16.323 Principles of Optimal Control Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>http://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.

16.323 Lecture 7

Numerical Solution in Matlab



• Performance

$$J = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} (u - x)^2 dt$$

with dynamics $\dot{x} = u$ and BC $t_0 = 0$, $x_0 = 1$, $t_f = 1$.

- So this is a fixed final time, free final state problem.
- Form Hamiltonian

$$H = (u - x)^2 + pu$$

• Necessary conditions become:

$$\dot{x} = u \tag{7.25}$$

$$\dot{p} = -2(u-x)(-1) \tag{7.26}$$

$$0 = 2(u - x) + p \tag{7.27}$$

with BC that $p(t_f) = 0$.

• Rearrange to get

$$\dot{p} = -p \tag{7.28}$$

$$\Rightarrow p(t) = c_1 e^{-t} \tag{7.29}$$

But now impose BC to get

$$p(t) = 0 \tag{7.30}$$

• This implies that u = x is the optimal solution, and the closed-loop dynamics are

 $\dot{x} = x$

with solution $x(t) = e^t$.

 Clearly this would be an unstable response on a longer timescale, but given the cost and the short time horizon, this control is the best you can do.

Spr 2008 Simple Zermelo's Problem 16.323 7-2

- Consider ship that has to travel through a region of strong currents. The ship is assumed to have constant speed V but its heading θ can be varied. The current is assumed to be in the y direction with speed of w.
- The motion of the boat is then given by the dynamics

$$\dot{x} = V\cos\theta \tag{7.31}$$

$$\dot{y} = V\sin\theta + w \tag{7.32}$$

• The goal is to minimize time, the performance index is

$$J = \int_0^{t_f} 1dt = t_f$$

- with BC $x_0 = y_0 = 0$, $x_f = 1$, $y_f = 0$

- Final time is unspecified.
- Define costate $\mathbf{p} = [p_1 \ p_2]^T$, and in this case the Hamiltonian is $H = 1 + p_1(V \cos \theta) + p_2(V \sin \theta + w)$
- Now use the necessary conditions to get $(\dot{\mathbf{p}} = -H_{\mathbf{x}}^T)$

$$\dot{p}_1 = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 0 \qquad \longrightarrow p_1 = c_1 \qquad (7.33)$$

$$\dot{p}_2 = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = 0 \qquad \qquad \rightarrow p_2 = c_2 \qquad (7.34)$$

• Control input $\theta(t)$ is unconstrained, so have $(H_{\mathbf{u}}=0)$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = -p_1 V \sin \theta + p_2 V \cos \theta = 0 \tag{7.35}$$

which gives the control law

$$\tan \theta = \frac{p_2}{p_1} = \frac{-p_2}{-p_1} \tag{7.36}$$

– Since p_1 and p_2 are constants, then $\theta(t)$ is also a constant.

• Optimal control is constant, so can integrate the state equations:

$$x = Vt \cos \theta \tag{7.37}$$
$$y = Vt(\sin \theta + w) \tag{7.38}$$

– Now impose the BC to get $x(t_f) = 1$, $y(t_f) = 0$ to get

$$t_f = \frac{1}{V\cos\theta} \qquad \sin\theta = -\frac{w}{V}$$

• Rearrange to get

$$\cos\theta = \frac{\sqrt{V^2 - w^2}}{V}$$

which gives that

$$t_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V^2 - w^2}} \qquad \theta = -\arcsin\frac{w}{V}$$

- Does this make sense?

Numerical Solutions

- Most of the problems considered so far have been simple. Things get more complicated by the need to solve a two-point boundary value problem when the dynamics are nonlinear.
- Numerous solution techniques exist, including shooting methods 13 and collocation
 - Will discuss the details on these later, but for now, let us look at how to solve these use existing codes
- Matlab code called BVP4C exists that is part of the standard package ¹⁴
 - Solves problems of a "standard form":

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} \;=\; \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y},t,\mathbf{p}) \qquad a \leq t \leq b$$

where ${\bf y}$ are the variables of interest, and ${\bf p}$ are extra variables in the problem that can also be optimized

- Where the system is subject to the boundary conditions:

