Kutta Condition

Thought Experiment¹

Suppose we model the flow around an airfoil using a potential flow approach.





Both of these flows have circulation which are not all equal

 $\Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma_2 \Longrightarrow L_1' \neq L_2'$

¹ Anderson, Sec. 4.5

Another difference can be observed at the trailing edge:



Flow leaves t.e. smoothly. Velocity is not infinite.





Flow turning around a sharp corner has infinite velocity at corner for potential flow.

As a result of this and the physical evidence, Kutta hypothesized:

In a physical flow (i.e. having viscous effects), the flow will smoothly leave a sharp trailing edge. -*Kutta Condition*

 \Rightarrow Flow #1 is physically correct!

Let's look at Flow #1 a little more closely:

Finite angle T.E. ($\alpha_{te} > 0$)



Upper and lower surface velocities must still be tangent to their respective surfaces.

This implies 2 different velocities at TE.

Only realistic option:

$$V_{lower} = V_{upper} = 0$$
 for finite angle T.E.

Note: from Bernoulli, this implies

$$p_{t.e.} = p_{\infty} + \frac{1}{2}\rho V_{\infty}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\rho \underbrace{V_{t.e.}^{2}}_{=0}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{t.e.} = p_{\infty} + \frac{1}{2}\rho V_{\infty}^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow TE \text{ is a stagnation point}$$
with $p_{t.e.} \equiv \text{ total pressure}$

<u>Cusped TE ($\alpha_{te} = 0$)</u>



In this case, velocities from upper and lower surface are aligned.

In order for the pressure at the *TE* to be unique:

