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## Markov \& Hidden Markov Models of Genomic \& Protein Features

## Modeling \& Discovery of Sequence Motifs

- Motif Discovery with Gibbs Sampling Algorithm
- Information Content of a Motif
- Parameter Estimation for Motif Models (+ others)


## Relative Entropy*

Relative entropy, $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{p} \| \mathrm{q})=$ mean bit-score: $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} \log _{2}\left(\frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}}\right)$ If $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{1}{4^{w}}$ then mean bit-score $=$ RelEnt $=2 \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {motif }}=I_{\text {motif }}$

RelEnt is a measure of information, not entropy/uncertainty. In general RelEnt is different from $\mathrm{H}_{\text {before }}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {after }}$ and is a better measure when background is non-random

Example: $q_{A}=q_{T}=3 / 8, q_{C}=q_{G}=1 / 8$
Suppose: $p_{C}=1 . ~ H(q)-H(p)<2$
But RelEnt $D(p \| q)=\log _{2}(1 /(1 / 8))=3$ bits
Which one better describes frequency of $C$ in background seq?

* Alternate names: "Kullback-Leibler distance", "information for discrimination"


## Position-specific probability matrix (PSPM)



Ex: TAGGTCAGT
$\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{9}$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S} \mid+)=\mathrm{P}_{-3}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}_{-2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{-1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{3}\right) \cdots \mathrm{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{8}\right) \mathrm{P}_{6}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{9}\right)$
'Inhomogeneous', assumes independence between positions What if this is not true?
Inhomogeneous 1st-Order Markov Model

| -3 | -2 | -1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



$$
\mathrm{P}_{-2}(\mathrm{AIC})=\frac{N_{C A}^{(-3,-2)}}{N_{C}^{(-3)}}
$$



$$
S=S_{1} S_{2} S_{3} S_{4} S_{5} S_{6} S_{7} S_{8} S_{9}
$$

Inhomogeneous

$$
R=\frac{P(S I+)}{P(S I-)}=\frac{P_{-3}\left(S_{1}\right) P_{-2}\left(S_{2} I S_{1}\right) P_{-1}\left(S_{3} I S_{2}\right) \cdots P_{6}\left(S_{9} I S_{8}\right)}{P_{b g}\left(S_{1}\right) P_{b g}\left(S_{2} I S_{1}\right) P_{b g}\left(S_{3} I S_{2}\right) \cdots P_{b g}\left(S_{9} I S_{8}\right)}
$$

Homogeneous

$$
s=\log _{2} R
$$

## WMM vs 1st-order Markov Models of Human 5'ss




Markov models also improve modeling of transcriptional motifs - Zhou \& Liu Bioinformatics 2004
© sources unknown. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative
Commons license. or more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

## Estimating Parameters for a Markov Model



$$
\mathrm{P}_{-2}(\mathrm{AIC})=\frac{N_{C A}^{(-3,-2)}}{N_{C}^{(-3)}}
$$



What about longer-range dependence?

- k-order Markov model
- next base depends on previous $k$ bases

$2^{\text {nd }}$-order Markov model
Parameters per position for Markov model of order $k$ : $\sim 4^{k+1}$


## Dealing With Limited Training Sets

| Position: | $1 \underline{2} \quad \underline{3} \quad 4 \quad \underline{5}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 8 | Training Set |
| C | 1 |  |
| G | 1 | ACCTG |
| T | 0 | AGCTG |
|  |  | ACCCG |
| If the true | equency of T at pos. 1 was $10 \%$, | ACCTG |
| what's the | robability we wouldn't see any Ts | ACCCA |
| in a samp | of 10 seqs? | GACTG |
|  |  | ACGTA |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N}=0)=$ | $0!/ 0!10!)(0.1)^{0}(0.9)^{10}=\sim 35 \%$ | ACCTG |
|  |  | CCCCG |
| Motivates | dding "pseudocounts" | ACATC |

## Pseudocounts ( $\Psi$ counts)

| Nt | Count | $\Psi_{\text {count }}$ | Bayescount | ML est. | Bayes est |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 8 | + 1 | 9 | 0.80 | 0.64 |
| C | 1 | + 1 | 2 | 0.10 | 0.14 |
| G | 1 | + 1 | 2 | 0.10 | 0.14 |
| T | $\underline{0}$ | + 1 | $\underline{1}$ | 0.00 | $\underline{0.07}$ |
|  | 10 |  | 14 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

ML = maximum likelihood (of generating the observed data)
Bayes est. = Bayesian posterior relative to Dirichlet prior

Good treatment of this in appendix of:
Biological Sequence Analysis by Durbin, Eddy, Krogh, Mitchison
See also: Probability and Statistics Primer (under Materials > Resources)

## Hidden Markov Models of Genomic \& Protein Features

- Hidden Markov Model terminology
- Viterbi algorithm
- Examples
- CpG Island HMM
- TMHMM (transmembrane helices)

Background reading for today's lecture:
NBT Primer on HMMs, Z\&B Chapter 6, Rabiner tutorial on HMMs
For Thursday's lecture:
NBT Primer on RNA folding, Z\&B Ch. 11.9

## (HMMs)

- Provide a foundation for probabilistic models of linear sequence 'labeling' problems
- Can be designed just by drawing a graph diagram
- The 'Legos’ of computational sequence analysis

Developed in Electrical Engineering for applications to voice recognition

Read Rabiner's "Tutorial on hidden Markov models with applications ..."

## Markov Model Example



Genotype at the Apolipoprotein locus (alleles A and a) in successive generations of boxed Simpson lineage forms a Markov model

This is because, e.g., Bart's genotype is conditionally independent of Grandpa Simpson's genotype given Homer's genotype:
$\mathrm{P}($ Bart $=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{a} \mid$ Grandpa $=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{a}$ \& Homer $=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{a})$
$=P($ Bart $=a / a \mid$ Homer $=a / a)$

Images of The Simpsons © FOX. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative
Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

## Hidden Markov Model Example



## Phenotype -

LDL cholesterol (observed)

Suppose that we can't observe genotype directly, only some phenotype related to the A locus, and this phenotype depends probabilistically on the genotype. Then we have a Hidden Markov Model.250


## HMMs as Generative Models

An HMM can be used as a generator to give an observation sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
O=O_{1} O_{2} \cdots O_{T} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where each observation $O_{t}$ is one of the symbols from $V$, and $T$ is the number of observations in the sequence) as follows:

1) Choose an initial state $q_{1}=S_{i}$ according to the initial state distribution $\pi$.
2) Set $t=1$.
3) Choose $O_{t}=v_{k}$ according to the symbol probability distribution in state $S_{i}$, i.e., $b_{i}(k)$.
4) Transit to a new state $q_{t+1}=S_{j}$ according to the state transition probability distribution for state $S_{i}$, i.e., $a_{i j}$.
5) Set $t=t+1$; return to step 3)if $t<T$; otherwise terminate the procedure.

## "Sequence Labeling" Problems

## Example: Bacterial gene finding



ORF
accgatattcaaccatggagagtttatccggtatagtcgcccctaaataccgtagaccttgagagactgactcatgacgtagtcttacggatctaggggcatatccctagaggtacgg...

## Gene 1

Gene 2

## CpG Islands



- Regions of high C+G content and relatively high abundance of CpG dinucleotides (normally rare) which are unmethylated
- Associated with promoters of many human genes (~ 1/2)


## CpG Island Hidden Markov Model



| "Initiation |
| :--- |
| probabilities" $\pi_{j}$ |

## CpG Island HMM

$P_{g}=0.99, P_{i}=0.01$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{gg}}=0.99999 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ig}}=0.001
$$

## Genome




## CpG Island HMM III



Observe But HMM is written in the other direction (observable depends on hidden)

## Reversing the Conditioning (Bayes' Rule)

Definition of Conditional Probability: $P(A \mid B)=P(A, B) / P(B)$

Bayes' Rule (simple form)
$P(B \mid A)=P(B) P(A \mid B) / P(A)$

Bayes' Rule (more general form)
$P\left(B_{i} \mid A\right)=P\left(B_{i}\right) P\left(A \mid B_{i}\right)$
$\sum P_{k}\left(B_{k}\right) P\left(A \mid B_{k}\right)$

## Notation for HMM Calculations



## Reversing the Hidden/Observable Conditioning (Bayes' Rule)

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
P\left(H=h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n} \mid O=o_{1}, o_{2}, \ldots, o_{n}\right) & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Conditio } \\
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{B})= \\
\\
\\
=
\end{array} \\
& =\frac{P\left(H=h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, O=o_{1}, \ldots, o_{n}\right)}{P\left(O=o_{1}, \ldots, o_{n}\right)} & \\
& & \\
P\left(H=h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) P\left(O=o_{1}, \ldots, o_{n} \mid H=h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$P\left(O=o_{1}, \ldots, o_{n}\right)$ a bit tricky to calculate, but is independent of $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ so can treat as a constant and simply maximize

$$
P\left(H=h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, O=o_{1}, \ldots, o_{n}\right)
$$

## Inferring the Hidden from the Observable (Viterbi Algorithm)

Want to find sequence of hidden states $H^{o p t}=h_{1}^{o p t}, h_{2}^{o p t}, h_{3}^{o p t}, \ldots$ that maximizes joint probability: $\quad P\left(H=h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, O=o_{1}, \ldots, o_{n}\right)$ (optimal "parse" of sequence)

Solution:
Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{i}^{(h)}= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { probability of optimal parse of the } \\
\\
\text { subsequence 1..i ending in state } h
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve recursively, i.e. determine $R_{2}^{(h)}$ in terms of $R_{1}^{(h)}$, etc.

© source unknown. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

© Lan56 on wikipedia. Some rights reserved. License: CC-BY-SA. This content is excluded from our[Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

Andrew Viterbi, an MIT BS/MEng student in E.E. - founder of Qualcomm

| "Initiation |
| :--- |
| probabilities" $\pi_{j}$ |

## CpG Island HMM

$P_{g}=0.99, P_{i}=0.01$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{gg}}=0.99999 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ig}}=0.001
$$



$\delta_{t}(i)$ probability of optimal parse of the subsequence 1..t ending in state $i$
$\Psi_{t}(\mathrm{i})$
the state at t-1 that resulted in the optimal parse of $1 . . t$ ending in i

1) Initialization:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{1}(i)=\pi_{i} b_{i}\left(O_{1}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N  \tag{32a}\\
& \psi_{1}(i)=0 . \tag{32b}
\end{align*}
$$

2) Recursion:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{t}(j)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left[\delta_{t-1}(i) a_{i j}\right] b_{j}\left(O_{t}\right), & 2 \leq t \leq T \\
& 1 \leq j \leq N \\
\psi_{t}(j)=\underset{1 \leq i \leq N}{\operatorname{argmax}}\left[\delta_{t-1}(i) a_{i j}\right], & 2 \leq t \leq T
\end{array}
$$

$$
1 \leq j \leqslant N .
$$

3) Termination:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{*}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left[\delta_{T}(i)\right] \\
& q_{T}^{*}=\underset{1 \leq i \leq N}{\operatorname{argmax}}\left[\delta_{T}(i)\right] . \tag{34b}
\end{align*}
$$

4) Path (state sequence) backtracking:

$$
q_{t}^{*}=\psi_{t+1}\left(q_{t+1}^{*}\right), \quad t=T-1, T-2, \cdots, 1
$$

N no. of states
T length of sequence
Viterbi Algorithm

## Viterbi Example

ACG

## More Viterbi Examples

What is the optimal parse of the sequence for the CpG island HMM defined previously?

- $(\mathrm{ACGT})_{10000}$
- $\mathrm{A}_{1000} \mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{~T}_{1000} \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{~A}_{1000} \mathrm{G}_{60} \mathrm{~T}_{1000}$

Powers of 1.5:
$\begin{array}{llll}N & 20 & 40 & 60\end{array}$
$(1.5)^{\mathrm{N}}=3 \times 10^{3} \quad 1 \times 10^{7} \quad 3 \times 10^{10} \quad 1 \times 10^{14}$

# Run time for k-state HMM on sequence of length $L$ ? 

## $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)$

The computational efficiency of the Viterbi algorithm is a major reason for the popularity of HMMs

## Midterm Logistics

Midterm 1 is Tuesday, March 18th during regular class time/room*
Will start promptly at $1: 05 \mathrm{pm}$ and end at $2: 25 \mathrm{pm}$ - arrive in time to get settled
*except for 6.874 students who will meet at 12:40 PM.

Closed book, open notes:

- you may bring up to two pages (double-sided) of notes if you wish

No calculators or other electronic aids (you won't need them anyway)

Study lecture notes, readings/tutorials and past exams/Psets 1st, textbook 2nd

Midterm exams from previous years are posted on course web site
Note: there is some variation in topics from year to year

## Midterm 1

Exam will cover course topics from Topics 1, 2 and 3 through Hidden Markov Models (but will NOT cover RNA Secondary Structure)

R Feb 06 CB L2 DNA Sequencing, Local Alignment (BLAST) and Statistics
T Feb 11 CB L3 Global Alignment of Protein Sequences
R Feb 13 CB L4 Comparative Genomic Analysis of Gene Regulation
R Feb 20 DG L5 Library complexity and BWT
T Feb 25 DG L6 Genome assembly
R Feb 27 DG L7 ChIP-Seq analysis (DNA-protein interactions)
T Mar 04 DG L8 RNA-seq analysis (expression, isoforms)
R Mar 06 CB L9 Modeling \& Discovery of Sequence Motifs
T Mar 11 CB L10 Markov \& Hidden Markov Models (+HMM content on 3/13)

Exam may have some overlap with topics from Pset $1+2$ but will be biased towards topics NOT covered on PSets

There may be questions on algorithms, but none related to python or programming
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