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}(a), \mathbf{y}(b)) = 0$$

• The solution is an approximation S(t) which is a continuous function that is a cubic polynomial on sub-intervals $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$ of a mesh

$$a = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_{n-1} < t_n = b$$

- This approximation satisfies the boundary conditions, so that:

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{S}(a), \mathbf{S}(b)) = 0$$

 And it satisfies the differential equations (collocates) at both ends and the mid-point of each subinterval:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{S}}(t_n) &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{S}(t_n), t_n) \\ \dot{\mathbf{S}}((t_n + t_{n+1})/2) &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{S}((t_n + t_{n+1})/2), (t_n + t_{n+1})/2) \\ \dot{\mathbf{S}}(t_{n+1}) &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{S}(t_{n+1}), t_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

¹³Online reference

 $^{^{14}\}mathrm{Matlab}$ help and BVP4C Tutorial

- Now constrain continuity in the solution at the mesh points ⇒ converts problem to a series of nonlinear algebraic equations in the unknowns
 Becomes a "root finding problem" that can be solved iteratively (Simpson's method).
- Inputs to BVP4C are functions that evaluate the differential equation $\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}, t)$ and the residual of the boundary condition (e.g. $y_1(a) = 1$, $y_2(a) = y_1(b)$, and $y_3(b) = 0$):

 $\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{function} & res = \texttt{bvpbc}(ya,yb) \\ res = \begin{bmatrix} & ya(1)-1 \\ & ya(2)-yb(1) \\ & yb(3)]; \end{array}$

• Redo example on page 4–15 using numerical techniques - Finite time LQR problem with $t_f = 10$



Figure 7.1: Results suggest a good comparison with the dynamic LQR result

TPBVP for LQR

```
function m = TPBVPlqr(p1,p2,p3)
1
    global A B x0 Rxx Ruu Ptf
2
    t_f=10;x0=[1 1]';
3
4 Rxx=p1;Ruu=p2;Ptf=p3;
    solinit = bvpinit(linspace(0,t_f),@TPBVPlqrinit);
5
    sol = bvp4c(@TPBVPlqrode,@TPBVPlqrbc,solinit);
6
    time = sol.x;
    state = sol.y([1 2],:);
8
    adjoint = sol.y([3 4],:);
9
  control = -inv(Ruu)*B'*sol.y([3 4],:);
10
    m(1,:) = time;m([2 3],:) = state;m([4 5],:) = adjoint;m(6,:) = control;
11
    %_____
12
                                                   _____
   function dydt=TPBVPlqrode(t,y)
13
    global A B x0 Rxx Ruu Ptf
14
15
    dydt=[ A -B/Ruu*B'; -Rxx -A']*y;
    %-----
16
                                              _____
   function res=TPBVPlqrbc(ya,yb)
17
18
    global A B x0 Rxx Ruu Ptf
    res=[ya(1) - x0(1);ya(2)-x0(2);yb(3:4)-Ptf*yb(1:2)];
19
20
    %---
    function v=TPBVPlqrinit(t)
21
    global A B x0 b alp
22
23
    v=[x0;1;0];
    return
^{24}
25
    % 16.323 Spring 2007
26
    % Jonathan How
27
    % redo LQR example on page 4-15 using numerical approaches
28
    clear all;close all;
^{29}
    set(0, 'DefaultAxesFontSize', 14, 'DefaultAxesFontWeight','demi')
set(0, 'DefaultTextFontSize', 14, 'DefaultTextFontWeight','demi')
30
31
32
    %
    global A B
33
    Ptf=[0 0;0 4];Rxx=[1 0;0 0];Ruu=1;A=[0 1;0 -1];B=[0 1]';
34
    tf=10;dt=.01;time=[0:dt:tf];
35
    m=TPBVPlqr(Rxx,Ruu,Ptf); % numerical result
36
37
    % integrate the P backwards for LQR result
38
39
    P=zeros(2,2,length(time));K=zeros(1,2,length(time));
    Pcurr=Ptf;
40
    for kk=0:length(time)-1
41
42
      P(:,:,length(time)-kk)=Pcurr;
      K(:,:,length(time)-kk)=inv(Ruu)*B'*Pcurr;
^{43}
      Pdot=-Pcurr*A-A'*Pcurr-Rxx+Pcurr*B*inv(Ruu)*B'*Pcurr;
44
      Pcurr=Pcurr-dt*Pdot;
^{45}
46
    end
47
    % simulate the state
48
    x1=zeros(2,1,length(time));xcurr1=[1 1]';
49
50
    for kk=1:length(time)-1
     x1(:,:,kk)=xcurr1;
51
      xdot1=(A-B*K(:,:,kk))*x1(:,:,kk);
52
     xcurr1=xcurr1+xdot1*dt;
53
    end
54
55
56
    figure(3);clf
    plot(time, squeeze(x1(1,1,:)), time, squeeze(x1(2,1,:)), '--', 'LineWidth', 2),
57
58
    xlabel('Time (sec)');ylabel('States');title('Dynamic Gains')
    hold on;plot(m(1,:),m([2],:),'s',m(1,:),m([3],:),'o');hold off
59
   legend('LQR x_1','LQR x_2','Num x_1','Num x_2')
60
   print -dpng -r300 numreg2.png
61
```

