

# 9.641 Neural Networks

## Problem Set 1

(Due Feb. 10, Thursday before class)

The **integrate-and-fire neuron** is a simple model of spiking behavior that sacrifices biophysical realism for mathematical simplicity.

### 1. Single neuron model

First, let's consider an isolated neuron into which we inject a current  $I_{app}$ . Below threshold, the membrane potential  $V$  obeys the differential equation

$$C \frac{dV}{dt} = -g_L(V - V_L) + I_{app} \quad (1)$$

If  $V$  reaches a threshold  $V_\theta$ , then the neuron is said to spike, and  $V$  is instantaneously reset to a value of  $V_0$ , where  $V_0 < V_\theta$ .

- Analytically determine the threshold current  $I_\theta$  (or rheobase) below which the neuron is inactive, and above which the neuron fires repetitively. The sign of  $I_\theta$  should depend on whether  $V_\theta$  is above or below  $V_L$ .
- Experimentally determine  $I_\theta$  and compare it to the value you found analytically. In MATLAB, a system  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$  can be simulated by choosing the initial conditions  $y(1)$  and then repeatedly performing the Euler integration step  $y(t+1) = y(t) + dt \frac{dy}{dt}(t)$ .  
Use the following values for your simulations:  $V_L = -74mV$ ,  $g_L = 25nS$ ,  $V_\theta = -54mV$ ,  $V_0 = -60mV$ ,  $C = 500pF$ . Plot a trace of the membrane potential  $V$ , one for  $I$  right below and one for  $I$  right above  $I_\theta$ .
- If  $I_{app}$  is held constant in time above threshold, the neuron fires action potentials repetitively, as you should have observed in your simulations. Find the relationship between the frequency of firing  $f$  and  $I_{app}$ .
- Show that  $f$  behaves roughly linearly for large  $I_{app}$  and can be approximated by

$$f \approx \frac{[I_{app} - g_L(V_{1/2} - V_L)]^+}{C(V_\theta - V_0)} \quad (2)$$

with  $V_{1/2} = (V_\theta + V_0)/2$ . Explain in words the reason for this linearity.

[Hint: Use the Taylor series expansion  $[\log(1+z)]^{-1} \approx 1/z + 1/2$ .]

Plot your results from (c) and (d) together and compare them.

### 2. Modeling synapses

A synapse is modeled by a variable conductance  $g$  in the postsynaptic neuron. A spike in the presynaptic neuron causes an increase of the conductance according

to  $g := g + \frac{\alpha}{\tau}$ . Between spikes,  $g$  decays exponentially:  $\frac{dg}{dt} = -\frac{g}{\tau}$ . So a synapse is a leaky integrator, counting spikes but forgetting them over time periods longer than  $\tau$ . The area under the exponential caused by a single spike is given by the parameter  $\alpha$ .

Under certain conditions this can be approximated by  $\tau \frac{dx}{dt} + x \approx f$ , where  $x$  is proportional to  $g$  and  $f$  is the frequency of incoming spikes.

Simulate the time course of the conductance of a synapse for  $f = 25\text{Hz}$  for different  $\tau$ . For what values of  $\tau$  is this approximation valid? Illustrate your answer with two plots.

### 3. From synapses to current

In practice, neurons are a part of networks and receive input currents through synapses instead of an electrode. For a neuron  $i$  receiving inputs from neurons  $j$ , this can be written as:

$$C_i \frac{dV_i}{dt} = -g_{Li}(V_i - V_L) - \sum_j g_{ij}(V_i - V_{ij}) \quad (3)$$

Show that equation (3) can be simplified to the form of equation (1), describing a neuron with leak conductance  $g_L$  receiving an external current  $I_{app}$  if the synaptic conductances  $g_{ij}$  are changing slowly (meaning they are constant for a small interval  $dt$ ). Determine  $I_{app}$  and  $g_L$  analytically in terms of  $g_{ij}$ ,  $V_{ij}$ ,  $V_L$  and  $g_{Li}$ .

### 4. From spikes to rates

We are now ready to derive a nonspiking model of a neuron. To do that, we will assume that all neurons have the same membrane capacitance  $C$ , the same time constant  $\tau$  and that conductances are changing slowly (meaning they are constant for a small interval  $dt$ ).

Using the results of 1, 2 and 3, show that equation (1) can be approximated by

$$\tau \frac{dx_i}{dt} + x_i \approx f \left( b_i + \sum_j W_{ij} x_j \right) \quad (4)$$

Starting with the approximation in (2), plug in the approximated f-I relationship from 1(d). Then, substitute  $I_{app}$  and  $g_L$  with the expressions you found in (3). Assuming all time constants are the same, all synapses emanating from a single neuron have the same temporal behavior, because they are driven by the same spike train, and decay at the same rate. This yields  $x_j = \frac{g_{ij}}{\alpha_{ij}}$ . Finally, identify  $b_i$  and  $W_{ij}$  in terms of  $\alpha_{ij}$ ,  $g_{Li}$ ,  $V_L$ ,  $V_{1/2}$  and  $V_{ij}$ .