OCW.

Formulae for E_z

Open waters, near surface

$$E_{z} = \frac{0.028 H_{w}^{2}}{T_{w}} e^{-4\pi z/L_{w}}$$
 Ichiye (1967); $z = \text{depth};$
$$H_{w}, T_{w}, L_{w} = \text{significant wave}$$

height, period and length

In presence of stratification and shear

- 2/2

$$E_{z} = E_{zo} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{10}{3} Ri \end{bmatrix}^{-3/2}$$

Munk & Anderson (1948);
Ri = gradient Richardson no
$$Ri = \frac{(g / \rho) |d\rho / dz|}{(du / dz)^{2}}$$

E_{zo} = value at neutral
stratification

Formulae for E_z

Stratification only (near surface)

 $E_{z} = \frac{10^{-6}}{\left|\partial \rho / \partial z\right|}$ Koh and Fan (1970) [E_{z} in cm²/s; d_{\rho}/dz in g/cm⁴]

Stratification only (deep waters)

 $E_{z} = \frac{4x10^{-9}}{\left|\partial\rho/\partial z\right|}$ Broecker and Peng (1982) [E_z in cm²/s; dp/dz in g/cm⁴]

Typical ocean

 $E_z \cong 0.1$ (local) $E_z \cong 1$ (basin average) $E_z \text{ in cm}^2/\text{s}$

Formulae, cont'd

Rivers

$$\frac{E_z}{E_z} = \kappa u_* z (1 - z / h)$$

$$\frac{E_z}{E_z} = 0.07 u_* h$$

u_{*} = friction velocity, h = water depth, z = height above bottom

Estuaries

$$E_{z} = \eta |\overline{u}| \frac{z^{2} (h-z)^{2}}{h^{3}} (1 + \beta Ri)^{-2}$$

+
$$\zeta \frac{z(h-z)}{h} \frac{H_w}{T_w} e^{-2\pi z/L_w} (1+\beta Ri)^{-2}$$

Pritchard (1971); $\eta = 8.59 \times 10^{-3}$, $\zeta = 9.57 \times 10^{-3}$, $\beta = 0.276$

 \overline{u} = mean tidal speed



Koh and Fan (1970)

Figure by MIT OCW.

100(dp/dz) (g/cm⁴)

Application: coastal sewage discharge from multi-port diffuser

Assume

- b = 300 m
- H = 30 m
- h = 10 m
- u = 0.1 m/s
- NF dilution $S_N = 100$
- How far ds until $S_F = 10?$ ($S_T = S_N S_F = 1000$)

Formal solution by Brooks in Section 2.8; approximate solution follows







Neglect of vertical diffusion



Vertical diffusion, cont'd

$$\sigma_{zo} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} (2h) \approx 5.8 m$$

$$\sigma_{z}^{2} = \sigma_{zo}^{2} + 2E_{z}t$$

$$= 580^{2} + (2)(3)(140000) \qquad \sigma_{0}$$

$$= 117000 cm^{2}$$

$$\sigma_{z} = 10.8 m$$

$$S_{Fv} = \frac{1080}{580} = 1.9$$

concentration reduction = 9% of that due to horizontal mixing; even smaller if stronger density gradient chosen



Koh and Fan (1970)

Figure by MIT OCW.

Atmospheric, surface water and ground water plumes

Similarities

Same transport equation (porosity included in some GW terms)

 Scale-dependent dispersion. Similar mechanisms: non-uniform flow (differential longitudinal advection plus transverse mixing)

 $E_x > E_y >> E_z$

Differences, too
Atmospheric Plumes

Modest NF mixing (wind quickly dominates) Often large "point" sources Time scales: minutes to days Non-uniform wind caused by shear and density stratification



Image courtesy of usgs.gov.

Stratification

For examples of plume types, please see:

http://www.environmenthamilton.org/projects/stackwatch/plume_types.htm

Typical analysis



Diffusion diagrams



Turner (1970); Cooper and Alley (1994)

Surface Wind	Day Incoming Solar Radiation			Night Cloudiness ^e	
Speed ^a (m/s)	Strong ^b	Moderate ^c	Slight ^d	Cloudy ($\geq 4/8$)	Clear ($\leq 3/8$)
< 2 2-3 3-5 5-6 > 6	A A-B B C C C	A-B ^f B B-C C-D D	B C C D D	E E D D D	F F E D D

Notes:-

- a) Surface wind speed is measured at 10 m above the ground.
- b) Corresponds to clear summer day with sun higher than 60° above the horizon.
- c) Corresponds to a summer day with a few broken clouds, or a clear day with the sun 35-60° above the horizon.
- d) Corresponds to a fall afternoon, or a cloudy summer day, or clear summer day with the sun 15-35°.
- e) Cloudiness is defined as the fraction of sky covered by clouds.
- f) For A-B, B-C, or C-D conditions, average the values obtained for each.

* A = Very unstable, B = Moderately unstable, C = Slightly unstable, D = Neutral, E = Slightly stable, and F = Stable.

Regardless of wind speed, Class D should be assumed for overcast conditions, day or night.

STABILITY CLASSIFICATIONS*

Groundwater Plumes

 No (dynamic) NF
Distributed, poorly characterized sources
Multiple phases (contaminant and medium)
Laminar (turbulent fluctuations replaced by heterogeneity)

 Time scales: months to decades





Heteorogeneity

Causes non-uniform flow => macro-dispersion
Often poorly resolved: handled stochastically
Plumes often (very) non-Gaussian

MADE experiments at CAFB

Please see:

http://repositories.cdlib.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1408&conte xt=lbnl

Dispersivity, α [L]



Superposition: Puff models MIT Transient Plume Model