Conversion

- BVP4C sounds good, but this standard form doesn't match many of the problems that we care about
 - In particular, free end time problems are excluded, because the time period is defined to be fixed $t \in [a,b]$
- Can convert our problems of interest into this standard form though using some pretty handy tricks.
 - U. Ascher and R. D. Russell, "Reformulation of Boundary Value Problems into "Standard" Form," *SIAM Review*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 238-254. Apr., 1981.
- Key step is to re-scale time so that $\tau = t/t_f$, then $\tau \in [0, 1]$.
 - Implications of this scaling are that the derivatives must be changed since $d\tau=dt/t_{f}$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} = t_f \frac{d}{dt}$$

- Final step is to introduce a dummy state r that corresponds to t_f with the trivial dynamics $\dot{r} = 0$.
 - Now replace all instances of t_f in the necessary/boundary conditions for state r.
 - Optimizer will then just pick an appropriate constant for $r = t_f$

June 18, 2008

• Recall that our basic set of necessary conditions are, for $t \in [t_0, t_f]$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) \dot{\mathbf{p}} = -H_{\mathbf{x}}^T H_{\mathbf{u}} = 0$$

• And we considered various boundary conditions $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{x}_0$, and: - If t_f is free: $h_t + g + \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{a} = h_t + H(t_f) = 0$ - If $\mathbf{x}_i(t_f)$ is fixed, then $\mathbf{x}_i(t_f) = x_{i_f}$ - If $\mathbf{x}_i(t_f)$ is free, then $\mathbf{p}_i(t_f) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}(t_f)$

• Then

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}' = t_f \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \tau)$$

and

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = -H_{\mathbf{x}}^T \Rightarrow \mathbf{p}' = -t_f H_{\mathbf{x}}^T$$

- Revisit example on page 6–6
- Linear system with performance/time weighting and free end time – Necessary conditions are:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x} + Bu$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = -A^T\mathbf{p}$$

$$0 = bu + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}$$

with state conditions

$$\mathbf{x}_{1}(0) = 10
 \mathbf{x}_{2}(0) = 0
 \mathbf{x}_{1}(t_{f}) = 0
 \mathbf{x}_{2}(t_{f}) = 0
 -0.5bu^{2}(t_{f}) + \alpha t_{f} = 0$$

• Define the state of interest $\mathbf{z} = [\mathbf{x}^T \ \mathbf{p}^T \ r]^T$ and note that

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}}{d\tau} = t_f \frac{d\mathbf{z}}{dt}$$
$$= \mathbf{z}_5 \begin{bmatrix} A & -B \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} / b & 0 \\ 0 & -A^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}$$
$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{z}' = f(\mathbf{z}) \text{ which is nonlinear}$$

with BC:

$$\mathbf{z}_{1}(0) = 10
 \mathbf{z}_{2}(0) = 0
 \mathbf{z}_{1}(1) = 0
 \mathbf{z}_{2}(1) = 0
 \mathbf{z}_{2}(1) + \alpha \mathbf{z}_{5}(1) = 0$$

- Code given on following pages
 - Note it is not particularly complicated
 - Solution time/iteration count is a strong function of the initial solution not a particularly good choice for ${\bf p}$ is used here
- Analytic solution gave $t_f = (1800b/\alpha)^{1/5}$

- Numerical result give close agreement in prediction of the final time



Figure 7.2: Comparison of the predicted completion times for the maneuver



Figure 7.4: State response

TPBVP

```
function m = TPBVP(p1,p2)
1
   % 16.323 Spring 2007
2
   % Jonathan How
3
   %
4
   global A B x0 b alp
5
6
   A=[0 1;0 0];
7
   B=[0 1]';
8
  x0=[10 0]';
9
   b=p1;
10
11
   alp=p2;
^{12}
   solinit = bvpinit(linspace(0,1),@TPBVPinit);
13
   sol = bvp4c(@TPBVPode,@TPBVPbc,solinit);
14
15
16 time = sol.y(5)*sol.x;
17
   state = sol.y([1 2],:);
18 adjoint = sol.y([3 4],:);
   control = -(1/b)*sol.y(4,:);
19
20
  m(1,:) = time;
21 m([2 3],:) = state;
22 m([4 5],:) = adjoint;
^{23}
   m(6,:) = control;
24
   %-----
                        -----
^{25}
   function dydt=TPBVPode(t,y)
26
   global A B x0 b alp
27
  dydt=y(5)*[ A -B*[0 1]/b zeros(2,1); zeros(2,2) -A' zeros(2,1);zeros(1,5)]*y;
^{28}
^{29}
30 %-----
^{31}
  function res=TPBVPbc(ya,yb)
  global A B x0 b alp
32
   res=[ya(1) - x0(1);ya(2)-x0(2);yb(1);yb(2);-0.5*yb(4)^2/b+ alp*yb(5)];
33
^{34}
   %-----
35
36
   function v=TPBVPinit(t)
   global A B x0 b alp
37
   v=[x0;1;0;1];
38
39
   return
40
41
```

1

TPBVP Main

```
% 16.323 Spring 2007
    % Jonathan How
2
    % TPmain.m
3
 4
    b=0.1;
 \mathbf{5}
    %alp=[.05 .1 1 10 20];
 6
    alp=logspace(-2,2,10);
 7
    t=[]:
 8
    for alpha=alp
 9
        m=TPBVP(b,alpha);
10
        t=[t;m(1,end)];
11
    end
12
13
    figure(1);clf
14
    semilogx(alp,(1800*b./alp).^0.2,'-','Linewidth',2)
15
    hold on; semilogx(alp,t,'rs'); hold off
16
17
    xlabel('\alpha', 'FontSize', 12);ylabel('t_f', 'FontSize', 12)
    legend('Analytic','Numerical')
^{18}
    title('Comparison with b=0.1')
19
    print -depsc -f1 TPBVP1.eps;jpdf('TPBVP1')
20
21
22
    % code from opt1.m on the analytic solution
23
    b=0.1;alpha=0.1;
    m=TPBVP(b,alpha);
24
    tf=(1800*b/alpha)^0.2;
25
    c1=120*b/tf^3;
26
   c2=60*b/tf^2;
27
   u=(-c2+c1*m(1,:))/b;
^{28}
    A=[0 1;0 0];B=[0 1]';C=eye(2);D=zeros(2,1);G=ss(A,B,C,D);X0=[10 0]';
^{29}
    [y3,t3]=lsim(G,u,m(1,:),X0);
30
31
    figure(2):clf
32
33
    subplot(211)
    plot(m(1,:),u,'g-','LineWidth',2);
34
    xlabel('Time', 'FontSize',12);ylabel('u(t)', 'FontSize',12)
35
36
    hold on;plot(m(1,:),m(6,:),'--');hold off
    subplot(212)
37
    plot(m(1,:),abs(u-m(6,:)),'-')
38
    xlabel('Time','FontSize',12)
39
    ylabel('u_{Analytic}(t)-U_{Numerical}', 'FontSize',12)
40
    legend('Analytic','Numerical')
41
^{42}
    print -depsc -f2 TPBVP2.eps;jpdf('TPBVP2')
43
    figure(3);clf
44
    subplot(221)
^{45}
    plot(m(1,:),y3(:,1),'c-','LineWidth',2);
46
    xlabel('Time', 'FontSize',12);ylabel('X(t)', 'FontSize',12)
47
    hold on;plot(m(1,:),m([2],:),'k--');hold off
48
    legend('Analytic','Numerical')
49
    subplot(222)
50
    plot(m(1,:),y3(:,2),'c-','LineWidth',2);
51
    xlabel('Time', 'FontSize',12);ylabel('dX(t)/dt', 'FontSize',12)
52
    hold on;plot(m(1,:),m([3],:),'k--');hold off
53
    legend('Analytic','Numerical')
54
55
    subplot(223)
    plot(m(1,:),abs(y3(:,1)-m(2,:)'),'k-')
56
57
    xlabel('Time', 'FontSize',12);ylabel('Error', 'FontSize',12)
58
    subplot(224)
   plot(m(1,:),abs(y3(:,2)-m(3,:)'),'k-')
59
    xlabel('Time', 'FontSize',12);ylabel('Error', 'FontSize',12)
60
61
    print -depsc -f3 TPBVP3.eps;jpdf('TPBVP3')
```

Zermelo's Problem

• Simplified dynamics of a UAV flying in a horizontal plane can be modeled as:

$$\dot{x}(t) = V \cos \theta(t)$$

$$\dot{y}(t) = V \sin \theta(t) + w$$

where $\theta(t)$ is the heading angle (control input) with respect to the x axis, V is the speed.

• Objective: fly from point A to B in minimum time:

$$\min J = \int_0^{t_f} (1)dt$$

where t_f is free.

- Initial conditions are:

$$x(0) = x_0 \qquad \qquad y(0) = y_0$$

- Final conditions are:

$$x(t_f) = x_1 \qquad \qquad y(t_f) = y_1$$

• Apply the standard necessary conditions with

$$H = 1 + p_1 V(\cos \theta(t)) + p_2 (V \sin \theta(t) + w)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = -H_{\mathbf{x}}^T$$

$$H_{\mathbf{u}} = 0$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = V \cos \theta(t)$$

$$\dot{y}(t) = V \sin \theta(t) + w$$

$$\dot{p}_1(t) = 0$$

$$\dot{p}_2(t) = 0$$

$$0 = -p_1 \sin \theta(t) + p_2 \cos \theta(t)$$

- Then add extra state for the time.

• Since t_f is free, must add terminal condition that $H(t_f) = 0$, which gives a total of 5 conditions (2 initial, 3 terminal).



Figure 7.5: Zermelo examples

1

TPBVPZermelo

```
function m = TPBVPzermelo(p1,p2)
    global x0 x1 V w
2
3
    solinit = bvpinit(linspace(0,1),@TPBVPinit);
 4
   sol = bvp6c(@TPBVPode,@TPBVPbc,solinit);
\mathbf{5}
 6
    time = sol.y(5)*sol.x;
 7
   state = sol.y([1 2],:);
 8
   adjoint = sol.y([3 4],:);
 9
   control = atan2(-sol.y([4],:),-sol.y([3],:));
10
11
   m(1,:) = time;
12
   m([2 3],:) = state;
13
   m([4 5],:) = adjoint;
14
   m(6,:) = control;
15
   return
16
17
    %-----
^{18}
   function dydt=TPBVPode(t,y)
19
    global x0 x1 V w
20
^{21}
^{22}
   % x y p1 p2 t
23
    % minimizing form
   sinth=-y(4)/sqrt(y(3)^2+y(4)^2);
24
   costh=-y(3)/sqrt(y(3)^2+y(4)^2);
^{25}
26
    dydt=y(5)*[V*costh ; V*sinth+w;0;0;0];
27
    %-----
                                         -----
^{28}
   function res=TPBVPbc(ya,yb)
^{29}
    global x0 x1 V w
30
    % x y p1 p2 t
31
    % minimizing form
32
    costhb=-yb(3)/sqrt(yb(3)^2+yb(4)^2);
33
   sinthb=-yb(4)/sqrt(yb(3)^2+yb(4)^2);
34
35
36
    res=[ya(1) - x0(1);ya(2)-x0(2);
        yb(1) - x1(1);yb(2)-x1(2);
37
        1+V*costhb*yb(3)+V*(sinthb+w)*yb(4)];
38
39
    ٧_____
40
    function v=TPBVPinit(t)
41
^{42}
    global x0 x1 V w
    %v=[x0;-1;-1;norm(x1-x0)/(V-w)];
43
44
    v=[x0;1;1;norm(x1-x0)/(V-w)];
^{45}
    return
46
47
   clear all
    global x0 x1 V w
48
    w=1/sqrt(2);
49
   x0=[-1 \ 0]';x1=[0 \ 0]';V = 1;
50
   mm=TPBVPzermelo;
51
52
53 figure(1);clf
    plot(mm(2,:),mm([3],:),'LineWidth',2);axis('square');grid on
54
55
    axis([-2 5 -2 1.5 ])
    xlabel('x','FontSize',12);ylabel('y','FontSize',12);
56
57
    hold on;
58
    plot(x0(1),x0(2),'rs');plot(x1(1),x1(2),'bs');
    text(x0(1),x0(2),'Start','FontSize',12)
59
    text(x1(1),x1(2),'End','FontSize',12)
60
61
    hold off
62
63
   figure(2);clf
    plot(mm(1,:),180/pi*mm([6],:),'LineWidth',2);grid on;axis('square')
64
    xlabel('t', 'FontSize',12);ylabel('u', 'FontSize',12);
65
66
    print -dpng -r300 -f1 BVP_zermelo.png;
67
```

```
print -dpng -r300 -f2 BVP_zermelo2.png;
68
69
    clear all
70
71 global x0 x1 V w
72 w=1/sqrt(2);
73 x0=[0 1]';x1=[0 0]';V = 1;
74 mm=TPBVPzermelo;
75
76 figure(1);clf
77 plot(mm(2,:),mm([3],:),'LineWidth',2);axis('square');grid on
    axis([-2 5 -2 1.5 ])
78
r9 xlabel('x','FontSize',12);ylabel('y','FontSize',12);
80 hold on;
    plot(x0(1),x0(2),'rs');plot(x1(1),x1(2),'bs');
text(x0(1),x0(2),'Start','FontSize',12)
81
82
83 text(x1(1),x1(2),'End','FontSize',12)
84 hold off
85
86 figure(2);clf
    plot(mm(1,:),180/pi*mm([6],:),'LineWidth',2);grid on;axis('square')
87
    xlabel('t','FontSize',12);ylabel('u','FontSize',12);
88
89
90 print -dpng -r300 -f1 BVP_zermelo3.png;
^{91}
    print -dpng -r300 -f2 BVP_zermelo4.png;
92
```

Spr 2008 Orbit Raising Example 16.323 7–18

- **Goal:** (Bryson page 66) determine the maximum radius orbit transfer in a given time t_f assuming a constant thrust rocket (thrust T).¹⁵
 - Must find the thrust direction angle $\phi(t)$
 - $\mbox{ Assume}$ a circular orbit for the initial and final times

• Nomenclature:

- $-\ r$ radial distance from attracting center, with gravitational constant μ
- -v, u tangential, radial components of the velocity
- $-\,m$ mass of s/c, and \dot{m} is the fuel consumption rate (constant)
- **Problem:** find $\phi(t)$ to maximize $r(t_f)$ subject to:

Dynamics :
$$\dot{r} = u$$

 $\dot{u} = \frac{v^2}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r^2} + \frac{T\sin\phi}{m_0 - |\dot{m}|t}$
 $\dot{v} = -\frac{uv}{r} + \frac{T\cos\phi}{m_0 - |\dot{m}|t}$

with initial conditions

$$r(0) = r_0$$
 $u(0) = 0$ $v(0) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r_0}}$

and terminal conditions

$$u(t_f) = 0 \qquad \qquad v(t_f) - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r(t_f)}} = 0$$

• With $\mathbf{p}^T = [p_1 \ p_2 \ p_3]$ this gives the Hamiltonian (since g = 0)

$$H = \mathbf{p}^T \begin{bmatrix} u\\ \frac{v^2}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r^2} + \frac{T\sin\phi}{m_0 - |\dot{m}|t}\\ -\frac{uv}{r} + \frac{T\cos\phi}{m_0 - |\dot{m}|t} \end{bmatrix}$$

¹⁵Thanks to Geoff Huntington

– Then $H_{\mathbf{u}}=0$ with $\mathbf{u}(t)=\phi(t)$ gives

$$p_2\left(\frac{T\cos\phi}{m_0 - |\dot{m}|t}\right) + p_3\left(\frac{-T\sin\phi}{m_0 - |\dot{m}|t}\right) = 0$$

which gives that

$$\tan\phi = \frac{p_2(t)}{p_3(t)}$$

that can be solved for the control input given the costates.

• Note that this is a problem of the form on 6–6, with

$$\mathbf{m} = \begin{bmatrix} u(t_f) \\ v(t_f) - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r(t_f)}} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

which gives

$$w = -r + \nu_1 u(t_f) + \nu_2 \left(v(t_f) - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r(t_f)}} \right)$$

• Since the first state r is not specified at the final time, must have that

$$p_1(t_f) = \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}(t_f) = -1 + \frac{\nu_2}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r(t_f)^3}}$$

 $-\operatorname{And}$ note that

$$p_3(t_f) = \frac{\partial w}{\partial v}(t_f) = \nu_2$$

which gives ν_2 in terms of the costate.



Figure 7.6: Orbit raising examples



Figure 7.7: Orbit raising examples

1

Orbit Raising

%orbit_bvp_how created by Geoff Huntington 2/21/07

```
\space{-1.5}\space{-1.5} Solves the Hamiltonian Boundary Value Problem for the orbit-raising optimal
 2
    %control problem (p.66 Bryson & Ho). Computes the solution using BVP4C
 3
    \ensuremath{\texttt{``Invokes subroutines orbit_ivp}\xspace and orbit_bound
 4
    clear all;%close all;
 5
    set(0, 'DefaultAxesFontSize', 14, 'DefaultAxesFontWeight','demi')
 6
    set(0, 'DefaultTextFontSize', 14, 'DefaultTextFontWeight','demi')
 7
    %Fixed final time %Tf = 3.3155;
9
10
    Tf = 4:
    four=0; % not four means use bvp6c
^{11}
12
    %Constants
13
    global mu m0 m1 T
14
    mu=1; m0=1; m1=-0.07485; T= 0.1405;
15
    %mu=1; m0=1; m1=-.2; T= 0.1405;
16
17
18
    %Create initial Guess
    n=100;
19
    y = [ones(1,n); %r
20
21
          zeros(1,n); %vr
^{22}
          ones(1,n); %vt
         -ones(1,n); %lambda_r
23
^{24}
         -ones(1,n); %lambda_vr
         -ones(1,n)]; %lambda_vt
^{25}
    x = linspace(0,Tf,n); %time
26
    solinit.x = x;solinit.y = y;
27
    %Set optimizer options
28
29
    tol = 1E-10;
    options = bvpset('RelTol',tol,'AbsTol',[tol tol tol tol tol tol],'Nmax', 2000);
30
31
    %Solve
32
33
    if four
         sol = bvp4c(@orbit_ivp,@orbit_bound,solinit,options);
34
35
         Nstep=40;
    else
36
         sol = bvp6c(@orbit_ivp,@orbit_bound,solinit,options);
37
38
         Nstep=30;
    end
39
40
    %Plot results
41
    figure(1);clf
42
^{43}
    plot(sol.x,sol.y(1:3,:),'LineWidth',2)
    legend('r','v_r','v_t','Location','NorthWest')
44
45
    grid on;
    axis([0 4 0 2])
46
    title('HBVP Solution')
47
^{48}
   xlabel('Time');ylabel('States')
49
    figure(2);clf
50
    plot(sol.x,sol.y(4:6,:),'LineWidth',2)
51
    legend('p_1(t)', 'p_2(t)', 'p_3(t)', 'Location', 'NorthWest')
52
53
    grid on;
    axis([0 4 -3 2])
54
    title('HBVP Solution')
55
    xlabel('Time');ylabel('Costates')
56
57
    ang2=atan2(sol.y([5],:),sol.y([6],:))+pi;
58
    figure(3);clf
59
60
   plot(sol.x,180/pi*ang2','LineWidth',2)
61
    grid on;
62
    axis([0 4 0 360])
    title('HBVP Solution')
63
64
    xlabel('Time');ylabel('Control input angle \phi(t)')
65
    norm([tan(ang2')-(sol.y([5],:)./sol.y([6],:))'])
66
    print -f1 -dpng -r300 orbit1.png
67
    print -f2 -dpng -r300 orbit2.png
print -f3 -dpng -r300 orbit3.png
68
69
70
    % Code below adapted inpart from Bryson "Dynamic Optimization"
71
```

16.323 7-23

```
72
73
    dt=diff(sol.x);
    dth=(sol.y(3,1:end-1)./sol.y(1,1:end-1)).*dt; % \dot \theta = v_t/r
74
75
    th=0+cumsum(dth');
    pathloc=[sol.y(1,1:end-1)'.*cos(th) sol.y(1,1:end-1)'.*sin(th)];
76
77
    figure(4);clf
78
    plot(pathloc(:,1),pathloc(:,2),'k-','LineWidth',2)
79
    hold on
80
81
    zz=exp(sqrt(-1)*[0:.01:pi]');
    r0=sol.y(1,1);rf=sol.y(1,end);
82
    plot(r0*real(zz),r0*imag(zz),'r--','LineWidth',2)
83
    plot(rf*real(zz),rf*imag(zz),'b--','LineWidth',2)
84
    plot(r0,0,'ro','MarkerFace','r')
85
    plot(rf*cos(th(end)),rf*sin(th(end)),'bo','MarkerFace','b')
86
   fact=0.2;ep=ones(size(th,1),1)*pi/2+th-ang2(1:end-1)';
87
    xt=pathloc(:,1)+fact*cos(ep); yt=pathloc(:,2)+fact*sin(ep);
88
89
    for i=1:Nstep:size(th,1),
    pltarrow([pathloc(i,1);xt(i)],[pathloc(i,2);yt(i)],.05,'m','-');
90
    end:
91
92
    %axis([-1.6 1.6 -.1 1.8]);
    axis([-2 2 -.1 1.8]);
93
    axis('equal')
94
95
    hold off
96
   print -f4 -dpng -r300 orbit4.png;
97
    function [dx] = orbit_ivp(t,x)
1
    global mu m0 m1 T
2
3
    %State
4
    r = x(1); u = x(2); v = x(3);
5
   lamr = x(4);lamu = x(5);lamv = x(6);
6
    %Substitution for control
8
    sinphi = -lamu./sqrt(lamu.^2+lamv.^2);
9
10
    cosphi = -lamv./sqrt(lamu.^2+lamv.^2);
11
12
    %Dynamic Equations
13
    dr = u;
    du = v^2/r - mu/r^2 + T*sinphi/(m0 + m1*t);
14
    dv = -u*v/r + T*cosphi/(m0 + m1*t);
15
16
    dlamr = -lamu*(-v^2/r^2 + 2*mu/r^3) - lamv*(u*v/r^2);
17
18
    dlamu = -lamr + lamv*v/r;
    dlamv = -lamu*2*v/r + lamv*u/r;
19
20
   dx = [dr; du; dv; dlamr; dlamu; dlamv];
21
    function [res] = orbit_bound(x,x2)
1
  global mu mO m1 T
^{2}
3
    %Initial State
4
    r = x(1); u = x(2); v = x(3);
5
   lamr = x(4);lamu = x(5);lamv = x(6);
6
    %Final State
8
    r2 = x2(1); u2 = x2(2); v2 = x2(3);
9
    lamr2 = x2(4);lamu2 = x2(5);lamv2 = x2(6);
10
11
    %Boundary Constraints
12
    b1 = r - 1;
13
    b2 = u;
14
    b3 = v - sqrt(mu/r);
15
    b4 = u2;
16
    b5 = v2 - sqrt(mu/r2);
17
    b6 = lamr2 + 1 - lamv2*sqrt(mu)/2/r2^(3/2);
18
19
20
    %Residual
    res = [b1;b2;b3;b4;b5;b6];
^{21}
```